

# RatingsDirect®

---

## Summary:

# Equitable School Revolving Fund, Delaware; State Revolving Funds/Pools

### Primary Credit Analyst:

Scott D Garrigan, New York + 1 (312) 233 7014; [scott.garrigan@spglobal.com](mailto:scott.garrigan@spglobal.com)

### Secondary Contact:

Peter V Murphy, New York + 1 (212) 438 2065; [peter.murphy@spglobal.com](mailto:peter.murphy@spglobal.com)

## Table Of Contents

---

Rating Action

Stable Outlook

Credit Opinion

## Summary:

# Equitable School Revolving Fund, Delaware; State Revolving Funds/Pools

### Credit Profile

US\$124.375 mil national charter sch revolving loan fd rev bnds (Equitable Sch Revolving Fd) ser 2021A due 11/01/2056		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	A/Stable	New
US\$42.7 mil national charter sch revolving loan fd rev bnds (Equitable Sch Revolving Fd) ser 2021B due 11/01/2056		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	A/Stable	New
US\$23.79 mil national charter sch revolving loan fd rev bnds (Equitable Sch Revolving Fd) ser 2021D due 11/01/2056		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	A/Stable	New
US\$17.305 mil national charter sch revolving loan fd rev bnds (Equitable Sch Revolving Fd) ser 2021C due 11/01/2056		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	A/Stable	New

## Rating Action

S&P Global Ratings has assigned its 'A' rating to the Equitable School Revolving Fund (ESRF), Del.'s senior series 2021A bonds, issued by the Arizona Industrial Development Authority; senior 2021B bonds, issued by the California Infrastructure and Economic Development Bank; senior series 2021C bonds issued by the Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, and senior 2021D bonds issued by the City of Albany Capital Resource Corp. All of the 2021 bonds are being issued as social bonds. We also affirmed our ratings on prior 2019 and 2020 ESRF bonds. Outstanding bonds are senior obligations pursuant to a second amended and restated master trust indenture (MTI). The outlook is stable.

Bond proceeds will be used to both make new loans and reimburse for loans made within the ESRF.

Pledged to repayment of the bonds are school loan agreements and related repayments made with funds transferred to the MTI from bond proceeds and charitable contributions, all funds pledged under the MTI, and related fund interest earnings.

A total of 44 loans to 40 charter school organizations/obligated groups with a total \$671 million loan balance will be pledged to \$490 million of outstanding senior-lien bonds issued once the 2021 bonds are closed.

### Credit overview

Our 'A' rating reflects steady growth of ESRF in a manner consistent with its sole function to further the charitable purpose of its sole member, the nonprofit 501(c)(3) Equitable Facilities Fund (EFF): to make loans and provide financial assistance to charter schools.

A key factor supporting the rating is that annual loan repayments pledged to the MTI provide 1.3x debt service coverage (DSC) on the senior-lien obligations. Combined with the pledged excess revenues from prior loan repayments (currently totaling \$14 million) and a debt service reserve (DSR; \$21.2 million), these aggregate revenues

provide enough over-collateralization to provide credit support for the current rating level. While subordinate obligations can be issued pursuant to the MTI, our rating incorporates a loss tolerance where no debt service payments on subordinate obligations are made. Along with this, because we incorporate about a 50% recovery assumption in our default tolerance analysis, the rating can withstand a higher gross default rate than the 22%-23% reduction in annual loan repayments if DSC were simply reduced from 1.3x to 1.0x.

We believe that bondholders are sufficiently insulated from credit risk due to the structural feature of the trustee being granted a security interest in the pledged fund identified above by an LLC and its sole member being a 501(c)(3). We base this on the following:

- Limitations on LLC overall activities that are related to furthering the charitable purpose of EFF, including the ability to undertake any lawful act in furtherance of that charitable purpose;
- Within the LLC's operating agreement, provisions that account for both the existence of at least one independent director and separateness from other legal entities, including EFF;
- All deposits of bond proceeds and charitable contributions deposited into the MTI's loan program fund are held in trust solely for the benefit of the LLC and this fund has only two purposes: to make pledged loans or replenish the DSRF; and
- Our review of a non-consolidation opinion that addresses consolidation of assets held in trust under the MTI if EFF becomes a debtor under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code.

The stable outlook reflects our expectation that EFF will continue to use ESRF as the sole vehicle for accomplishing its mission of providing loans to charter schools. We base this expectation on EFF's current practice of both directing its charitable contributions and selling loans to ESRF. As ESRF grows, the outlook also reflects management's representation that over-collateralization levels through both additional debt service reserve fund (DSRF) deposits and annual DSC will either remain consistent or improve. Also supporting the outlook is EFF's mission to provide school loans to high-performing charter schools, which we believe is likely to be correlated with generally higher charter school ratings.

## **Stable Outlook**

### **Downside scenario**

We believe that the most immediate cause of any downside rating risk would involve the pledged loan portfolio beginning to experience payment delinquencies or defaults even if there are sufficient funds sufficient to make all required bondholder payments. All else being equal, we generally consider programs that have not experienced any defaulted or delinquent payments as stronger than those that have.

In the future, deterioration in the pledged loan portfolio could also lead to downside rating risks. Generally, this would include loans with a longer weighted average maturity or deferred principal payments, loans to a portfolio of borrowers that are materially lower in credit quality, or loans that create undue portfolio concentration in one or several states. In this case, the 1.3x annual DSC and additional pledged revenues currently available as loss coverage may not be sufficient to sustain the existing rating in the context of a loan portfolio with worsening credit quality.

## **Upside scenario**

As ESRF grows, senior obligation DSC levels significantly higher than the currently projected 1.3x could lead to a higher rating if we believe that level is sustainable for successive rounds of future bond issues.

While we understand that EFF is the beneficiary of governmental grants to further its charitable purpose and ESRF is a program that works directly with charter schools that receive direct governmental aid to support their operations, we nevertheless do not foresee upside in the rating due solely to governance factors since EFF itself is a private non-profit corporation.

## **Credit Opinion**

### **EFF history and mission**

EFF was formed to leverage a \$200 million charitable contribution that will fund loans to charter schools. It is supported by two nationally recognized charter school nonprofit organizations: National Association of Charter School Authorizers and National Alliance for Public Charter Schools. EFF staff has broad expertise in all areas of the charter school sector, including lending, financial management, operations, staffing, and educational standards.

Philanthropic goals of EFF include:

- Reducing the cost of capital for charter schools;
- Providing assistance to high-performing schools;
- Sharing sector best practices in both educational and financial areas; and
- Partnering with schools participating in ESRF to facilitate ongoing financial success.

### **Relevant additional bond provisions**

The MTI provides flexibility to define the DSRF as needed under supplemental indentures, but in all cases the amount being no less than the amount that satisfies senior obligation financial sufficiency tests for both DSC (minimum pro forma maximum annual debt service [MADS] coverage of 1.15x) and leverage (minimum pledged assets to outstanding obligations no less than 1.2x). A proposed MTI amendment will remove the leverage test, which we do not currently view as material to the rating since our cash-flow stress tests concentrate on annual coverage rather than leverage. We also view a proposed MTI amendment which will extend the permitted investments as not currently material to the rating; however, there could be future effects if we would consider DSRF investments susceptible to liquidation or credit risk. These MTI amendments are likely to be in effect once the holders of the senior obligations represent at least 50% of outstanding principal.

The sole current member of the obligated group who is a party to the MTI is ESRF. Additional members would not necessarily introduce credit risk, in our view, as long as the charitable purpose of EFF does not broaden or change in a fashion that significantly changes the composition or purpose of ESRF.

To transfer out funds from the MTI to EFF for any legal purpose, all prior financial tests and required deposits must be made pursuant to the provisions of the MTI. We believe that any legal purpose is generally restricted to EFF's furtherance of its charitable purpose of making loans to charter schools; currently this mission can be accomplished

through ESRF. If there are transfers out of ESRF to make loans outside of the MTI, the rating could be negatively affected because our cash-flow analysis would then need to account for the release of funds. Given ESRF as currently being the sole vehicle for accomplishing the financial mission of EFF, our financial analysis assumes that surplus revenues from any year would be used by either EFF to either make additional pledged loans or ESRF to make up any shortfalls as needed.

Release of school loans from the pledged assets is conditioned on compliance with sufficiency tests (same as those related to the DSRF), and if the outstanding loan principal due represents more than 5% of pledged assets, then additional rating confirmation is needed.

A limitation on issuing additional bonds, subject to the sufficiency tests mentioned under both the DSRF and release from pledge sections above.

#### Ratings Detail (As Of September 14, 2021)

##### Arizona Industrial Development Authority, Arizona

Equitable Sch Revolving Fd, Delaware

Arizona Industrial Development Authority natl charter sch revolving loan fd rev bnds

<i>Long Term Rating</i>	A/Stable	Affirmed
-------------------------	----------	----------

##### California Infrastructure & Econ Dev Bnk, California

Equitable Sch Revolving Fd, Delaware

California Infrastructure & Econ Dev Bnk natl charter sch revolving loan fd rev bnds

<i>Long Term Rating</i>	A/Stable	Affirmed
-------------------------	----------	----------

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com) for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at [www.capitaliq.com](http://www.capitaliq.com). All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com). Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2021 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com) (free of charge), and [www.ratingsdirect.com](http://www.ratingsdirect.com) (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at [www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees](http://www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees).

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.