

FITCH RATES \$342MM STATE OF OHIO GO BONDS 'AA+'; STABLE OUTLOOK

Fitch Ratings-New York-12 September 2017: Fitch Ratings has assigned an 'AA+' rating to the following state of Ohio general obligation (GO) bonds:

- \$205.08 million GO highway capital improvement bonds, series T;
- \$136.79 million GO highway capital improvement refunding bonds, series U.

The bonds are expected to be sold via negotiation bid on Sept. 19, 2017.

Fitch has affirmed the following ratings:

- The state's Issuer Default Rating (IDR) at 'AA+';
- \$8.3 billion outstanding state GO bonds at 'AA+' (including highway capital improvement bonds);
- \$1.8 billion outstanding appropriation-backed bonds of the state at 'AA', including those issued by the Treasurer of State and the Ohio Building Authority.

The Rating Outlook is Stable.

SECURITY

General obligation, full faith and credit of the state of Ohio, excluding lottery proceeds. Highway user receipts are also pledged to payment of the bonds.

The state constitution limits uses of motor vehicle license, registration and fuel taxes to permitted costs related to transportation, including: payment of highway obligations, costs for construction, reconstruction; maintenance and repair of public highways and bridges and other statutory highway purposes; the expense of state enforcement of traffic laws; and expenditures authorized for hospitalization of indigent persons injured in motor vehicle accidents on the public highways. There is no additional bonds test; however, the state constitution limits outstanding highway capital improvement bonds to \$1.2 billion.

ANALYTICAL CONCLUSION

The state's 'AA+' GO rating and IDR is based on its careful financial management, ongoing record of maintaining fiscal balance, and a moderate, rapidly amortizing debt burden. Liabilities are supported by an economy that is slowly adding jobs lost in the recession.

Economic Resource Base

Ohio's economy is large and diverse, with distinct economic regions centered on several large urban centers. Manufacturing remains a disproportionately large sector with a concentration in more cyclically sensitive durable goods industries. Transportation equipment and related suppliers have had a strong presence. The state's economy is expanding but at a slower pace than immediately following the recession.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

Revenue Framework: 'aa'

Like most states, Ohio maintains unlimited legal ability to raise operating revenues. Its revenue base is diverse and relies on broad-based income and sales taxes. Tax policy changes pursued over the past several biennia have been manageable, aided by favorable economic and fiscal trends.

Expenditure Framework: 'aaa'

Ohio retains ample flexibility to cut spending throughout the economic cycle. The natural pace of spending growth is likely to be in line with its somewhat slowly growing revenue stream. Carrying costs for debt and pensions are below the median for states. Spending pressure in Medicaid and education appears to be well controlled.

Long-Term Liability Burden: 'aaa'

Debt is typically conservatively managed and primarily consists of GOs. On a combined basis, outstanding debt and pension obligations are low and a well below-average burden on the state.

Operating Performance: 'aaa'

The state generally has a careful approach to financial operations and has consistently managed to achieve budgetary balance. In recent years, the state has relied more on budget reductions than revenue enhancements to balance the budget and also utilized several one-time sources during the recession. The state's budget stabilization fund (BSF) has been restored after being drawn down during the recession, and now totals \$2 billion, or 6% of general revenue fund (GRF) revenues.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

Ohio's Issuer Default Rating (IDR) is sensitive to shifts in its fundamental credit characteristics and to continued successful maintenance of fiscal balance in light of ongoing efforts to reduce the tax burden.

CREDIT PROFILE

The great recession had a widespread impact on the Ohio economy, accelerating a longstanding slump in manufacturing and weighing on the slowly growing service sector. Important employment sectors include transportation, distribution, and warehousing of manufactured goods, which is facilitated by an extensive system of ports on Lake Erie, barge service on the Ohio River, and advanced air-cargo and rail infrastructure. Health care and education are growing as employment and economic sectors and relatively low non-manufacturing wages in the state have been a magnet for back-office, banking, personnel and trade operations. However, while there has been steady year-over-year job growth since July 2010, the state has yet to fully achieve the peak employment reached in May 2000 prior to the recession.

Revenue Framework

Ohio relies on a diverse set of broad-based taxes to support operations, including income and sales and use taxes. These major tax revenues are collected in the GRF with constitutional exceptions for highway-related revenues that are directed to highway purposes and lottery proceeds that are directed to education.

In recent biennia the state has pursued wide-ranging tax policy changes, shifting the source of GRF tax receipts and lowering overall receipts relative to baseline. To date, these tax policy changes have been manageable, aided by favorable economic and fiscal trends.

Ohio's historical revenue growth, adjusted for the estimated impact of policy changes, has lagged economic growth and has even declined on a real basis, with growth slightly below the inflation rate. Recent performance has been stronger than is reflected in the historical trend; but, Fitch anticipates the long-term trend for revenue growth will be generally in line with inflation absent a strengthening in economic growth expectations.

Ohio has no legal limitations on its ability to raise revenues through base broadening, rate increases, or the assessment of new taxes or fees.

Ohio's spending growth is expected to generally track or be marginally above future revenue growth. Primary cost drivers include Medicaid and education spending. With a shift to managed care, Medicaid spending growth is contained and with only modest population growth, education spending pressures should be manageable. Federal action to revise Medicaid's programmatic and financial structure remains a possibility given recent federal legislative and administrative efforts. Most proposals to date include a basic restructuring of federal Medicaid funding to a capped amount. Whether a change in federal Medicaid funding has consequences for Fitch's assessment of a state's credit quality would depend on the state's fiscal response to those changes. Responses that create long-term structural deficits or increase liability burdens could negatively affect both the expenditure framework assessment and the IDR.

Ohio has solid expenditure flexibility. The state has had a budget-cutting bias rather than relying on revenue increases when necessary to maintain budgetary balance, even in core spending areas. During the last recession, Ohio reduced distributions and phased out certain tax reimbursements to both local governments and school districts. The state's carrying cost for debt and pension obligations is below the state median and is expected to remain low given the state's well-funded pensions and conservative debt management.

Long-Term Liability Burden

Ohio's liabilities are low and debt ratios are expected to approximate current averages going forward as GRF principal continues to roll off and personal income grows. As of Fitch's 2016 state pension report, the combined burden of net tax-supported debt and adjusted unfunded pension obligations equaled 3.9% of personal income, below the 5.1% median for U.S. states. Using a more conservative 6% return assumption for pensions, instead of the 7.5% rate assumed under the state's accounting valuation, would raise the burden of long-term liabilities to a still low 5%.

Funding of retirement benefits, both for pensions and health care, has historically been considered a credit strength, with pension systems generally well-funded and a history of state full funding of annual contributions. Plans are cost-sharing, multi-employer with limited liabilities attributed to the state. However, as is the case for most pension plans, funding for Ohio's five pension systems declined significantly with recessionary market losses and has only just begun to recover. Reform measures enacted in September 2012 have contributed to the improved financial sustainability of PERS and the state's other major systems.

Operating Performance

Ohio's ability to respond to cyclical downturns reflects its ample budget flexibility and availability of reserves. During the great recession, Ohio's revenues suffered significant declines, exacerbated by ongoing tax reductions. The state closed the resulting budgetary gaps with both ongoing and one-time measures. Some of these measures include use of the rainy day fund, refunding debt for current year savings, unpaid employee leave, and accelerating the phase-out of tax reimbursements for schools and local governments. It is Fitch's expectation that Ohio will continue to rely primarily on expense reductions to address a future downturn and would again draw upon its now restored rainy day fund.

During times of economic recovery, Ohio rebuilds its financial flexibility including restoring draws on its rainy day fund and reducing the use of one-time budget items. In recent biennia, natural revenue growth has been met with tax reductions, which have, to some extent, absorbed the natural tax revenue growth related to overall economic expansion. While it has been a concern that ongoing tax reductions could limit future flexibility, a statutory increase in the rainy day funding requirement from 5% to 8.5% of prior year revenue provides additional capacity to address future downturns and is emblematic of the state's approach during this period of expansion.

The state benefitted from lower than anticipated Medicaid spending in the fiscal 2016-2017 biennium, with lower spending offsetting a slight softening in revenue collection. Tax revenues grew 1.9% year-over-year in fiscal 2016, but were 1% below forecast, primarily due to lower than anticipated personal income tax revenues. Tax revenue growth was relatively flat in fiscal 2017 but 3.7% below the mid-biennium revised forecast. In addition to spending controls, and in particular, lower Medicaid spending, the state utilized a portion of the general revenue fund cash balance to achieve balance.

The state made a small deposit to the budget stabilization fund from the fiscal year (FY) 2016 ending balance, bringing its balance to just over \$2 billion, or a sound 6% of GRF revenue, which was maintained through fiscal 2017. The state's cash position is sound. It does not borrow for cash flow purposes and has ample ability to provide liquidity for its own variable rate debt.

The enacted budget for the fiscal 2018-2019 biennium is balanced, does not rely on one-time revenues or use of reserves, and appears to be based on a conservative forecast for revenue growth. The budget funds a modest increase in K-12 education and continues to modify the school funding formula with the goal of better distributing resources to districts with less capacity to raise revenues locally. Medicaid funding is increased; but a portion is being shifted from the general fund to a non-GRF fund with the replacement of the Medicaid Managed Care Organization sales tax by a Medicaid Health Insuring Corporation (MHIC) provider assessment.

Contact:

Primary Analyst
Karen Krop
Senior Director
+1-212-908-0661
Fitch Ratings, Inc.
33 Whitehall Street
New York, NY 10004

Secondary Analyst
Marcy Block
Senior Director
+1-212-908-0239

Committee Chairperson
Laura Porter
Managing Director
+1-212-908-0575

Media Relations: Benjamin Rippey, New York, Tel: +1 646 582 4588, Email: benjamin.rippy@fitchratings.com.

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com

In addition to the sources of information identified in Fitch's applicable criteria specified below, this action was informed by information from Lumesis and InvestorTools.

Applicable Criteria
U.S. Public Finance Tax-Supported Rating Criteria (pub. 31 May 2017)

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK: [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS](https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings). IN ADDITION, RATING DEFINITIONS AND THE TERMS OF USE OF SUCH RATINGS ARE AVAILABLE ON THE AGENCY'S PUBLIC WEB SITE AT WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS RELEVANT INTERESTS ARE AVAILABLE AT [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/SITE/REGULATORY](https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory). FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH WEBSITE.

Copyright © 2017 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001