

BOARD MEMBERS AND SUPERINTENDENT

Hillsborough County District School Board members and the Superintendent of Schools who served during the 2008-09 fiscal year are listed below:

	District No.
Susan L. Valdes, Chair	1
Candy Olson	2
Jack R. Lamb, Ed.D.	3
Jennifer Faliero	4
Doretha W. Edgecomb, Vice Chair	5
April Griffin Carol W. Kurdell	o At Large

MaryEllen Elia, Superintendent

The examination team leader was Patricia Ferguson and the examination was supervised by J. David Hughes, CPA. Please address inquiries regarding this report to Joseph L. Williams, CPA, Audit Manager, via e-mail at <u>joewilliams@aud.state.fl.us</u> or by telephone at (850) 414-9941.

This report and other reports prepared by the Auditor General can be obtained on our Web site at <u>www.myflorida.com/audgen</u>; by telephone at (850) 487-9024; or by mail at G74 Claude Pepper Building, 111 West Madison Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1450.

Hillsborough County District School Board Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students and Student Transportation LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

- **CELLA -** Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment
- **ESE** Exceptional Student Education
- ESOL English for Speakers of Other Languages
- FES Fluent English Speaker
- IDEA Individuals with Disabilities Education Act
- IEP Individual Educational Plan
- OJT On-the-Job Training
- \mathbf{PK} Prekindergarten

Hillsborough County District School Board Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students and Student Transportation **TABLE OF CONTENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

	PAGE NO.
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	i
FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) STUDENTS	
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Schedule A – Populations, Samples, and Test Results	
Schedule B – Effect of Audit Adjustments on Weighted FTE	6
Schedule C – Audit Adjustments by School	7
Schedule D – Findings and Audit Adjustments	
Schedule E – Recommendations and Regulatory Citations	
Notes to Schedules	
STUDENT TRANSPORTATION	
Independent Auditor's Report	
Schedule F – Populations, Samples, and Test Results	
Schedule G – Findings and Audit Adjustments	
Schedule H – Recommendations and Regulatory Citations	
Notes to Schedules	
MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSE	
Exhibit A – Management's Response*	112

*Management submitted additional documentation with its response. That documentation has not been reproduced in this report but is available at the offices of the District.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Summary of Attestation Examination

Except for the material noncompliance mentioned below involving teachers, and the reporting of, and preparation and maintenance of supporting documentation for, students in ESOL, the Hillsborough County District School Board complied, in all material respects, with State requirements regarding the determination and reporting of full-time equivalent (FTE) students under the Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) and the number of students transported for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009.

Of the 642 teachers in our sample, 72 did not meet State requirements governing: certification, School Board approval of out-of-field teacher assignments, notification of parents regarding out-of-field teachers, or the earning of required in-service training points in ESOL strategies or college credit hours towards certification in out-of-field subject areas.

Of the 1,944 students in our ESOL sample, 364 had exceptions involving reporting errors or records that were not properly and accurately prepared or were missing and could not be located.

Noncompliance related to FTE resulted in 203 findings. The resulting audit adjustments to the District's reported, unweighted FTE totaled to a negative 5.1892 but have a potential impact on the District's weighted FTE of a negative 201.6560. Noncompliance related to student transportation resulted in 8 findings and a net audit adjustment of a negative 63 students.

Weighted FTE adjustments are presented in our report for illustrative purposes only. They do not take special program caps and allocation factors into account and are not intended to indicate the weighted FTE used to compute the dollar value of audit adjustments, which is the responsibility of the Department of Education (DOE). However, the gross dollar effect of our FTE audit adjustments may be estimated by multiplying the net weighted FTE audit adjustment by the base student allocation amount. For the Hillsborough County District School Board, the estimated gross dollar effect of our FTE audit adjustments is a negative \$783,663 (negative 201.6560 times \$3,886.14).

We have not presented an estimate of the potential dollar effect of our student transportation audit adjustments because there is no equivalent method for making such an estimate.

The ultimate resolution of our FTE and student transportation audit adjustments and the computation of their financial impact is the responsibility of DOE.

School District of Hillsborough County

The District was established pursuant to Section 1001.30, Florida Statutes, to provide public educational services for the residents of Hillsborough County. Those services are provided primarily to students attending kindergarten through high school but also to adults seeking vocational-type training. The District is part of the State system of public education under the general direction and control of the State Board of Education. The geographic boundaries of the District are those of Hillsborough County.

The governing body of the District is the District School Board, which is composed of seven elected members. The executive officer of the Board is the appointed Superintendent of Schools. For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009, the District operated 262 schools, reported 190,090.03 unweighted FTE, and received approximately \$526 million in State funding for those FTE.

Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP)

Florida school districts receive State funding through FEFP, which was established by the Florida Legislature in 1973 to guarantee to each student in the Florida public school system the availability of programs and services appropriate to his educational needs which are substantially equal to those available to any similar student notwithstanding geographic differences and varying local economic factors. To provide equalization of educational opportunity in Florida, the FEFP formula recognizes (1) varying local property tax bases, (2) varying program cost factors, (3) district cost differentials, and (4) differences in per student cost for equivalent educational programs because of sparsity and dispersion of student population. The funding provided by FEFP is based upon the numbers of individual students participating in particular educational programs. A numerical value is assigned to each student thus becomes equated to a numerical value known as an unweighted FTE (full-time equivalent student). For example, one student would be reported as one FTE if the student was enrolled in six classes per day at 50 minutes per class for the full 180-day school year (i.e., six classes at 50 minutes each per day is five hours of class a day or 25 hours per week, which equals one FTE).

Student Transportation

Any student who is transported by the District must meet one or more of the following conditions in order to be eligible for State transportation funding: live two or more miles from school, be physically handicapped, be a Vocational or Exceptional student who is transported from one school center to another where appropriate programs are provided, or meet the criteria for hazardous walking specified in Section 1006.23(4), Florida Statutes. The District received approximately \$34 million in State transportation funding.



DAVID W. MARTIN, CPA AUDITOR GENERAL **AUDITOR GENERAL**

STATE OF FLORIDA

G74 Claude Pepper Building 111 West Madison Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1450



PHONE: 850-488-5534 FAX: 850-488-6975

The President of the Senate, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, and the Legislative Auditing Committee

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) STUDENTS

We have examined management's assertion, included in its representation letter dated December 11, 2009, that the Hillsborough County District School Board complied with State requirements governing the determination and reporting of the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) students under the Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009. These requirements are found primarily in Sections 1011.60, 1011.61, and 1011.62, Florida Statutes; State Board of Education Rules, Chapter 6A-1, Florida Administrative Code; and the *FTE General Instructions* issued by the Department of Education. As discussed in the representation letter, management is responsible for the District's compliance with State requirements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the District's compliance based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and, accordingly, included examining, on a test basis, evidence about the District's compliance with the aforementioned State requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. The legal determination of the District's compliance with these requirements is, however, ultimately the responsibility of the Department of Education.

Compliance

Our examination procedures disclosed the following material noncompliance:

1. <u>Teachers</u>

Of the 642 teachers in our sample, 72 did not meet State requirements governing: certification, School Board approval of out-of-field teacher assignments, notification of parents regarding out-of-field teachers, or the earning of required in-service training points in ESOL strategies or college credit hours towards certification in out-of-field subject areas.¹

2. <u>Students</u>

Of the 1,944 students in our ESOL sample², 364 had exceptions involving reporting errors or records that were not properly and accurately prepared or were missing and could not be located.

In our opinion, except for the material noncompliance mentioned above involving teachers and the reporting of, and preparation and maintenance of supporting documentation for, students in ESOL, the Hillsborough County District School Board complied, in all material respects, with State requirements governing the determination and reporting of the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) students under the Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009.

The results of our examination disclosed other noncompliance with the aforementioned State requirements, in addition to the material noncompliance mentioned above. We considered this other noncompliance in forming our opinion regarding management's assertion and it did not affect our opinion as stated above. All noncompliance disclosed by our examination procedures is discussed in SCHEDULE D. The impact of this noncompliance on the District's reported FTE is presented in SCHEDULE A, SCHEDULE B, SCHEDULE C, and SCHEDULE D.

¹For teachers, see SCHEDULE D, Finding Nos. 9, 12, 14, 15, 16, 23, 24, 25, 36, 37, 41, 42, 49, 50, 55, 63, 64, 70, 71, 80, 81, 82, 83, 95, 96, 100, 101, 102, 114, 115, 116, 120, 124, 130, 131, 137, 149, 150, 151, 153, 156, 157, 164, 165, 166, 172, 173, 178, 188, 189, 191, 197, 198, 202, and 203.

²For ESOL, see SCHEDULE D, Finding Nos. 10, 11, 13, 18, 19, 20, 21, 28, 29, 30, 31, 33, 34, 35, 39, 40, 43, 44, 45, 46, 51, 52, 53, 54, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 66, 67, 68, 69, 72, 73, 74, 75, 84, 85, 91, 92, 93, 94, 97, 98, 99, 103, 104, 105, 106, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 117, 118, 119, 121, 122, 123, 125, 126, 127, 132, 133, 134, 138, 142, 143, 144, 145, 152, 154, 155, 160, 161, 162, 163, 167, 168, 169, 170, 175, 176, 177, 182, 183, 184, 185, 186, 190, 192, 193, and 194.

Internal Control Over Compliance

In accordance with attestation standards established by the AICPA and *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report significant deficiencies in internal control detected during our examination and identify those considered to be material weaknesses. The purpose of our examination was to express an opinion on the District's compliance with State requirements and did not include expressing an opinion on the District's related internal controls. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. Because of its limited purpose, our examination would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses.³ However, the material noncompliance mentioned above is indicative of significant deficiencies considered to be material weaknesses in the District's internal controls related to teachers and the reporting of, and preparation and maintenance of supporting documentation for, students in ESOL. Other noncompliance disclosed by our examination procedures is indicative of control deficiencies,³ and is also presented herein. The findings, populations, samples, and exception totals that pertain to material and other noncompliance are presented in SCHEDULE D.

The District's written response to this examination has not been subjected to our examination procedures and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

Pursuant to Section 11.45(4)(c), Florida Statutes, this report is a public record and its distribution is not limited. Attestation standards established by the AICPA require us to indicate that this report is intended solely for the information and use of the Legislative Auditing Committee, members of the Florida Senate and the Florida House of Representatives, the State Board of Education, the Department of Education, and applicable District management and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Respectfully submitted,

1) Marte

David W. Martin, CPA August 2, 2010

³ A <u>control deficiency</u> in the entity's internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect noncompliance on a timely basis. A <u>significant deficiency</u> is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to comply with the aforementioned State requirements such that there is more than a remote likelihood that noncompliance that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control. A <u>material weakness</u> is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in a more than remote likelihood that material noncompliance will not be prevented or detected or detected by the entity's internal control.

SCHEDULE A

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **POPULATIONS, SAMPLES, AND TEST RESULTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Description ¹	Number of <u>Schools</u>	% of <u>Pop. (v</u>	Number of Students v/Exceptions)	% of Pop. <u>(Sample)</u>	Number of <u>Un</u> weighted <u>FTE²</u>	% of Pop. <u>(Sample)</u>
1. <u>Basic</u>						
Population ³	258	100.00%	38,676	100.00%	131,766.8300	100.00%
Sample Size ⁴	40	15.50%	443	1.15%	367.2392	0.28%
Students w/Excep	ptions -	-	(5)	(1.13%)	-	-
Net Audit Adjusti		-	-	-	347.7314	-
2. Basic with ESE S	ervices					
Population ³	262	100.00%	7,474	100.00%	34,626.7400	100.00%
Sample Size ⁴	43	16.41%	354	4.74%	311.0899	0.90%
Students w/Exce	ptions -	-	(15)	(4.24%)	-	-
Net Audit Adjusti		-	-	-	8.7570	-
3. <u>ESOL</u>						
Population ³	240	100.00%	5,617	100.00%	15,741.9900	100.00%
Sample Size ⁴	37	15.42%	1,944	34.61%	1,606.3051	10.20%
Students w/Excer	ptions -	-	(364)	(18.72%)	-	-
Net Audit Adjusti	ments ⁵ -	-	-	-	(288.1916)	-
4. <u>ESE Support Lev</u>	vels 4 and 5					
Population ³	129	100.00%	1,260	100.00%	1,538.2200	100.00%
Sample Size ⁴	37	28.68%	745	59.13%	626.8975	40.76%
Students w/Exce	ptions -	-	(41)	(5.50%)	-	-
Net Audit Adjustr	ments ⁵ -	-	-	-	(53.4145)	-
5. <u>Career Education</u>	<u>n 9-12</u>					
Population ³	41	100.00%	630	100.00%	6,416.2500	100.00%
Sample Size ⁴	12	29.27%	354	56.19%	44.6458	0.70%
Students w/Excep	ptions -	-	(25)	(7.06%)	-	-
Net Audit Adjust	ments ⁵ -	-	-	-	(20.0715)	-
<u>All Programs</u>						
Population ³	262	100.00%	53,657	100.00%	190,090.0300	100.00%
Sample Size ⁴	43	16.41%	3,840	7.16%	2,956.1775	1.56%
Students w/Excep	ptions -	-	(450)	(11.72%)	-	-
Net Audit Adjustr	ments ⁵ -	-	-	-	(5.1892)	-

SCHEDULE A (Continued)

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **POPULATIONS, SAMPLES, AND TEST RESULTS**

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Description ¹	Number	%	Number	% of
	of	of	of Teachers	Pop.
	<u>Schools</u>	<u>Pop.</u>	<u>(w/Exceptions)</u>	<u>(Sample)</u>
<u>Teachers</u> Population ³ Sample Size ⁴ Teachers w/Exceptions	262 43	100.00% 16.41%	2,422 642 (72)	100.00% 26.51% (11.21%)

¹ See NOTE A6.

³ The population shown for the number of schools is the total number of schools in the District which offered the courses in the program specified (i.e., Basic, ESOL, ESE, and Career Education). The population shown for the number of students is the total number of students in each program at the schools in our sample. Our Career Education population and sample reflects only those students who participated in OJT. The population shown for full-time equivalent (FTE) students is the total FTE for all of the District's schools (sample schools plus nonsample schools) as reported for each survey conducted for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009. The population shown for teachers is the total number of teachers at schools in our sample who taught courses in ESE Support Levels 4 and 5 or Career Education or taught courses to ELL students. (See NOTE A5.)

⁴ See NOTE B.

² Unweighted full-time equivalent (FTE) students represents FTE prior to the application of the applicable cost factor for each program. (See SCHEDULE B and NOTE A4.)

⁵ Our audit adjustments present the net effects of noncompliance disclosed by our examination procedures, including those related to our tests of teacher certification. Our audit adjustments generally reclassify reported FTE to Basic education, except for noncompliance involving a student's enrollment or attendance, in which case the reported FTE is taken to zero.

SCHEDULE B

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students EFFECT OF AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS ON WEIGHTED FTE (For Illustrative Purposes Only)

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

<u>No.</u> Program ¹	Net Audit <u>Adjustment</u> ²	Cost <u>Factor</u>	Weighted FTE ³
101 Basic K-3	81.9300	1.066	87.3374
102 Basic 4-8	103.9267	1.000	103.9267
103 Basic 9-12	161.8747	1.052	170.2922
111 Grades K-3 with ESE Services	3.5000	1.066	3.7310
112 Grades 4-8 with ESE Services	1.6054	1.000	1.6054
113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services	3.6516	1.052	3.8415
130 ESOL	(288.1916)	1.119	(322.4864)
254 ESE Support Level 4	(26.5595)	3.570	(94.8174)
255 ESE Support Level 5	(26.8550)	4.970	(133.4694)
300 Career Education 9-12	<u>(20.0715</u>)	1.077	<u>(21.6170</u>)
Total	<u>(5.1892</u>)		<u>(201.6560</u>)

¹ See NOTE A6.

² These adjustments are for <u>un</u>weighted FTE. (See SCHEDULE C.)

³ Weighted FTE adjustments are presented for illustrative purposes only; they do not take special program caps or allocation factors into consideration and are not intended to indicate the FTE used to compute the dollar value of audit adjustments. That computation is the responsibility of the Department of Education. (See NOTE A4.)

SCHEDULE C

		<u>Audit Ad</u>	<u>justments</u> 1	
No. Program	District- Wide	<u>#0063</u>	<u>#0070</u>	Balance <u>Forward</u>
101 Basic K-3			1.7500	1.7500
102 Basic 4-8		1.3328	1.5000	2.8328
103 Basic 9-12	.0686	3.1668		3.2354
111 Grades K-3 with ESE Services				.0000
112 Grades 4-8 with ESE Services		(1.0000)		(1.0000)
113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services				.0000
130 ESOL	(.0686)		(3.2500)	(3.3186)
254 ESE Support Level 4		(4.4996)		(4.4996)
255 ESE Support Level 5				.0000
300 Career Education 9-12	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u>.0000</u>
Total	<u>.0000</u>	<u>(1.0000</u>)	<u>.0000</u>	<u>(1.0000</u>)

¹ These adjustments are for <u>un</u>weighted FTE. (See NOTE A4.)

D	Due she	Audit Adjustments ¹				Dalamas
Program <u>No.</u>	Brought <u>Forward</u>	<u>#0081</u>	<u>#0102</u>	<u>#0151</u>	<u>#0291</u>	Balance <u>Forward</u>
101	1.7500	7.5000	1.7500			11.0000
102	2.8328	6.4000			.1668	9.3996
103	3.2354			11.5918	6.0850	20.9122
111	.0000					.0000
112	(1.0000)					(1.0000)
113	.0000			(.0800)		(.0800)
130	(3.3186)	(13.9000)	(1.7500)	(10.5918)	(5.7518)	(35.3122)
254	(4.4996)			(.9200)		(5.4196)
255	.0000				(.5000)	(.5000)
300	.0000	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u>(.2490</u>)	<u>(.2490</u>)
Total	<u>(1.0000</u>)	<u>.0000</u>	<u>.0000</u>	<u>.0000</u>	<u>(.2490</u>)	<u>(1.2490</u>)

¹ These adjustments are for <u>un</u>weighted FTE. (See NOTE A4.)

SCHEDULE C (Continued)

_		Audit Adjustments ¹				
Program <u>No.</u>	Brought <u>Forward</u>	<u>#0521</u>	<u>#0561</u>	<u>#0761</u>	<u>#0851</u>	Balance <u>Forward</u>
101	11.0000	9.2500			1.5000	21.7500
102	9.3996	2.5000	7.4000		2.0000	21.2996
103	20.9122			10.8396		31.7518
111	.0000					.0000
112	(1.0000)		1.0000			.0000
113	(.0800)					(.0800)
130	(35.3122)	(11.7500)	(7.4000)	(11.2562)	(3.5000)	(69.2184)
254	(5.4196)		(1.0000)			(6.4196)
255	(.5000)					(.5000)
300	<u>(.2490</u>)	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u>(.1664</u>)	<u></u>	<u>(.4154</u>)
Total	<u>(1.2490</u>)	<u>.0000</u>	<u>.0000</u>	<u>(.5830</u>)	<u>.0000</u>	<u>(1.8320</u>)

¹ These adjustments are for <u>un</u>weighted FTE. (See NOTE A4.)

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS BY SCHOOL

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

D	Audit Adjustments ¹					Datasaa
Program <u>No.</u>	Brought <u>Forward</u>	<u>#0921</u>	<u>#1021</u>	<u>#1201</u>	<u>#1291</u>	Balance <u>Forward</u>
101	21.7500		4.0000	13.2500		39.0000
102	21.2996	2.4000	1.5000	8.2500		33.4496
103	31.7518				17.7606	49.5124
111	.0000					.0000
112	.0000					.0000
113	(.0800)					(.0800)
130	(69.2184)	(2.4000)	(5.5000)	(21.5000)	(10.7554)	(109.3738)
254	(6.4196)					(6.4196)
255	(.5000)					(.5000)
300	<u>(.4154</u>)	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u>(7.0080</u>)	<u>(7.4234</u>)
Total	<u>(1.8320</u>)	<u>.0000</u>	<u>.0000</u>	<u>.0000</u>	<u>(.0028</u>)	<u>(1.8348</u>)

¹ These adjustments are for <u>un</u>weighted FTE. (See NOTE A4.)

		Audit Adjustments ¹				D 1
Program <u>No.</u>	Brought <u>Forward</u>	<u>#1324</u>	<u>#1481</u>	<u>#1541</u>	<u>#1551</u>	Balance <u>Forward</u>
101	39.0000		2.0000			41.0000
102	33.4496	16.6917	.5000			50.6413
103	49.5124			10.7546	10.8412	71.1082
111	.0000		2.5000			2.5000
112	.0000	1.0000				1.0000
113	(.0800)			.3328		.2528
130	(109.3738)	(14.6917)	(2.5000)	(10.0874)	(10.5076)	(147.1605)
254	(6.4196)	(3.1664)	(2.5000)	1.0000	(.3336)	(11.4196)
255	(.5000)			(2.0000)		(2.5000)
300	<u>(7.4234</u>)	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u>(.0830</u>)	<u></u>	<u>(7.5064</u>)
Total	<u>(1.8348</u>)	<u>(.1664</u>)	<u>.0000</u>	<u>(.0830</u>)	<u>.0000</u>	<u>(2.0842</u>)

¹ These adjustments are for <u>un</u>weighted FTE. (See NOTE A4.)

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS BY SCHOOL** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Audit Adjustments1 Brought Balance Program Forward <u>#1601</u> #1831 #2421 #2531 Forward No. 101 41.0000 7.5000 2.0000 50.5000 102 50.6413 .5000 .5000 2.0000 53.6413 103 71.1082 22.6937 93.8019 111 2.5000 2.5000 112 1.0000 1.0000 113 .2528 .2528 130 (8.0000)(22.7771)(4.0000)(147.1605)(.5000)(182.4376)254 (11.4196)(11.4196)..... 255 (2.5000)(3.0000)(.5000)..... 300 (7.5064)<u>(8.3712</u>) <u>(.8648</u>) <u>.....</u> <u>.....</u> <u>.....</u> Total (2.0842) .0000 .0000 (1.4482) .0000 <u>(3.5324</u>)

¹ These adjustments are for <u>un</u>weighted FTE. (See NOTE A4.)

D		Audit Adjustments ¹				D 1
Program <u>No.</u>	Brought <u>Forward</u>	<u>#2771</u>	<u>#2801</u>	<u>#3161</u>	<u>#3371</u>	Balance <u>Forward</u>
101	50.5000			4.2500		54.7500
102	53.6413	.5000	3.6000	6.1000		63.8413
103	93.8019				7.0866	100.8885
111	2.5000			.5000		3.0000
112	1.0000					1.0000
113	.2528					.2528
130	(182.4376)	(.5000)	(3.6000)	(9.8500)	(7.0866)	(203.4742)
254	(11.4196)			(1.0000)	(.3699)	(12.7895)
255	(3.0000)					(3.0000)
300	<u>(8.3712</u>)	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u>.0814</u>	<u>(8.2898</u>)
Total	<u>(3.5324</u>)	<u>.0000</u>	.0000	.0000	<u>(.2885</u>)	<u>(3.8209</u>)

¹ These adjustments are for <u>un</u>weighted FTE. (See NOTE A4.)

<u>SCHEDULE C</u> (Continued)

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS BY SCHOOL For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Audit Adjustments1 Desugabe

		<u>induit indjustiments</u>				
Program <u>No.</u>	Brought <u>Forward</u>	<u>#3411</u>	<u>#3431</u>	<u>#3681</u>	<u>#3841</u>	Balance Forward
101	54.7500			4.0000	5.5000	64.2500
102	63.8413			1.0000	13.2500	78.0913
103	100.8885	1.5000	17.6794			120.0679
111	3.0000					3.0000
112	1.0000					1.0000
113	.2528	3.0000	(.4098)			2.8430
130	(203.4742)	(1.5000)	(9.8214)	(5.0000)	(18.7500)	(238.5456)
254	(12.7895)	(3.0000)	2.4000			(13.3895)
255	(3.0000)		(2.5000)			(5.5000)
300	<u>(8.2898</u>)	<u>(.1660</u>)	<u>(8.0206</u>)	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u>(16.4764</u>)
Total	<u>(3.8209</u>)	<u>(.1660</u>)	<u>(.6724</u>)	<u>.0000</u>	<u>.0000</u>	<u>(4.6593</u>)

¹ These adjustments are for <u>un</u>weighted FTE. (See NOTE A4.)

D	D 1.		Audit Adjustments ¹			D 1
Program <u>No.</u>	Brought <u>Forward</u>	<u>#4151</u>	<u>#4221</u>	<u>#4241</u>	<u>#4441</u>	Balance <u>Forward</u>
101	64.2500			4.5000	8.0000	76.7500
102	78.0913			1.0000	2.5000	81.5913
103	120.0679	8.3384	9.6342			138.0405
111	3.0000					3.0000
112	1.0000					1.0000
113	2.8430	1.0000				3.8430
130	(238.5456)	(8.3384)	(8.0064)	(5.5000)	(10.5000)	(270.8904)
254	(13.3895)	(1.0000)		1.0000		(13.3895)
255	(5.5000)			(1.0000)		(6.5000)
300	<u>(16.4764</u>)	<u></u>	<u>(1.5927</u>)	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u>(18.0691</u>)
Total	<u>(4.6593</u>)	<u>.0000</u>	<u>.0351</u>	<u>.0000</u>	.0000	<u>(4.6242</u>)

¹ These adjustments are for <u>un</u>weighted FTE. (See NOTE A4.)

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS BY SCHOOL** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Audit Adjustments1 Brought Balance Program Forward <u>#4841</u> #5060 Forward No. <u>#4562</u> <u>#4731</u> 101 76.7500 80.0000 3.2500 102 81.5913 1.5000 .0904 83.1817 103 138.0405 .5000 14.7578 152.5747 (.7236)..... 111 3.0000 3.0000 112 1.0000 .6054 1.6054 113 3.8430 (1.0000).8086 3.6516 130 (4.7500)(270.8904)(11.7562)(.7950)(288.1916)..... 254 (13.3895)(.5500)(13.9395)..... 255 (6.5000)(6.5000)..... 300 (18.0691) (2.0024)(20.0715) <u>.....</u> <u>.....</u> <u>.....</u> Total (4.6242)(.0500) (.0008).0000 (.0142)(4.6892)

¹ These adjustments are for <u>un</u>weighted FTE. (See NOTE A4.)

	Audit Adjustments ¹				
Program <u>No.</u>	Brought <u>Forward</u>	<u>#6602</u>	<u>#6639</u>	<u>Total</u>	
101 Basic K-3	80.0000		1.9300	81.9300	
102 Basic 4-8	83.1817	12.4000	8.3450	103.9267	
103 Basic 9-12	152.5747	9.3000		161.8747	
111 Grades K-3 with ESE Services	3.0000		.5000	3.5000	
112 Grades 4-8 with ESE Services	1.6054			1.6054	
113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services	3.6516			3.6516	
130 ESOL	(288.1916)			(288.1916)	
254 ESE Support Level 4	(13.9395)	(4.7000)	(7.9200)	(26.5595)	
255 ESE Support Level 5	(6.5000)	(17.5000)	(2.8550)	(26.8550)	
300 Career Education 9-12	<u>(20.0715</u>)	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u>(20.0715</u>)	
Total	<u>(4.6892</u>)	<u>(.5000</u>)	.0000	<u>(5.1892</u>)	

¹ These adjustments are for <u>un</u>weighted FTE. (See NOTE A4.)

SCHEDULE D

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Overview

Management is responsible for determining and reporting the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) students under the Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) in compliance with State requirements. These requirements are found primarily in Sections 1011.60, 1011.61, and 1011.62, Florida Statutes; State Board of Education Rules, Chapter 6A-1, Florida Administrative Code; and the *FTE General Instructions* issued by the Department of Education. Except for material noncompliance involving teachers, and the reporting of, and preparation and maintenance of supporting documentation for, students in ESOL, the Hillsborough County District School Board complied, in all material respects, with State requirements governing the determination and reporting of FTE for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009. All noncompliance disclosed by our examination procedures is discussed below and requires management's attention and action, as recommended on page 91.

> Net Audit Adjustments (Unweighted FTE)

Findings

Our examination included the July and October 2008 surveys and the February and June 2009 surveys (see NOTE A5). Unless otherwise specifically stated, the findings and audit adjustments presented herein are for the October 2008 survey or the February 2009 survey or both. Accordingly, our findings do not mention specific surveys unless necessary for a complete understanding of the instances of noncompliance being disclosed.

District-Wide

Ineligible ESOL Courses

1. [Ref. 149] <u>Our examination procedures include an automated test that compares</u> the course numbers reported in ESOL by the District to the courses that have been designated for that program by the Department of Education. The results of this test disclosed that two of the District's schools reported one course in ESOL that was ineligible for such reporting. We made the following audit adjustment:

103 Basic 9-12	.0686	
130 ESOL	<u>(.0686</u>)	<u>.0000</u>

SCHEDULE D (Continued)

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings

District-Wide (Continued)

Premature Assessment for Continued ESOL Placement

2. [Ref. --] The District did not assess ELL students due to start a fourth, fifth, or sixth year of ESOL placement based on the anniversary date of their initial placement. Instead, the District used annual assessments done in the winter and spring of the 2007-08 school year to make placement decisions for students starting a fourth, fifth, or sixth year during the 2008-09 school year. We confirmed with the Department of Education our understanding that such students should be assessed just prior to the start of their fourth, fifth, or sixth year as determined by the anniversary date of their initial ESOL placement. (See Finding Nos. 11, 13, 19, 31, 35, 40, 45, 54, 57, 62, 66, 69, 75, 84, 91, 99, 104, 113, 117, 121, 127, 134, 138, 142, 152, 155, 161, 170, 175, 176, 183, and 190.)

<u>Management's Response</u> – Management contends that the District properly assessed its ELL students on an annual basis, pursuant to Federal and State procedures and employed approved academic and language acquisition measures.

<u>Auditors' Resolution</u> – As noted in our Finding, we confirmed with the Florida Department of Education our understanding that ELL students due to start a fourth, fifth, or sixth year of ESOL placement should be assessed just prior to the start of their fourth, fifth, or sixth year as determined by the anniversary dates of their initial ESOL placements. Accordingly, the above-referenced Findings stand as presented herein.

.0000

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

Net Audit Adjustments (Unweighted FTE)

Net Audit Adjustments

(Unweighted FTE)

.0000

.0000

SCHEDULE D (Continued)

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings

District-Wide (Continued)

ESOL Placement, Assessment, and Parental Notification for Returning Students

3. [Ref. --] <u>The District routinely placed returning students into ESOL if those</u> <u>students had been enrolled in ESOL before they left the District for extended absences.</u> We noted one or more of the following exceptions involving such students: the English <u>language proficiency of the student was not reassessed, an ELL Committee was not</u> <u>convened, or the students' parents were not notified of the placements. (See Finding</u> <u>Nos. 20, 30, 61, 66, 68, 85, 103, 112, 119, 123, 125, 126, 143, 154, and 169</u>.)

ESOL Placement of FES Students

4. [Ref. --] <u>The District routinely placed FES students in ESOL without convening</u> an ELL Committee to consider the students' need for such placement, contrary to State Board of Education Rule 6A-6.0902(2)(a)3., Florida Administrative Code. (See Finding Nos. 20, 21, 30, 39, 52, 58, 94, 98, 163, 177, and 184.)

Carver Exceptional Center (#0063)

5. [Ref. 6301] <u>The attendance of one ESE student during the 11-day window of</u> <u>the February 2009 survey was not adequately supported.</u> The only record of the <u>student's attendance was an unofficial teacher-prepared grade book that showed the</u> <u>student as present for one class period on one day. We made the following audit</u> <u>adjustment:</u>

254 ESE Support Level 4 (.5000) (.5000)

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

<u>Findings</u>	Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>			
Carver Exceptional Center (#0063) (Continued)				
6. [Ref. 6302] One ESE student in the February 2009 survey withdrew from				
school before that survey and should not have been included with the survey's results.				
We made the following audit adjustment:				
254 ESE Support Level 4 (.5000)	(.5000)			
7. [Ref. 6303] One ESE student was not reported in accordance with the student's				
Matrix of Services forms. We made the following audit adjustment:				
112 Grades 4-8 with ESE Services (1.0000) 254 ESE Support Level 4 1.0000	.0000			
8. [Ref. 6304] We noted the following exceptions involving two ESE students:				
(a) the IEP and Matrix of Services form for the October 2008 survey for one ESE student				
were missing and could not be located, and (b) there was no evidence that the parents of				
one ESE student had been advised of, and invited to, the student's IEP-development				
meeting. We made the following audit adjustment:				
102 Basic 4-8 .5000 103 Basic 9-12 .5000 254 ESE Support Level 4 (1.0000)	.0000			
9. [Ref. 6370] One teacher held District-issued, nonacademic certification in				
Quantity Foods but was assigned to teach various courses which required either a				
Bachelor's degree, academic certification in Family and Consumer Science, or ESE				
certification, none of which the teacher held. We also noted that the teacher taught				
these or similar courses in the prior school year. Teachers holding District-issued,				
nonacademic certifications should not be assigned to teach academic subject areas				
out-of-field. We made the following audit adjustment:				

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

<u>Findings</u>	Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>
Carver Exceptional Center (#0063) (Continued)	
102 Basic 4-8 .8328 103 Basic 9-12 2.6668 254 ESE Support Level 4 (3.4996)	<u>.0000</u> <u>(1.0000</u>)
Frost Elementary School (#0070)	
10.[Ref. 7001] We noted the following exceptions involving two ELL students:(a) the parents of one ELL student, who had been living outside of the District for two years, were not notified of the student's readmission into ESOL for the 2008-09 school year, and (b) the parents of one ELL student in the October 2008 survey were not notified of the student's ESOL placement until after that survey (on October 22, 2008). We made the following audit adjustment:102 Basic 4-81.5000130 ESOL(1.5000)	.0000
11. [Ref. 7002] The English language proficiency of two students who began a fourth or fifth year of ESOL placement during the 2008-09 school year was prematurely assessed by the District in the winter or spring of the 2007-08 school year. These assessments should have been conducted just prior to the start of the students' fourth or fifth year of ESOL placement as determined by the students' ESOL anniversary dates. (See Finding No. 2.) We made the following audit adjustment: 101 Basic K-3 1.0000 130 ESOL (1.0000)	.0000

SCHEDULE D (Continued)

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings

Net Audit Adjustments (Unweighted FTE)

Frost Elementary School (#0070) (Continued)

12. [Ref. 7070] <u>One teacher who taught Primary Language Arts to classes that</u> included ELL students had earned only 180 of the 300 in-service training points in ESOL strategies required by rule and the teacher's in-service training timeline. We made the following audit adjustment:

101 Basic K-3	.7500	
130 ESOL	<u>(.7500</u>)	.0000

.0000

Alexander Elementary School (#0081)

13. [Ref. 8101/02] <u>The English language proficiency of eight students who began a</u> fourth, fifth, or sixth year of ESOL placement during the 2008-09 school year was prematurely assessed by the District in March or May of the 2007-08 school year. These assessments should have been conducted just prior to the start of the students' fourth, fifth, or sixth year of ESOL placement as determined by the students' ESOL anniversary dates. (See Finding No. 2.) We also noted that four of the students (Ref. 8102) were proficient in the English language according to their scores on the May 2008 CELLA. We made the following audit adjustments:

<u>Ref. 8101</u> 102 Basic 4-8 130 ESOL	2.0000 (2.0000)	.0000
<u>Ref. 8102</u> 101 Basic K-3	3.0000	
101 Basic K-5 102 Basic 4-8 130 ESOL	.5000 (<u>3.5000</u>)	.0000

SCHEDULE D (Continued)

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings

Net Audit Adjustments (Unweighted FTE)

Alexander Elementary School (#0081) (Continued)

14. [Ref. 8170/71] Two teachers taught Primary Language Arts to classes that
included ELL students but had not earned the number of in-service training points in
ESOL strategies required by rule and the teachers' in-service training timelines. (One
teacher [Ref. 8170] had earned none of 60 required points and the other teacher
[Ref. 8171] had earned only 60 of 120 required points.) We made the following audit
<u>adjustments</u> :

	<u>Ref. 8170</u> 101 Basic K-3 130 ESOL	1.0000 <u>(1.0000</u>)	.0000
	<u>Ref. 8171</u> 102 Basic 4-8 130 ESOL	3.9000 <u>(3.9000</u>)	.0000
15.	[Ref. 8172] One teacher was not properly certified to teach ELL	students and	
was no	ot approved by the School Board to teach such students out-of-field	d. We made	
<u>the foll</u>	lowing audit adjustment:		

101 Basic K-3	3.5000	
130 ESOL	<u>(3.5000)</u> <u>.000</u>	00
	.000	00

Hammond Elementary School (#0102)

16. [Ref. 10270/71/72] We noted exceptions involving three out-of-field teachers. The parents of certain ELL students taught by three out-of-field teachers in the October 2008 survey were not notified until after that survey (on October 20, 2008) that the students' teachers were out-of-field. We also noted that one of the teachers (Ref. 10272) had earned only 120 of the 180 in-service training points in ESOL strategies required by rule and the teacher's in-service training timeline. We made the following audit adjustments:

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

<u>Findings</u>		Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>
Hammond Elementary School (#0102) (Continued)		
<u>Ref. 10270</u>		
101 Basic K-3	.5000	0000
130 ESOL	<u>(.5000</u>)	.0000
<u>Ref. 10271</u>		
101 Basic K-3	.7500	
130 ESOL	<u>(.7500</u>)	.0000
<u>Ref. 10272</u>		
101 Basic K-3	.5000	
130 ESOL	<u>(.5000</u>)	<u>.0000</u>
		<u>.0000</u>
Alonso High School (#0151)		
17. [Ref. 15101] The file for one ESE student did not cont	ain an IEP for the	
2008-09 school year. We made the following audit adjustment:		
103 Basic 9-12	1.0000	
113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services	<u>(1.0000</u>)	.0000
18. [Ref. 15102] The ELL Student Plans for four students wer	re incomplete. The	
Plans did not identify the subject areas in which ESOL strategies w	rere to be used. We	
made the following audit adjustment:		
102 D : 0.12	5020	
103 Basic 9-12 130 ESOL	.5838 <u>(.5838</u>)	.0000
130 1301	<u>(.5050</u>)	.0000
19. [Ref. 15103] The English language proficiency of eight stu	idents who began a	
fourth, fifth, or sixth year of ESOL placement during the 2008-	09 school year was	
prematurely assessed by the District in March or May of the 2007-08	<u>3 school year. These</u>	
assessments should have been conducted just prior to the start of t		
fifth, or sixth year of ESOL placement as determined by the students		
dates. (See Finding No. 2.) We made the following audit adjustment	<u>t</u> :	
The accompanying notes are an integral	nart of this schedule.	

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

<u>Findings</u>	Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>			
Alonso High School (#0151) (Continued)				
103 Basic 9-12 3.2526 130 ESOL (3.2526)	.0000			
20. [Ref. 15104] One student was incorrectly re-enrolled in ESOL for the 2008-09				
school year after returning to the District from an absence of about five years. The				
student was FES and an ELL Committee was not convened to consider the student's				
ESOL re-enrollment into ESOL, contrary to State Board of Education Rule				
6A-6.0902(2)(a)3., Florida Administrative Code. We also noted the student's file did not				
contain evidence that the student's parents were notified of the student's re-enrollment				
into ESOL. (See Finding Nos. 3 and 4.) We made the following audit adjustment:				
103 Basic 9-12 .8340 130 ESOL (.8340)	.0000			
21. [Ref. 15105] One student was reported incorrectly in ESOL for a fifth year of				
placement. The student was FES and an ELL Committee was not convened to consider				
the student's ESOL placement, contrary to State Board of Education Rule				
6A-6.0902(2)(a)3., Florida Administrative Code. (See Finding No. 4.) We made the				
following audit adjustment:				
103 Basic 9-12 .6672 130 ESOL (.6672)	.0000			
22. [Ref. 15106] The Matrix of Services form supporting the on-campus instruction				
for one ESE student incorrectly included 13 Special Considerations points designated				
for Hospital and Homebound instruction; consequently, the student was reported				
incorrectly in program No. 254 (ESE Support Level 4) for that on-campus instruction.				
(The student had a separate Matrix form that appropriately covered the student's				
Hospital and Homebound instruction.) We made the following audit adjustment:				
113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services.9200254 ESE Support Level 4(.9200)The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule	.0000			

SCHEDULE D (Continued)

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings

Net Audit Adjustments (Unweighted FTE)

Alonso High School (#0151) (Continued)

23. [Ref. 15170] <u>One teacher who taught Basic subject area classes that included</u> ELL students had earned none of the 60 in-service training points in ESOL strategies required by rule and the teacher's in-service training timeline. We made the following <u>audit adjustment</u>:

103 Basic 9-12	.5004	
130 ESOL	<u>(.5004</u>)	.0000

24. [Ref. 15171/72] <u>Two teachers taught Reading out-of-field both during a prior</u> school year and during the 2008-09 school year but did not meet their in-service training timelines related to those out-of-field assignments. Both teachers needed to have earned 120 in-service points by August 2008 but did not do so until after the February 2009 survey. We also noted that: (a) one of the teachers (Ref. 15172) was not properly certified to teach ELL students and was not approved by the School Board to teach such students out-of-field, and (b) the parents of the ELL students taught by the other teacher (Ref. 15171) were not notified of the teacher's out-of-field status. We made the following audit adjustments:

<u>Ref. 15171</u>		
103 Basic 9-12	.0834	
130 ESOL	<u>(.0834</u>)	.0000
<u>Ref. 15172</u>		
103 Basic 9-12	.5004	
130 ESOL	<u>(.5004)</u>	.0000
	× //	

25. [Ref. 15173] <u>The parents of certain ELL students who were taught by one</u> out-of-field ESOL teacher were not notified of the teacher's out-of-field status. We also noted that the teacher had earned only 60 of the 180 in-service training points in ESOL strategies required by rule and the teacher's in-service training timeline. We made the following audit adjustment:

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

<u>Findings</u>	Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>
Alonso High School (#0151) (Continued)	
103 Basic 9-12 4.1700 130 ESOL (4.1700)	<u>.0000</u> .0000
Brandon High School (#0291)	
26. [Ref. 29101] <u>The IEP for one ESE student, who had returned to on-campus</u> instruction after having been assigned to the Hospital and Homebound program, was	
not revised and a new Matrix of Services form prepared to reflect the on-campus services	
provided to the student. We made the following audit adjustment:	
103 Basic 9-12 .5000 255 ESE Support Level 5 (.5000)	.0000
27. [Ref. 29102] Three Career Education 9-12 (OJT) students did not work during	
the February 2009 survey and, consequently, should not have been reported for OJT-	
related FTE. We made the following audit adjustment:	
300 Career Education 9-12 (.2490)	(.2490)
28. [Ref. 29103] The ELL Student Plans for two students in the October 2008 and	
February 2009 surveys were printed on March 16, 2009, and thus were not in the	
students' files at the time of those surveys. State Board of Education Rule 6A-6.0901(6),	
Florida Administrative Code, specifies that an ELL Student Plan should be a written	
(i.e., hard copy) document that is maintained in each student's file. We made the	
following audit adjustment:	

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

<u>Findings</u>	Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>
Brandon High School (#0291) (Continued)	
103 Basic 9-121.1672130 ESOL(1.1672)	.0000
29. [Ref. 29104] The ELL Student Plans for two students did not include the	
students' authorized instructional schedules for ESOL. We made the following audit	
<u>adjustment</u> :	
103 Basic 9-12 .7502 130 ESOL (.7502)	.0000
30. [Ref. 29105] We noted the following exceptions involving two students in	
ESOL:	
 a. One student was FES and was placed incorrectly in ESOL in September 2008. The student's placement was based solely on the student's failure to achieve a Level 3 in Reading on the March 2008 FCAT and an ELL Committee was not convened to consider the student's placement (see Finding No. 4). We also noted that the student's parents were not notified of the student's ESOL placement. b. One student was placed incorrectly in ESOL after returning to the District from 	
New Jersey. The student had been exited from ESOL while in New Jersey and there was no documentation supporting the student's eligibility for placement into the District's ESOL program. (See Finding No. 3.) We made the following audit adjustment:	
103 Basic 9-121.7506130 ESOL(1.7506)	.0000

SCHEDULE D (Continued)

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings

Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>

Brandon High School (#0291) (Continued)

31. [Ref. 29106] <u>The English language proficiency of three students who began a</u> fourth or fifth year of ESOL placement during the 2008-09 school year was prematurely assessed by the District in March and May of the 2007-08 school year. These assessments should have been conducted just prior to the start of the students' fourth or fifth years of ESOL placement as determined by the students' ESOL anniversary dates. (See Finding No. 2.) We made the following audit adjustment:

103 Basic 9-12	1.9170	
130 ESOL	<u>(1.9170</u>)	.0000

32. [Ref. 29107] <u>One ELL student who was in our ESOL sample for Mann Middle</u> School was also enrolled for ESOL-reported course work at Brandon High School. We noted that this student's <u>ELL Student Plan</u> did not specify the student's instructional schedule and ESOL courses for either school. (For the audit adjustment at Mann Middle School, see Finding No. 122 [Ref. 280101].) We made the following audit adjustment for Brandon High School:

102 Basic 4-8	.1668	
130 ESOL	<u>(.1668</u>)	.0000
		<u>(.2490)</u>

Bryan Elementary School (#0521)

33. [Ref. 52101] <u>One student was reported incorrectly in ESOL in the</u> October 2008 and February 2009 surveys. The student had been exited from ESOL on October 2, 2008, prior to both surveys, and should have been reported in Basic education. We made the following audit adjustment:

102 Basic 4-8	1.0000	
130 ESOL	<u>(1.0000</u>)	.0000

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings	Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>
Bryan Elementary School (#0521) (Continued)	
34. [Ref. 52102] The ELL Student Plan for two students in the October 2008 and	
February 2009 surveys were not reviewed and updated until October 23, 2008, and, thus,	
did not cover the October 2008 survey. We made the following audit adjustment:	
101 Basic K-3 1.0000 130 ESOL (1.0000)	.0000
35. [Ref. 52103/04] The English language proficiency of six students who began a	
fourth, fifth, or sixth year of ESOL placement during the 2008-09 school year was	
prematurely assessed by the District in February, March, and May of the 2007-08 school	
year. These assessments should have been conducted just prior to the start of the	
students' fourth, fifth, or sixth year of ESOL placement as determined by the students'	
ESOL anniversary dates. (See Finding No. 2.) We also noted that five of the six	
students were proficient in the English language according to their scores on the May	
2008 CELLA. We made the following audit adjustments:	
Ref. 52103 .5000 101 Basic K-3 .5000 130 ESOL (.5000)	.0000
Ref. 52104101 Basic K-33.0000102 Basic 4-81.5000130 ESOL(4.5000)	.0000
36. [Ref. 52170] The parents of certain ELL students taught by one out-of-field	
teacher in the October 2008 survey were not notified of the teacher's out-of-field status	
until after that survey (on January 14, 2009); consequently, the notification was not	
effective for that survey. We made the following audit adjustment:	
101 Basic K-3 1.5000 130 ESOL (1.5000)	.0000

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

<u>Findings</u>	Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>
Bryan Elementary School (#0521) (Continued)	
37. [Ref. 52171] <u>One teacher was not properly certified to teach ELL students and</u>	
was not approved by the School Board to teach such students out-of-field. We made the following audit adjustment:	
101 Basic K-3 3.2500 130 ESOL (3.2500)	.0000
	.0000
	.0000
Buchanan Middle School (#0561)	
38. [Ref. 56101] One ESE student was not reported in accordance with the	
student's Matrix of Services form. We made the following audit adjustment:	
112 Grades 4-8 with ESE Services 1.0000 254 ESE Support Level 4 (1.0000)	.0000
39. [Ref. 56102] One student was reported incorrectly in ESOL. The student was	
FES and an ELL Committee was not convened to consider the student's ESOL	
placement. (See Finding No. 4.) We also noted the ELL Student Plan for this student	
was not prepared until after the October 2008 survey. We made the following audit	
<u>adjustment</u> :	
102 Basic 4-8 1.0000 130 ESOL (1.0000)	.0000
40. [Ref. 56103] The English language proficiency of two students who began a	
fourth year of ESOL placement during the 2008-09 school year was prematurely	
assessed in March of the 2007-08 school year. These assessments should have been	
conducted just prior to the start of the students' fourth year of ESOL placement as	
determined by the students' ESOL anniversary dates. (See Finding No. 2.) We made	
the following audit adjustment:	
The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.	

-32-

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

<u>Findings</u>	Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>
Buchanan Middle School (#0561) (Continued)	
102 Basic 4-8 2.0000 130 ESOL (2.0000)	.0000
41. [Ref. 56170/71/72] <u>Three teachers who taught Basic subject area classes that</u> included ELL students did not earn the number of in-service training points in ESOL strategies required by rule and the teachers' in-service training timelines. (One teacher [Ref. 561760] had earned none of 60 required points, one teacher [Ref. 56171] had earned only 240 of 300 required points, and one teacher [Ref. 56172] had earned none of 120 points.) We made the following audit adjustments: <u>Ref. 56170</u> 102 Basic 4-8 3.5000	
130 ESOL (3.5000)	.0000
Ref. 56171 .7000 102 Basic 4-8 .7000 130 ESOL (.7000)	.0000
Ref. 56172 .2000 102 Basic 4-8 .2000 130 ESOL (.2000)	<u>.0000</u> .0000

Chamberlain High School (#0761)

42. [Ref. 76172] <u>One teacher who taught Language Arts to classes that included one</u> <u>ELL student had earned only 180 of the 300 in-service training points in ESOL</u> <u>strategies required by rule and the teacher's in-service training timeline. Since the</u> <u>student involved has been cited and adjusted for other noncompliance in Finding No.</u> <u>45 (Ref. 76103), we made no audit adjustment here.</u>

.0000

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings

Net Audit Adjustments (Unweighted FTE)

Chamberlain High School (#0761) (Continued)

43. [Ref. 76101] <u>We noted the following exceptions involving parental notification</u> for three students in ESOL:

- a. The files for two students did not contain evidence of parental notification.
- b. Parental notification for one student was not adequately documented. School management provided us with a standard, preprinted parental notification form that indicated the student's parents were notified of the student's ESOL placement during the 2006-07 school year; however, we determined that the form itself had not been developed and distributed for use until the 2007-08 school year.

We made the following audit adjustment:

103 Basic 9-12	2.3344	
130 ESOL	(2.3344)	.0000

44. [Ref. 76102] <u>The ELL Student Plan for one student did not authorize the</u> reporting in ESOL of one of the student's courses that was claimed in that program. We made the following audit adjustment:

103 Basic 9-12	.0834	
130 ESOL	<u>(.0834</u>)	.0000

45. [Ref. 76103] <u>The English language proficiency of 11 students who began a</u> fourth or sixth year of ESOL placement during the 2008-09 school year was prematurely assessed in March and May of the 2007-08 school year. These assessments should have been conducted just prior to the start of the students' fourth or sixth years of ESOL placement as determined by the students' ESOL anniversary dates. (See Finding No. 2.)

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

<u>Findings</u>	Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>
Chamberlain High School (#0761) (Continued)	
We also noted that the ELL Student Plan for one of the students did not authorize the	
reporting in ESOL of one of the student's courses that was claimed in that program.	
We made the following audit adjustment:	
103 Basic 9-12 4.3356 130 ESOL (4.3356)	.0000
46. [Ref. 76104] The ELL Committees for six FES students did not consider at	
least two of the ESOL placement criteria specified by State Board of Education Rule	
6A-6.0902(2)(a)4., Florida Administrative Code. We made the following audit	
adjustment:	
103 Basic 9-12 3.8356 130 ESOL (3.8356)	.0000
47. [Ref. 76105] The timecard necessary to support the reported OJT work hours	
for one student in Career Education 9-12 (OJT) was missing and could not be located.	
We made the following audit adjustment:	
300 Career Education 9-12 (.0830)	(.0830)
48. [Ref. 76106] One student in our Basic sample was assigned to an off-site	
location as an alternative to an out-of-school suspension; however, no documentation of	
the student's attendance at that location could be located. We made the following audit	
<u>adjustment</u> :	
103 Basic 9-12 (.4166) 300 Career Education 9-12 (.0834)	(.5000)

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

<u>Findings</u>	Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>
Chamberlain High School (#0761) (Continued)	
49. [Ref. 76170] One teacher who taught Language Arts to classes that included	
ELL students had earned only 240 of the 300 in-service training points in ESOL	
strategies required by rule and the teacher's in-service training timeline. We made the	
following audit adjustment:	
103 Basic 9-12 .1668 130 ESOL (.1668)	.0000
50. [Ref. 76171] One teacher was not properly certified to teach ELL students and	
was not approved by the School Board to teach such students out-of-field. We made	
the following audit adjustment:	
103 Basic 9-12 .5004 130 ESOL (.5004)	<u>.0000</u> (.5830)
Clark Elementary School (#0851)	
51. [Ref. 85101] The ELL Student Plans for three students did not identify the	
subject areas in which ESOL strategies were to be employed. We made the following	
audit adjustment:	
102 Basic 4-8 1.5000 130 ESOL (1.5000)	.0000
52. [Ref. 85102] Two students were reported incorrectly in ESOL. The students	
were FES and an ELL Committee was not convened to consider their ESOL placement,	
contrary to State Board of Education Rule 6A-6.0902(2)(a)3., Florida Administrative	
Code. (See Finding No. 4.) We made the following audit adjustment:	
101 Basic K-3 1.5000 130 ESOL (1.5000)	.0000

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings

Net Audit Adjustments (Unweighted FTE)

Clark Elementary School (#0851) (Continued)

53. [Ref. 85103] The ELL Committee for one student, who was FES, did not
consider at least two of the ESOL placement criteria specified by State Board of
Education Rule 6A-6.0902(2)(a)4., Florida Administrative Code. We made the following
audit adjustment:

102 Basic 4-8	.5000	
130 ESOL	<u>(.5000</u>)	<u>.0000</u>

.0000

Coleman Middle School (#0921)

54. [Ref. 92101] <u>The English language proficiency of three students who began a</u> fifth or sixth year of ESOL placement during the 2008-09 school year was prematurely assessed in March and May of the 2007-08 school year. These assessments should have been conducted just prior to the start of the students' fifth or sixth years of ESOL placement as determined by the students' ESOL anniversary dates. (See Finding No. 2.) We also noted that the students were proficient in the English language according to their scores on the May 2008 CELLA. We made the following audit adjustment:

102 Basic 4-8	2.3000	
130 ESOL	<u>(2.3000</u>)	.0000

55. [Ref. 92170] <u>One teacher was not properly certified to teach ELL students and</u> was not approved by the School Board to teach such students out-of-field. We also noted that: (a) the newsletter used to notify parents of out-of-field teacher assignments (dated September 30, 2008) did not disclose the subject areas involved in those out-of-field assignments, and (b) the teacher had earned only 120 of the 240 in-service training points in ESOL strategies required by rule and the teacher's in-service training timeline. We made the following audit adjustment:

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

<u>Findings</u>	Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>
Coleman Middle School (#0921) (Continued)	
102 Basic 4-8 .1000 130 ESOL (.1000)	<u>.0000</u> .0000
Crestwood Elementary School (#1021)	
56. [Ref. 102101] <u>The ELL Student Plan for one student did not identify the subject</u> <u>areas in which ESOL strategies were to be employed. We made the following audit</u> <u>adjustment</u> :	
101 Basic K-3 .5000 130 ESOL (.5000)	.0000
57. [Ref. 102102] <u>The English language proficiency of five students who began a</u> fourth or sixth year of ESOL placement during the 2008-09 school year was prematurely assessed in February, March, or May of the 2007-08 school year. These assessments	
should have been conducted just prior to the start of the students' fourth or sixth year ofESOL placement as determined by the students' ESOL anniversary dates. (See FindingNo. 2.) We made the following audit adjustment:101 Basic K-32.0000	
101 Basic 110 1.0000 102 Basic 4-8 1.0000 130 ESOL (3.0000)	.0000
58. [Ref. 102103] <u>One student was reported incorrectly in ESOL.</u> The student was <u>FES and an ELL Committee was not convened to consider the student's ESOL</u> <u>placement, contrary to State Board of Education Rule 6A-6.0902(2)(a)3.</u> , Florida <u>Administrative Code. (See Finding No. 4.) We made the following audit adjustment:</u>	
102 Basic 4-8 .5000 130 ESOL (.5000)	.0000

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings

Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>

Crestwood Elementary School (#1021) (Continued)

59. [Ref. 102104] The continued ESOL placement of two FES students for a fourth		
year was not adequately supported. The students' ELL Committees convened in		
September 2008, after the students' ESOL anniversary dates in August 2008, and used		
undated assessment results in justifying the students' ESOL placements (it appears these		
assessments were prior to the May 2008 CELLA on which the students achieved		
English proficient scores). We made the following audit adjustment:		
101 Basic K-3 1.5000 130 ESOL (1.5000)	<u>.0000</u>	
	<u>.0000</u>	
Dover Elementary School (#1201)		
60. [Ref. 120101] The file for one ELL student did not contain an ELL Student Plan		
for the 2008-09 school year. The file did have a Plan that was dated March 27, 2009, and		
indicated that the student was to be exited from ESOL. We made the following audit		
adjustment:		
102 Basic 4-8 .5000 130 ESOL (.5000)	.0000	
61. [Ref. 120102] The parents of three ELL students were not notified that their		
children had been re-enrolled in ESOL after returning to the District from an extended		
absence of more than one year. (See Finding No. 3.) We made the following audit		
<u>adjustment</u> :		

101 Basic K-3	1.5000	
102 Basic 4-8	.5000	
130 ESOL	<u>(2.0000</u>)	.0000

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings

Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>

Dover Elementary School (#1201) (Continued)

62. [Ref. 120103] The English language proficiency of 11 studer	<u>nts who began a</u>	
fourth, fifth, or sixth year of ESOL placement during the 2008-09	school year was	
prematurely assessed in February, March, or May of the 2007-08 sch	ool year. These	
assessments should have been conducted just prior to the start of the	students' fourth,	
fifth, or sixth year of ESOL placement as determined by the students' E	SOL anniversary	
dates. (See Finding No. 2.) We also noted that six of the students were	proficient in the	
English language according to their scores on the May 2008 CELLA	. We made the	
following audit adjustment:		
101 Basic K-3 102 Basic 4-8 130 ESOL	7.5000 1.0000 <u>(8.5000</u>)	.0000
63. [Ref. 120170] <u>One teacher was not properly certified to teach E</u>	ELL students and	
was not approved by the School Board to teach such students out-o	f-field. We also	
noted that the teacher had earned only 60 of the 120 in-service training	points in ESOL	
strategies required by rule and the teacher's in-service training timeline	e. We made the	
following audit adjustment:		
101 Basic K-3 130 ESOL	4.2500 (4.2500)	.0000
64. [Ref. 120171] One teacher who taught Primary Language Art	ts to classes that	
included ELL students had earned only 180 of the 300 in-service th	raining points in	
ESOL strategies required by rule and the teacher's in-service training tin	neline. We made	
the following audit adjustment:		
102 Basic 4-8 130 ESOL	6.2500 (6.2500)	<u>.0000</u> .0000

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings

Durant High School (#1291)

65. [Ref. 129101] <u>Because of an isolated data processing error, the OJT courses</u> listed in the schedules for four Career Education 9-12 (OJT) students were funded for exactly one minute or .0004 FTE. We determined that the students did not work during the reporting survey and should not have been funded for OJT. We made the following <u>audit adjustment</u>:

300 Career Education 9-12

66. [Ref. 129102] <u>The ELL Student Plans for nine students were printed in</u> November 2008 (two students) or in March 2009 (seven students) and thus were not in the students' files at the time of the October 2008 and February 2009 surveys. State Board of Education Rule 6A-6.0901(6), Florida Administrative Code, specifies that an ELL Student Plan should be a written (i.e., hard copy) document that is maintained in each student's file. We also noted that:

- a. The English language proficiency of three of the students, who began a fourth year of ESOL placement during the 2008-09 school year, was prematurely assessed in February, March, or May of the 2007-08 school year. These assessments should have been conducted just prior to the start of the students' fourth year of ESOL placement as determined by the students' ESOL anniversary dates. (See Finding No. 2.)
- b. <u>The parents of two of the students were not notified of the students'</u> re-enrollment into the District's ESOL program after returning to the District from an absence of over six months. (See Finding No. 3.)
- c. <u>The ELL Student Plan for one of the students did not authorize the ESOL</u> reporting of any of the student's courses that were claimed for that program.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>

(.0028)

(.0028)

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

<u>Findings</u>	Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>		
Durant High School (#1291) (Continued)			
We made the following audit adjustment:			
103 Basic 9-12 4.2522 130 ESOL (4.2522)	.0000		
67. [Ref. 129103] The ELL Student Plans for five students did not authorize the			
ESOL reporting of one or more of the students' courses that were claimed in that			
program. We made the following audit adjustment:			
103 Basic 9-12 1.2506 130 ESOL (1.2506)	.0000		
68. [Ref. 129104] The parents of two ELL students were not notified that the			
students had been re-enrolled in ESOL after returning to the District from an extended			
time period of more than two and three years, respectively. We also noted that the			
English language proficiency of one of the students was not reassessed after the			
student's return. (See Finding No. 3.) We made the following audit adjustment:			
103 Basic 9-12 1.2510 130 ESOL (1.2510)	.0000		
69. [Ref. 129105] The English language proficiency of seven students who began a			
fourth, fifth, or sixth year of ESOL placement during the 2008-09 school year was			
prematurely assessed in February, March, or May of the 2007-08 school year. These			
assessments should have been conducted just prior to the start of the students' fourth,			
fifth, or sixth year of ESOL placement as determined by the students' ESOL anniversary			
dates. (See Finding No. 2.) We made the following audit adjustment:			
103 Basic 9-12 3.1676 130 ESOL (3.1676)	.0000		

Net Audit

<u>SCHEDULE D</u> (Continued)

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings	Adjustments (Unweighted FTE)
<u>r mangs</u>	(Unweighted FTE)
Durant High School (#1291) (Continued)	
70. [Ref. 129170] One teacher was not properly certified to teach ELL students and	
was not approved by the School Board to teach such students out-of-field. We also	
noted that the teacher had earned only 240 of the 300 in-service training points in ESOL	
strategies required by rule and the teacher's in-service training timeline. We made the	
following audit adjustment:	
103 Basic 9-12 .8340 130 ESOL (.8340)	.0000
71. [Ref. 129171] One teacher was not properly certified and was not approved by	
the School Board to teach out-of-field. The teacher held a District-issued certificate in	
Fire Fighting but taught courses which required a District-issued certificate in Health	
Occupations. We also noted that the parents of the students concerned were not	
notified of the teacher's out-of-field status. We made the following audit adjustment:	
103 Basic 9-12 7.0052 300 Career Education 9-12 (7.0052)	.0000
	<u>(.0028</u>)
Eisenhower Middle School (#1324)	
72. [Ref. 132401] The ELL Student Plans for 15 students did not authorize the	
ESOL reporting of one or more of their courses that were claimed in that program. We	
made the following audit adjustment:	

102 Basic 4-8	7.2000	
130 ESOL	(7.2000)	.0000

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings

Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>

Eisenhower Middle School (#1324) (Continued)

73. [Ref. 132402] The ELL Student	Plans for two students were printed on	
February 2, 2009, and April 23, 2009, resp	ectively, and thus were not in the students'	
files at the time of the October 2008 an	d February 2009 surveys. State Board of	
Education Rule 6A-6.0901(6), Florida Ac	lministrative Code, specifies that an ELL	
Student Plan should be a written (i.e., hard	copy) document that is maintained in each	
student's file. We also noted that the studen	nts' parents were not notified of the students'	
ESOL placements until April 23, 2009. We	made the following audit adjustment:	
102 Basic 4-8 130 ESOL	1.0000 <u>(1.0000</u>)	.0000
74. [Ref. 132403] The supporting doc	umentation necessary to support the ESOL	
placement and reporting of one student v	vas missing and could not be located. We	
made the following audit adjustment:		
102 Basic 4-8 130 ESOL	.5000 <u>(.5000</u>)	.0000
75. [Ref. 132404] <u>The English languag</u>	e proficiency of four students who began a	
fifth or sixth year of ESOL placement duri	ng the 2008-09 school year was prematurely	
assessed in March and May of the 2007-08	school year. These assessments should have	
been conducted just prior to the start of	the students' fifth or sixth year of ESOL	
placement as determined by the students' E	SOL anniversary dates. (See Finding No. 2.)	
We also noted that the ELL Student Plan for	or one of the students did not authorize the	
reporting in ESOL of one of the student's	s courses that was claimed in that program.	
We made the following audit adjustment:		
102 Basic 4-8 130 ESOL	2.9917 (2.9917)	.0000

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings

Net Audit Adjustments (Unweighted FTE)

Eisenhower Middle School (#1324) (Continued)

- 76. [Ref. 132405] We noted the following exceptions involving three ESE students:
 - The file for one student in the October 2008 survey did not contain an IEP a. covering that survey. (The student's IEP expired on September 26, 2008, and a new IEP was not prepared until November 6, 2008.)
 - b. The IEP for one student was signed only by the student's parent. Accordingly, we were unable to determine whether the required District personnel were involved in the IEP-development process.
 - The IEP-development process for one student was not adequately documented. c. We noted the following inconsistencies: (i) records indicated that the IEP meeting was held on April 1, 2008, but the IEP itself was dated March 25, 2008, (ii) the IEP signature form was printed on April 23, 2008, but was attached to the IEP dated March 25, 2008, and (iii) the signature form was signed only by the student's parent and one teacher.

We made the following audit adjustment:

102 Basic 4-8	2.0000	
112 Grades 4-8 with ESE Services	<u>(2.0000</u>)	.0000
77. [Ref. 132406] Two ESE students were not reported in ac	cordance with their	
Matrix of Services forms. We also noted that the Matrix form for one	e of the students did	
not designate the specific services to be provided in two Doma	uns. We made the	
following audit adjustment:		
112 Grades 4-8 with ESE Services	2.0000	
254 ESE Support Level 4	<u>(2.0000</u>)	.0000

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

<u>Findings</u>	Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>
Eisenhower Middle School (#1324) (Continued)	
78. [Ref. 132407] One part-time ESE student was incorrectly reported in the	
October 2008 survey as a full-time student. We made the following audit adjustment:	
254 ESE Support Level 4 (.1664)	(.1664)
79. [Ref. 132409] The Matrix of Services form for one ESE student was	
mathematically incorrect and did not indicate the specific services to be provided in	
three Domains. We made the following audit adjustment:	
112 Grades 4-8 with ESE Services 1.0000 254 ESE Support Level 4 (1.0000)	.0000
80. [Ref. 132470/72/74] The parents of certain ELL students taught by three	
out-of-field ESOL teachers in the October 2008 survey either were not notified of the	
teachers' out-of-field status (Ref. 132474) or were not notified until January 21, 2009	
(Ref. 132470/72). We made the following audit adjustments:	
Ref. 132470 .2000 102 Basic 4-8 .2000 130 ESOL (.2000)	.0000
Ref. 132472 102 Basic 4-8 .3000 130 ESOL (.3000)	.0000
Ref. 132474 .5000 102 Basic 4-8 .5000 130 ESOL (.5000)	.0000

Net Audit

<u>SCHEDULE D</u> (Continued)

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

<u>Findings</u>	Adjustments (Unweighted FTE)
Eisenhower Middle School (#1324) (Continued)	
81. [Ref. 132471] One teacher in the October 2008 and February 2009 surveys was	
not properly certified to teach ELL students and was not approved by the School Board	
to teach such students out-of-field. We also noted that the parents of these students	
were not notified of the teacher's out-of-field status until January 21, 2009. We made	
the following audit adjustment:	
102 Basic 4-8 .3000 130 ESOL (.3000)	.0000
82. [Ref. 132473] One teacher was not properly certified to teach Reading to Basic	
or ELL students and was not approved by the School Board to teach out-of-field. We	
made the following audit adjustment:	
102 Basic 4-8 .9000 130 ESOL (.9000)	.0000
83. [Ref. 132475] One teacher who taught Basic subject area classes that included	
ELL students had not earned the 60 in-service training points in ESOL strategies	
required by rule and the teacher's in-service training timeline. We made the following	
<u>audit adjustment</u> :	
102 Basic 4-8 .8000 130 ESOL (.8000)	<u>.0000</u>
	<u>(.1664</u>)

Net Audit Adjustments (Unweighted FTE)

<u>SCHEDULE D</u> (Continued)

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings

Foster Elementary School (#1481)

84.	[Ref. 148101] The English language proficiency of three students who b	egan a	
fourth	n or fifth year of ESOL placement during the 2008-09 school year was prem	<u>aturely</u>	
assess	sed in March and May of the 2007-08 school year. These assessments shoul	<u>d have</u>	
been	conducted just prior to the start of the students' fourth or fifth year of	ESOL	
placer	ment as determined by the students' ESOL anniversary dates. (See Finding 1	<u>No. 2.)</u>	
We m	ade the following audit adjustment:		
	102 Basic 4-8	1.0000 .5000 1.5000)	.0000
85.	[Ref. 148102] The parents of one ELL student were not notified that the	<u>r child</u>	
was r	e-enrolled in ESOL after returning to the District from an absence of ove	<u>r eight</u>	
mont	hs. (See Finding No. 3.) We made the following audit adjustment:		
		1.0000 1. <u>0000</u>)	.0000
86.	[Ref. 148103] The Matrix of Services forms for two ESE students did not in	ndicate	
<u>the sp</u>	pecific services to be provided in one of the Domains. We made the fol	lowing	
audit	adjustment:	-	
		1.5000 1.5000)	.0000

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findin	<u>ngs</u>	Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>	
Foster	Elementary School (#1481) (Continued)		
87.	[Ref. 148104] The Matrix of Services form for one ESE student was not reviewed		
when t	the IEP was revised October 8, 2008. We made the following audit adjustment:		
	111 Grades K-3 with ESE Services1.0000254 ESE Support Level 4(1.0000)	<u>.0000</u> .0000	
Freed	om High School (#1541)		
88. <u>missin</u>	[Ref. 154101] <u>The timecard for one Career Education 9-12 (OJT) student was</u> g and could not be located. We made the following audit adjustment:		
	300 Career Education 9-12 (.0830)	(.0830)	
	[Ref. 154102] <u>The file for one ESE student did not contain evidence that a</u> al Education teacher had participated in the development of the student's IEP. ade the following audit adjustment:		
	103 Basic 9-12 .6672 113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services (.6672)	.0000	
90.	[Ref. 154103] We noted the following exceptions involving the Matrix of Services		
forms for four ESE students:			
a.	Two students were not reported in accordance with their Matrix forms.		
b.	The <i>Matrix</i> form for one student was incorrectly scored. The ratings total included one Special Consideration point for which the student was not eligible.		
c.	The Matrix form for one student was missing and could not be located.		

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

<u>Findings</u>	Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>
Freedom High School (#1541) (Continued)	
We made the following audit adjustment:	
113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services 1.0000 254 ESE Support Level 4 1.0000 255 ESE Support Level 5 (2.0000)	.0000
91. [Ref. 154104] The English language proficiency of eight ELL students who	
began a fourth, fifth, or sixth year of ESOL placement during the 2008-09 school year	
was prematurely assessed by the District in March or May of the 2007-08 school year.	
These assessments should have been conducted just prior to the start of the students'	
fourth, fifth, or sixth year of ESOL placement as determined by the students' ESOL	
anniversary dates. (See Finding No. 2.) We made the following audit adjustment:	
103 Basic 9-12 3.8356 130 ESOL (3.8356)	.0000
92. [Ref. 154105] The ELL Student Plan for one student did not identify the various	
subject areas in which ESOL strategies were to be employed. We made the following	
audit adjustment:	
103 Basic 9-12 .5004 130 ESOL (.5004)	.0000
93. [Ref. 154106] The ELL Student Plan for one student was missing and could not	
be located. We made the following audit adjustment:	
103 Basic 9-12 .4170 130 ESOL (.4170)	.0000

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings

Net Audit Adjustments (Unweighted FTE)

Freedom High School (#1541) (Continued)

94. [Ref. 154107] One student was reported incorrectly in ESOL for	or a sixth year.	
The student was FES and an ELL Committee was not convened to	5	
student's continued ESOL placement, contrary to State Board of E		
6A-6.0902(2)(a)3., Florida Administrative Code. (See Finding No. 4.)		
that the student's English language proficiency had not been assessed sind		
	<u>ce the 2006-07</u>	
school year. We made the following audit adjustment:		
103 Basic 9-12 130 ESOL	.8340 <u>(.8340</u>)	.0000
95. [Ref. 154170/71] Two teachers were not properly certified t	to teach ELL	
students and were not approved by the School Board to teach	such students	
out-of-field. We made the following audit adjustments:		
D C 154170		
<u>Ref. 154170</u> 103 Basic 9-12	.8340	
130 ESOL	<u>(.8340</u>)	.0000
Ref. 154171		
103 Basic 9-12	1.7502	
130 ESOL	<u>(1.7502</u>)	.0000
96. [Ref. 154172] <u>One teacher was not properly certified to teach Mat</u>	th and was not	
approved by the School Board to teach out-of-field. We made the fe	ollowing audit	
adjustment:		
103 Basic 9-12	1.9162	
130 ESOL	<u>(1.9162</u>)	.0000
		(0820)

<u>(.0830</u>)

Net Audit Adjustments

(Unweighted FTE)

SCHEDULE D (Continued)

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings

Gaither High School (#1551)

97. [Ref. 155101] <u>The ELL Student Plans for six students did not identify various</u> subject areas in which ESOL strategies were to be employed. We made the following <u>audit adjustment</u>:

103 Basic 9-12	2.0012	
130 ESOL	<u>(2.0012</u>)	.0000

98. [Ref. 155102] <u>Ten students were reported incorrectly in ESOL. The students</u> were FES and an ELL Committee had not been convened to consider their ESOL placement, contrary to State Board of Education Rule 6A-6.0902(2)(a)3., Florida Administrative Code. (See Finding No. 4.) We also noted the ELL Student Plans for five of the students did not identify the specific subject areas in which ESOL strategies were to be employed. We made the following audit adjustment:

103 Basic 9-12	6.0044	
130 ESOL	<u>(6.0044</u>)	.0000

99. [Ref. 155103] <u>The English language proficiency of four ELL students who</u> began a fourth, fifth, or sixth year of ESOL placement during the 2008-09 school year was prematurely assessed by the District in March or May of the 2007-08 school year. <u>These assessments should have been conducted just prior to the start of the students'</u> fourth, fifth, or sixth year of ESOL placement as determined by the students' ESOL anniversary dates. (See Finding No. 2.) We made the following audit adjustment:

103 Basic 9-12	2.0850	
130 ESOL	(2.0850)	.0000

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings	Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>
Gaither High School (#1551) (Continued)	
100. [Ref. 155170] One teacher who taught Language Arts to classes that included	
ELL students had earned only 120 of the 240 in-service training points in ESOL	
strategies required by rule and the teacher's in-service training timeline. We made the	
following audit adjustment:	
103 Basic 9-12 .2502 130 ESOL (.2502)	.0000
101. [Ref. 155171] One teacher taught Reading to classes that included ELL students	
but had not earned the 120 in-service points in ESOL strategies required by rule and the	
teacher's in-service training timeline. We made the following audit adjustment:	
103 Basic 9-12 .1668 130 ESOL (.1668)	.0000
102. [Ref. 155172] One teacher was not properly certified to teach ESE students and	
was not approved by the School Board to teach out-of-field. We also noted that the	
parents of the students concerned were not notified of the teacher's out-of-field status.	
We made the following audit adjustment:	
103 Basic 9-12 .3336 254 ESE Support Level 4 (.3336)	<u>.0000</u> .0000
Gibsonton Elementary School (#1601)	
103. [Ref. 160101] The parents of two ELL students were not notified that their	
children were re-enrolled in ESOL after returning to the District after absences of over	
one year and eight months, respectively. (See Finding No. 3.) We made the following	
audit adjustment:	
101 Basic K-31.5000130 ESOL(1.5000)The accompanying potes are an integral part of this schedule	.0000

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings

Net Audit Adjustments (Unweighted FTE)

Gibsonton Elementary School (#1601) (Continued)

104.	[Ref. 160102] The English language proficiency of seve	n ELL students who	
<u>began</u>	a fourth or fifth year of ESOL placement during the 200	18-09 school year was	
premat	turely assessed by the District in February, March, or May	of the 2007-08 school	
<u>year.</u>	These assessments should have been conducted just price	or to the start of the	
studen	nts' fourth or fifth year of ESOL placement as determined b	y the students' ESOL	
annive	ersary dates. (See Finding No. 2.) We made the following au	<u>dit adjustment</u> :	
	101 Basic K-3102 Basic 4-8130 ESOL	5.0000 .5000 <u>(5.5000</u>)	.0000
105.	[Ref. 160103] The ELL Committee for one student in ESC	DL was not composed	

of at least three District personnel and did not consider at least two of the ESOL placement criteria specified by State Board of Education Rule 6A-6.0902(2)(a)4., Florida Administrative Code. We made the following audit adjustment:

101 Basic K-3	1.0000	
130 ESOL	<u>(1.0000</u>)	.0000
		.0000

Heritage Elementary School (#1831)

106. [Ref. 183101] <u>The ELL Student Plan for one student in the October 2008 survey</u> was not reviewed and updated until January 27, 2009, and, thus, did not cover the October 2008 survey. We also noted the English language proficiency of the student, who had been dismissed from ESOL during the 2006-07 school year, was not assessed when he was re-enrolled in ESOL on January 24, 2008. We made the following audit adjustment:

102 Basic 4-8	.5000	
130 ESOL	<u>(.5000</u>)	.0000
		.0000

Net Audit Adjustments (Unweighted FTE)

<u>SCHEDULE D</u> (Continued)

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings

Leto High School (#2421)

107. [Ref. 242101] We noted the following exceptions involving six	<u>Career</u>
Education 9-12 (OJT) students: (a) the timecard for one student indicated	that the
student was unemployed during the reporting survey; (b) the timecards for four	students
were missing and could not be located; and (c) one student had withdrawn from	<u>n school</u>
on December 15, 2008, and should not have been included in the February 200	<u>9 survey.</u>
We made the following audit adjustment:	
103 Basic 9-12300 Career Education 9-12	(.0834) (.8648) (.9482)
108. [Ref. 242102] The membership and attendance of one ESE studer	nt in the
February 2009 survey was not adequately supported. Records indicated that the	e student
withdrew from school on February 3, 2009, and then re-enrolled on February	4, 2009.
However, School management could not provide any corroborating evic	lence or
additional documentation that the student had actually re-enrolled the day	after the
indicated withdrawal. We made the following audit adjustment:	
255 ESE Support Level 5	<u>(.5000</u>) (.5000)
109. [Ref. 242103] We noted the following exceptions involving parental no	
for five ELL students: (a) evidence of parental notification was missing and c	<u>could not</u>
be located for four of the five students, and (b) the parents of one ELL stude	nt in the
October 2008 survey were not notified of their child's placement in ESOL u	
that survey (i.e., on October 22, 2008). We made the following audit adjustment	<u>t</u> :
103 Basic 9-12 130 ESOL	2.2791 (2.2791) .0000

Net Audit Adjustments

(Unweighted FTE)

SCHEDULE D (Continued)

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

<u>Findings</u>

Leto High School (#2421) (Continued)

110. [Ref. 242104] The ELL Student Plan for one student in the February 2009 survey
was not printed until after that survey (on February 23, 2009). State Board of Education
Rule 6A-6.0901(6), Florida Administrative Code, specifies that an ELL Student Plan
should be a written (i.e., hard copy) document that is maintained in each student's file.
We made the following audit adjustment:

103 Basic 9-12	.5000	
130 ESOL	<u>(.5000</u>)	.0000

111. [Ref. 242105] <u>The ELL Student Plans for six students did not authorize the</u> ESOL reporting of one or more of the courses that were claimed in that program. We made the following audit adjustment:

103 Basic 9-12	.6601	
130 ESOL	<u>(.6601</u>)	.0000

112. [Ref. 242106] The ELL Committees for 14 FES students, who were placed in ESOL based on the recommendations of those Committees, did not consider at least two of the ESOL placement criteria specified by State Board of Education Rule 6A-6.0902(2)(a)4., Florida Administrative Code. We also noted the following additional exceptions involving 10 of these 14 students: (a) the ELL Student Plans for three students did not authorize the ESOL reporting of one or more of the courses that were claimed in that program, (b) the files for two students did not contain evidence of parental notification, (c) the parents of three students were not notified of the students' re-enrollment in ESOL after returning to the District from extended absences (see Finding No. 3), and (d) the parental notification letter for one student was not dated, and (e) the parental notification letter for one student reflected a school in which the student was not enrolled at the time of the student's ESOL placement. We made the following audit adjustment:

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

<u>Findings</u>	Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>
Leto High School (#2421) (Continued)	
103 Basic 9-12 8.6030 130 ESOL (8.6030)	.0000
113. [Ref. 242107] The English language proficiency of ten ELL students who began a fifth or sixth year of ESOL placement during the 2008-09 school year was prematurely assessed by the District in March and May of the 2007-08 school year. These assessments should have been conducted just prior to the start of the students' fifth or sixth year of ESOL placement as determined by the students' ESOL anniversary dates. (See Finding No. 2.) We also noted that: (a) the <i>ELL Student Plans</i> for three of the students did not authorize the ESOL reporting of one or more of the courses that were claimed in that program and (b) the parents of one of the students were not notified of the student's ESOL placement. We made the following audit adjustment: $103 \text{ Basic 9-12} \qquad 4.9475 \\ 130 \text{ ESOL} \qquad 4.9475$.0000
114. [Ref. 242170] One teacher who taught Basic subject area classes that included ELL students had earned none of the 60 in-service training points in ESOL strategies required by rule and the teacher's in-service training. We made the following audit adjustment: 103 Basic 9-12 3.6846 130 ESOL 3.6846	.0000
115. [Ref. 242171] One teacher was not properly certified to teach ELL students and was not approved by the School Board to teach such students out-of-field. We made the following audit adjustment: 103 Basic 9-12 1.9194 130 ESOL (1.9194)	.0000

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings

Net Audit Adjustments (Unweighted FTE)

Leto High School (#2421) (Continued)

116. [Ref. 242173] One teacher was not properly certified and was not approved by
the School Board to teach out-of-field. The teacher was certified in Math C but taught a
course that required certification in Math 6-12. We also noted that the parents of the
students concerned were not notified of the teacher's out-of-field status. We made the
following audit adjustment:

103 Basic 9-12	.1834	
130 ESOL	<u>(.1834</u>)	.0000
		(1.4482)

Lopez Elementary School (#2531)

117. [Ref. 253101] <u>The English language proficiency of three ELL students who</u> began a fourth, fifth, or sixth year of ESOL placement during the 2008-09 school year was prematurely assessed by the District in March or May of the 2007-08 school year. These assessments should have been conducted just prior to the start of the students' fourth, fifth, or sixth year of ESOL placement as determined by the students' ESOL anniversary dates. (See Finding No. 2.) We made the following audit adjustment:

101 Basic K-3 102 Basic 4-8 130 ESOL	.5000 1.5000 <u>(2.0000</u>)	.0000
118. [Ref. 253102] The file for one ELL student did n	ot contain evidence that the	
student's parents were notified of the student's ESOL	placement. We made the	
following audit adjustment:		
101 Basic K-3	1 0000	

101 Dasic K-3	1.0000	
130 ESOL	<u>(1.0000</u>)	.0000

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

<u>Findings</u>	Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>
Lopez Elementary School (#2531) (Continued)	
119. [Ref. 253103] <u>The parents of one student in ESOL were not notified of the</u> <u>student's re-enrollment into ESOL after returning to the District from a two years'</u> <u>absence. (See Finding No. 3.) We also noted that the student's ELL Student Plan was</u> <u>missing and could not be located. We made the following audit adjustment:</u>	
102 Basic 4-8 .5000 130 ESOL (.5000)	.0000
120. [Ref. 253170] <u>One teacher who taught Primary Language Arts and Basic subject</u> area classes that included ELL students had earned none of the 60 in-service training points in ESOL strategies required by rule and the teacher's in-service training timeline. We made the following audit adjustment:	
101 Basic K-3 .5000 130 ESOL (.5000)	<u>.0000</u> .0000
Maniscalco Elementary School (#2771)	
121. [Ref. 277101] <u>The English language proficiency of one ELL student who began</u> <u>a fifth year of ESOL placement during the 2008-09 school year was prematurely</u> <u>assessed by the District in March and May of the 2007-08 school year. These</u> <u>assessments should have been conducted just prior to the start of the student's fifth year</u> <u>of ESOL placement as determined by the student's ESOL anniversary date. (See</u> <u>Finding No. 2.) We made the following audit adjustment:</u>	
102 Basic 4-8 .5000 130 ESOL (.5000)	<u>.0000</u> .0000

Net Audit Adjustments

(Unweighted FTE)

SCHEDULE D (Continued)

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings

Mann Middle School (#2801)

122. [Ref. 280101] <u>The ELL Student Plans for four students did not authorize the</u> ESOL reporting of one or more of their courses that were claimed in that program. We also noted that the *Plan* for one of the students did not include the courses being taken at another District school, Brandon High School (see Finding No. 32 [Ref. 29107]). We made the following audit adjustment:

102 Basic 4-8	1.0000	
130 ESOL	<u>(1.0000</u>)	.0000

123. [Ref. 280102] <u>We noted the following exceptions involving parental notification</u> for three students in ESOL:

- a. <u>The parents of one student were not notified of the student's re-enrollment into</u> ESOL after the student's return to the District from an absence of about one and a half years. (See Finding No.3.)
- b. The parents of two students were notified that their children were placed in ESOL based on low scores on their English language proficiency tests. However, the students were FES and had been placed in ESOL based on low scores on their English reading and writing tests. We also noted that the parental notification forms used were not developed and distributed for use by the District's schools until after the placement and mailing dates indicated on those forms.

We made the following audit adjustment:

102 Basic 4-8	2.4000	
130 ESOL	<u>(2.4000</u>)	.0000

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

<u>Findings</u>	Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>
Mann Middle School (#2801) (Continued)	
124. [Ref. 280170] One teacher was not properly certified to teach ELL students and	
was not approved by the School Board to teach such students out-of-field. We made	
the following audit adjustment:	
102 Basic 4-8 .2000 130 ESOL (.2000)	<u>.0000</u> .0000
Oak Grove Elementary School (#3161)	
125. [Ref. 316101] We noted the following exceptions involving parental notification	
for two ELL students: (a) the parents of one student were not notified that their child	
was re-enrolled in ESOL after returning to the District from a 14-month absence (see	
Finding No. 3), and (b) the parental notification form used for one student was not	
developed and distributed for use by the District's schools until after the placement and	
mailing dates indicated on the form. We made the following audit adjustment:	
102 Basic 4-8 2.0000 130 ESOL (2.0000)	.0000
126. [Ref. 316102] The ELL Committees for five FES students did not consider at	
least two of the ESOL placement criteria specified by State Board of Education Rule	
6A-6.0902(2)(a)4., Florida Administrative Code. We also noted that the parents of two	
of the five students were not notified that their children were re-enrolled in ESOL after	
returning to the District from absences of over 15 and 18 months, respectively (see	
Finding No. 3). We made the following audit adjustment:	
101 Basic K-32.5000102 Basic 4-81.5000130 ESOL(4.0000)	.0000

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings

Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>

Oak Grove Elementary School (#3161) (Continued)

127. [Ref. 316103] The English language proficiency of one ELL student who began	<u>L</u>
a fifth year of ESOL placement during the 2008-09 school year was prematurely	<u>r</u>
assessed by the District in May of the 2007-08 school year. This assessment should have	۱. <u>-</u>
been conducted just prior to the start of the student's fifth year of ESOL placement as	<u>i</u>
determined by the student's ESOL anniversary date. (See Finding No. 2.) We also	<u>!</u>
noted that the student's ELL Committee did not consider at least two of the ESOL	<u>.</u>
placement criteria specified by State Board of Education Rule 6A-6.0902(2)(a)4., Florida	<u>L</u>
Administrative Code. We made the following audit adjustment:	
102 Basic 4-8 .5000 130 ESOL (.5000)) .0000
128. [Ref. 316104] The Matrix of Services form for one ESE student incorrectly	<u>r</u>
included Health Care services that were not authorized for, or provided to, the student.	<u>.</u>
We made the following audit adjustment:	
111 Grades K-3 with ESE Services1.0000254 ESE Support Level 4(1.0000)).0000
129. [Ref. 316105] The file for one ESE student did not contain a completed IEF	-
covering the 2008-09 school year. (School management provided us with an unsigned	<u> </u>
copy printed from the School's computer system.) We made the following audit	
<u>adjustment</u> :	
101 Basic K-3 .5000 111 Grades K-3 with ESE Services (.5000)	
130. [Ref. 316170] One teacher was not properly certified to teach ELL students and	<u>l</u>
was not approved by the School Board to teach such students out-of-field. We made	-
the following audit adjustment:	

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

	Net Audit Adjustments
<u>Findings</u>	(Unweighted FTE)
Oak Grove Elementary School (#3161) (Continued)	
101 Basic K-3 1.2500 130 ESOL (1.2500)	.0000
131. [Ref. 316171] The parents of ELL students taught by one out-of-field teacher	
were not notified of the teacher's out-of-field status. We also noted that the teacher had	
earned only 180 of the 300 in-service training points in ESOL strategies required by rule	
and the teacher's in-service training timeline. We made the following audit adjustment:	
102 Basic 4-8 2.1000 130 ESOL (2.1000)	<u>.0000</u> .0000
Riverview High School (#3371)	
132. [Ref. 337101] The ELL Student Plans for eight students did not authorize the	
ESOL reporting of one or more of their courses claimed in that program. We made the	
following audit adjustment:	
103 Basic 9-12 1.1676 130 ESOL (1.1676)	.0000
133. [Ref. 337102] The ELL Student Plan for one student in ESOL in the February	
2009 survey was not printed until after that survey (March 5, 2009). State Board of	
Education Rule 6A-6.0901(6), Florida Administrative Code, specifies that an ELL	
Student Plan should be a written (i.e., hard copy) document that is maintained in each	
student's file. We made the following audit adjustment:	
103 Basic 9-12 .4170 130 ESOL (.4170)	.0000

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings

Net Audit Adjustments (Unweighted FTE)

Riverview High School (#3371) (Continued)

135. [Ref. 337104] Because of an isolated data processing error, the two OJT courses listed in the schedules for two Career Education 9-12 (OJT) students were funded for exactly one minute or .0008 FTE for each student. We determined that one of the students did not have a supporting timecard for the reporting survey and the other student had worked 12 hours during the reporting survey and should have been funded accordingly for OJT. We made the following audit adjustment: 300 Career Education 9-12 .0814 136. [Ref. 337105] Two part-time ESE students were incorrectly reported as if they were full-time students. Both students attended school regularly only three days per week; however, their reported schedules showed an instructional schedule of five days per week. We made the following audit adjustment regarding the students' on-campus instruction:	134. [Ref. 337103] The English language proficiency of seven E	ELL students who	
These assessments should have been conducted just prior to the start of the students' fifth or sixth year of ESOL placement as determined by the students' ESOL anniversary dates. (See Finding No. 2.) We also noted that the <i>ELL Student Plans</i> for three of the students did not authorize the ESOL reporting of one of the courses claimed in that program. We made the following audit adjustment: 103 Basic 9-12 3.8356 130 ESOL (3.8356) 130 ESOL (3.8356) 131 If the schedules for two Career Education 9-12 (OJT) students were funded for exactly one minute or .0008 FTE for each student. We determined that one of the students did not have a supporting timecard for the reporting survey and the other student had worked 12 hours during the reporting survey and should have been funded accordingly for OJT. We made the following audit adjustment: 300 Career Education 9-12 .0814 136. [Ref. 337105] Two part-time ESE students were incorrectly reported as if they were full-time students. Both students attended school regularly only three days per week; however, their reported schedules showed an instructional schedule of five days per week. We made the following audit adjustment regarding the students' on-campus instruction:	began a fifth or sixth year of ESOL placement during the 2008-0	9 school year was	
fifth or sixth year of ESOL placement as determined by the students' ESOL anniversary dates. (See Finding No. 2.) We also noted that the <i>ELL Student Plans</i> for three of the students did not authorize the ESOL reporting of one of the courses claimed in that program. We made the following audit adjustment: 103 Basic 9-12 3.8356 130 ESOL (3.8356) .00 135. [Ref. 337104] Because of an isolated data processing error, the two OJT courses listed in the schedules for two Career Education 9-12 (OJT) students were funded for exactly one minute or .0008 FTE for each student. We determined that one of the students did not have a supporting timecard for the reporting survey and the other student had worked 12 hours during the reporting survey and should have been funded accordingly for OJT. We made the following audit adjustment: 300 Career Education 9-12 .0814 .00 136. [Ref. 337105] Two part-time ESE students were incorrectly reported as if they were full-time students. Both students attended school regularly only three days per week; however, their reported schedules showed an instructional schedule of five days per week. We made the following audit adjustment regarding the students' on-campus instruction:	prematurely assessed by the District in March and May of the 200	07-08 school year.	
dates. (See Finding No. 2.) We also noted that the ELL Student Plans for three of the students did not authorize the ESOL reporting of one of the courses claimed in that program. We made the following audit adjustment: 103 Basic 9-12 3.8356 130 ESOL (3.8356) 131 [Ref. 337104] Because of an isolated data processing error, the two OJT courses listed in the schedules for two Career Education 9-12 (OJT) students were funded for exactly one minute or .0008 FTE for each student. We determined that one of the students did not have a supporting timecard for the reporting survey and the other student had worked 12 hours during the reporting survey and should have been funded accordingly for OJT. We made the following audit adjustment: 300 Career Education 9-12 .0814 136. [Ref. 337105] Two part-time ESE students were incorrectly reported as if they were full-time students. Both students attended school regularly only three days per week; however, their reported schedules showed an instructional schedule of five days per week. We made the following audit adjustment regarding the students' on-campus instruction:	These assessments should have been conducted just prior to the sta	rt of the students'	
students did not authorize the ESOL reporting of one of the courses claimed in that program. We made the following audit adjustment: 103 Basic 9-12 3.8356 130 ESOL (3.8356) 130 ESOL (3.8356) 135. [Ref. 337104] Because of an isolated data processing error, the two QIT courses listed in the schedules for two Career Education 9-12 (QIT) students were funded for exactly one minute or .0008 FTE for each student. We determined that one of the students did not have a supporting timecard for the reporting survey and the other student had worked 12 hours during the reporting survey and should have been funded accordingly for OJT. We made the following audit adjustment: 300 Career Education 9-12 .0814 136. [Ref. 337105] Two part-time ESE students were incorrectly reported as if they were full-time students. Both students attended school regularly only three days per week; however, their reported schedules showed an instructional schedule of five days per week. We made the following audit adjustment regarding the students' on-campus instruction:	fifth or sixth year of ESOL placement as determined by the students'	ESOL anniversary	
program. We made the following audit adjustment: 103 Basic 9-12 3.8356 130 ESOL 3.8350 .00 135. [Ref. 337104] Because of an isolated data processing error, the two OJT courses listed in the schedules for two Career Education 9-12 (OJT) students were funded for exactly one minute or .0008 FTE for each student. We determined that one of the students did not have a supporting timecard for the reporting survey and the other student had worked 12 hours during the reporting survey and should have been funded accordingly for OJT. We made the following audit adjustment: 300 Career Education 9-12 <u>.0814</u> .08 136. [Ref. 337105] Two part-time ESE students were incorrectly reported as if they were full-time students. Both students attended school regularly only three days per week; however, their reported schedules showed an instructional schedule of five days per week. We made the following audit adjustment regarding the students' on-campus instruction:	dates. (See Finding No. 2.) We also noted that the ELL Student Pla	uns for three of the	
103 Basic 9-12 3.8356 130 ESOL (3.8356) 135. [Ref. 337104] Because of an isolated data processing error, the two OJT courses listed in the schedules for two Career Education 9-12 (OJT) students were funded for exactly one minute or .0008 FTE for each student. We determined that one of the students did not have a supporting timecard for the reporting survey and the other student had worked 12 hours during the reporting survey and should have been funded accordingly for OJT. We made the following audit adjustment: 300 Career Education 9-12 .0814 136. [Ref. 337105] Two part-time ESE students were incorrectly reported as if they were full-time students. Both students attended school regularly only three days per week; however, their reported schedules showed an instructional schedule of five days per week. We made the following audit adjustment regarding the students' on-campus instruction:	students did not authorize the ESOL reporting of one of the cours	ses claimed in that	
130 ESOL (3.8356) .00 135. [Ref. 337104] Because of an isolated data processing error, the two OJT courses listed in the schedules for two Career Education 9-12 (OJT) students were funded for exactly one minute or .0008 FTE for each student. We determined that one of the students did not have a supporting timecard for the reporting survey and the other students did not have a supporting timecard for the reporting survey and the other student had worked 12 hours during the reporting survey and should have been funded accordingly for OJT. We made the following audit adjustment: 300 Career Education 9-12 .0814 136. [Ref. 337105] Two part-time ESE students were incorrectly reported as if they were full-time students. Both students attended school regularly only three days per week; however, their reported schedules showed an instructional schedule of five days per week. We made the following audit adjustment regarding the students' on-campus instruction:	program. We made the following audit adjustment:		
listed in the schedules for two Career Education 9-12 (OJT) students were funded for exactly one minute or .0008 FTE for each student. We determined that one of the students did not have a supporting timecard for the reporting survey and the other student had worked 12 hours during the reporting survey and should have been funded accordingly for OJT. We made the following audit adjustment: 300 Career Education 9-12			.0000
exactly one minute or .0008 FTE for each student. We determined that one of the students did not have a supporting timecard for the reporting survey and the other student had worked 12 hours during the reporting survey and should have been funded accordingly for OJT. We made the following audit adjustment: 300 Career Education 9-12 .0814 .08 136. [Ref. 337105] <u>Two part-time ESE students were incorrectly reported as if they</u> were full-time students. Both students attended school regularly only three days per week; however, their reported schedules showed an instructional schedule of five days per week. We made the following audit adjustment regarding the students' on-campus instruction:	135. [Ref. 337104] Because of an isolated data processing error, the	e two OJT courses	
students did not have a supporting timecard for the reporting survey and the other student had worked 12 hours during the reporting survey and should have been funded accordingly for OJT. We made the following audit adjustment: 300 Career Education 9-12 .0814 136. [Ref. 337105] Two part-time ESE students were incorrectly reported as if they were full-time students. Both students attended school regularly only three days per week; however, their reported schedules showed an instructional schedule of five days per week. We made the following audit adjustment regarding the students' on-campus instruction:	listed in the schedules for two Career Education 9-12 (OJT) student	ts were funded for	
student had worked 12 hours during the reporting survey and should have been funded accordingly for OJT. We made the following audit adjustment: 300 Career Education 9-12 .0814 136. [Ref. 337105] Two part-time ESE students were incorrectly reported as if they were full-time students. Both students attended school regularly only three days per week; however, their reported schedules showed an instructional schedule of five days per week. We made the following audit adjustment regarding the students' on-campus instruction:	exactly one minute or .0008 FTE for each student. We determine	ed that one of the	
accordingly for OJT. We made the following audit adjustment: 300 Career Education 9-12 <u>.0814</u> .08 136. [Ref. 337105] <u>Two part-time ESE students were incorrectly reported as if they</u> were full-time students. Both students attended school regularly only three days per week; however, their reported schedules showed an instructional schedule of five days per week. We made the following audit adjustment regarding the students' on-campus instruction:	students did not have a supporting timecard for the reporting sur	vey and the other	
300 Career Education 9-12 .0814 .08 136. [Ref. 337105] <u>Two part-time ESE students were incorrectly reported as if they were full-time students. Both students attended school regularly only three days per week; however, their reported schedules showed an instructional schedule of five days per week. We made the following audit adjustment regarding the students' on-campus instruction: .08 </u>	student had worked 12 hours during the reporting survey and should	have been funded	
136. [Ref. 337105] <u>Two part-time ESE students were incorrectly reported as if they</u> were full-time students. Both students attended school regularly only three days per week; however, their reported schedules showed an instructional schedule of five days per week. We made the following audit adjustment regarding the students' on-campus instruction:	accordingly for OJT. We made the following audit adjustment:		
were full-time students. Both students attended school regularly only three days per week; however, their reported schedules showed an instructional schedule of five days per week. We made the following audit adjustment regarding the students' on-campus instruction:	300 Career Education 9-12	<u>.0814</u>	.0814
week; however, their reported schedules showed an instructional schedule of five days per week. We made the following audit adjustment regarding the students' on-campus instruction:	136. [Ref. 337105] Two part-time ESE students were incorrectly	reported as if they	
per week. We made the following audit adjustment regarding the students' on-campus	were full-time students. Both students attended school regularly or	<u>nly three days per</u>	
instruction:	week; however, their reported schedules showed an instructional sch	nedule of five days	
	per week. We made the following audit adjustment regarding the stu	udents' on-campus	
$254 \text{ EVEC} \qquad (1 14) \qquad (200) \qquad (2)$	instruction:		
$254 \text{ ESE Support Level 4} \tag{.30}$	254 ESE Support Level 4	<u>(.3699</u>)	(.3699)

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings

Net Audit Adjustments (Unweighted FTE)

Riverview High School (#3371) (Continued)

137. [Ref. 337170] <u>The parents of certain ELL students taught by one out-of-field</u> ESOL teacher were not notified of the teacher's out-of-field status. We also noted that the teacher had earned only 240 of the 300 in-service training points in ESOL strategies required by rule and the teacher's in-service training timeline. We made the following audit adjustment:

103 Basic 9-12	1.6664	
130 ESOL	<u>(1.6664</u>)	.0000

<u>(.2885</u>)

Plant High School (#3411)

138. [Ref. 341101] <u>The English language proficiency of two ELL students who</u> began a fifth or sixth year of ESOL placement during the 2008-09 school year were prematurely assessed by the District in May of the 2007-08 school year. These assessments should have been conducted just prior to the start of the students' fifth or sixth year of ESOL placement as determined by the students' ESOL anniversary dates. (See Finding No. 2.) We made the following audit adjustment:

103 Basic 9-12 130 ESOL	1.5000 <u>(1.5000</u>)	.0000

139. [Ref. 341102] <u>The timecards for two students in Career Education 9-12 (OJT)</u> indicated that the students were not employed during the week of the reporting survey and there was no documentation that the students were otherwise engaged in a job search; consequently, they should not have been reported for OJT. We made the following audit adjustment:

300 Career Education 9-12 (.1660) (.1660)

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

<u>Findings</u>	Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>
Plant High School (#3411) (Continued)	
140. [Ref. 341103] The Matrix of Services form for one ESE student was more than	
three years old and had expired prior to the reporting surveys concerned. A Matrix form	
must be prepared at least once every three years. It must also be reviewed and updated,	
as needed, when the corresponding IEP is prepared or reviewed and updated. We made	
the following audit adjustment:	
113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services .5000 254 ESE Support Level 4 (.5000)	.0000
141. [Ref. 341104] Five ESE students were not reported in accordance with the	
students' Matrix of Services forms for the October 2008 survey. We made the following	
audit adjustment:	
113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services 2.5000 254 ESE Support Level 4 (2.5000)	<u>.0000</u> <u>(.1660</u>)
Plant City High School (#3431)	
142. [Ref. 343101] The English language proficiency of four ELL students who	
began a fourth or fifth year of ESOL placement during the 2008-09 school year was	
prematurely assessed by the District in March or May of the 2007-08 school year. These	
assessments should have been conducted just prior to the start of the students' fourth or	
fifth year of ESOL placement as determined by the students' ESOL anniversary dates.	
(See Finding No. 2.) We made the following audit adjustment:	
103 Basic 9-12 2.2344	

103	Basic 9-12	2.2344	
130	ESOL	<u>(2.2344</u>)	.0000

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

<u>Findings</u>	Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>
Plant City High School (#3431) (Continued)	
143. [Ref. 343103] <u>The parents of one ELL student were not notified that their child</u> had been re-enrolled in ESOL after returning to the District from an absence of over	
one year. (See Finding No. 3.) We made the following audit adjustment:	
103 Basic 9-12 1.0000 130 ESOL (1.0000)	.0000
144. [Ref. 343104/05] The ELL Student Plans for two students in the February 2009	
survey were not reviewed and updated until March 3, 2009, and June 5, 2009,	
respectively, and, thus, did not cover the February 2009 survey. We made the following	
<u>audit adjustments</u> :	
Ref. 343104 103 Basic 9-12 .4336 130 ESOL (.4336)	.0000
Ref. 343105 .3336 103 Basic 9-12 .3336 130 ESOL (.3336)	.0000
145. [Ref. 343106] The file for one ELL student in the October 2008 survey did not	
contain any of the documentation necessary to support the student's ESOL placement	
and reporting. The student's ELL Student Plan, evidence of parental notification, and	
English language proficiency assessments were all missing and could not be located. We	
made the following audit adjustment:	
103 Basic 9-12 .4170 130 ESOL (.4170)	.0000

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings

Net Audit Adjustments (Unweighted FTE)

.0000

(.5098)

Plant City High School (#3431) (Continued)

146. [Ref. 343107] <u>The Matrix of Services forms for two ESE students incorrectly</u> included one Special Considerations point for which the students were not eligible. The point was designated for students with a *Matrix* score of 17 points and a Level 5 rating in three Domains. These students had *Matrix* scores greater than 17 points. We made the following audit adjustment:

254 ESE Support Level 4	2.0000
255 ESE Support Level 5	<u>(2.0000</u>)

147. [Ref. 343108] <u>We noted the following exceptions involving one ESE student</u> who was provided both on-campus instruction and Hospital and Homebound instruction:

- (a) <u>The student's IEP authorized on-campus instruction totaling two days per week</u> or .2000 FTE; however, the student was reported for .4098 FTE in the October 2008 survey and .5000 FTE in the February 2009 survey.
- (b) The on-campus portion of the student's schedule was reported incorrectly in program No. 113 (Grades 9-12 with ESE Services) in the October 2008 survey and incorrectly in program No. 255 (ESE Support Level 5) in the February 2009 survey. The student's *Matrix of Services* form indicated program No. 255, but incorrectly included one Special Considerations point for which the student was not eligible. We recomputed the student's *Matrix* score and determined that he was eligible for program No. 254 (ESE Support Level 4).

We made the following audit adjustment:

113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services	(.4098)
254 ESE Support Level 4	.4000
255 ESE Support Level 5	<u>(.5000</u>)

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings	Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>
Plant City High School (#3431) (Continued)	
148. [Ref. 343109] Three Career Education 9-12 (OJT) students in the October 2008	
survey were incorrectly reported. Two of the students were reported for approximately	
3.5 hours but did not work. The remaining student was reported for approximately	
7.5 hours but worked only 6 hours. We made the following audit adjustment:	
300 Career Education 9-12 (.1626)	(.1626)
149. [Ref. 343170/71/72] Three teachers were not properly certified to teach ELL	
students and were not approved by the School Board to teach such students	
out-of-field. We also noted that one of the teachers (Ref. 343171) had earned only 60 of	
the 120 in-service training points in ESOL strategies required by rule and the teacher's	
in-service training timeline. We made the following audit adjustments:	
Ref. 343170103 Basic 9-12130 ESOL3.2012	.0000
Ref. 343171 .6672 103 Basic 9-12 .6672 130 ESOL (.6672)	.0000
Ref. 343172 .5004 103 Basic 9-12 .5004 130 ESOL (.5004)	.0000
150. [Ref. 343173] One Vocational teacher was not properly certified to teach	
courses requiring either certification as a Teacher Coordinator of Cooperative Education	
or the Work Experience endorsement and was not approved by the School Board to	
teach out-of-field. We also noted that the parents of the students concerned were not	
notified of the teacher's out-of-field status. We made the following audit adjustment:	
103Basic 9-127.8580300Career Education 9-12(7.8580)The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.	.0000

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings

Net Audit Adjustments (Unweighted FTE)

Plant City High School (#3431) (Continued)

151. [Ref. 343174] <u>One teacher was not properly certified to teach Integrated</u> <u>Science III and was not approved by the School Board to teach out-of-field. We also</u> <u>noted that the teacher began the out-of-field assignment in Integrated Science in August</u> 2007, but had not earned the required six credit hours toward certification in that <u>out-of-field assignment by the time of the 2008-09 school year. We made the following</u> <u>audit adjustment</u>:

103 Basic 9-12	1.0340	
130 ESOL	<u>(1.0340</u>)	.0000

(.6724)

Robinson Elementary School (#3681)

152. [Ref. 368101/02] The English language proficiency of four ELL students who began a fifth or sixth year of ESOL placement during the 2008-09 school year was prematurely assessed by the District in February, March, or May of the 2007-08 school year. These assessments should have been conducted just prior to the start of the students' fifth or sixth year of ESOL placement as determined by the students' ESOL anniversary dates. (See Finding No. 2.) We noted that the students achieved proficient scores on all three areas of the CELLA (Reading, Listening and Speaking, and Writing) and their composite scores exceeded the minimum level to be considered proficient. We made the following audit adjustments:

<u>Ref. 368101</u>		
101 Basic K-3	2.0000	
102 Basic 4-8	1.0000	
130 ESOL	<u>(3.0000)</u>	.0000

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

<u>Findings</u>	Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>
Robinson Elementary School (#3681) (Continued)	
<u>Ref. 368102</u>	
101 Basic K-3 .5000 130 ESOL (.5000)	.0000
153. [Ref. 368170] The parents of certain ELL students taught by one out-of-field	
teacher during the school term covered by the October 2008 survey were not notified of	
the teacher's out-of-field status until January 30, 2009. We also noted that the teacher	
had earned only 240 of the 300 in-service training points in ESOL strategies required by	
rule and the teacher's in-service training timeline. We made the following audit	
adjustment:	
101 Basic K-3 1.5000 130 ESOL (1.5000)	.0000
	<u>.0000</u>
Ruskin Elementary School (#3841)	
154. [Ref. 384101] The parents of two ELL students were not notified that their	
children were re-enrolled in ESOL after returning to the District from one and three	
year absences, respectively. (See Finding No. 3.) We made the following audit	
adjustment:	
101 Basic K-3 .5000	
102 Basic 4-8 .5000 130 ESOL (1.0000)	.0000

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings

Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>

Ruskin Elementary School (#3841) (Continued)

155. [Ref. 384102] The English language proficiency of five ELL stu	udents who	
began a fourth year of ESOL placement during the 2008-09 school year was	prematurely	
assessed by the District in February, March, or May of the 2007-08 school y	year. These	
assessments should have been conducted just prior to the start of the stude	ents' fourth	
year of ESOL placement as determined by the students' ESOL anniversary	dates. (See	
Finding No. 2.) We made the following audit adjustment:		
101 Basic K-3 130 ESOL	5.0000 (5.0000)	.0000
156. [Ref. 384170] The parents of certain ELL students taught by one	out-of-field	
teacher were not notified of the teacher's out-of-field status. We made the	ne following	
<u>audit adjustment</u> :		
102 Basic 4-8 130 ESOL	8.2500 (8.2500)	.0000
157. [Ref. 384171] One teacher was not properly certified to teach Primar	r <u>y Language</u>	
Arts in elementary school and was not approved by the School Boar	d to teach	
out-of-field. We also noted that the teacher's classes included ELL stude	ents but the	
teacher had not earned the 60 in-service training points in ESOL strategies	required by	
rule and the teacher's in-service training timeline. We made the follo	owing audit	
<u>adjustment</u> :		
102 Basic 4-8 130 ESOL	4.5000 (4.5000)	<u>.0000</u>
		.0000

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

<u>Findings</u>	Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>
Sickles High School (#4151)	
158. [Ref. 415101] One ESE student was not reported in accordance with the	
student's Matrix of Services form. We made the following audit adjustment:	
113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services.5000254 ESE Support Level 4(.5000)	.0000
159. [Ref. 415102] The Matrix of Services form for one student was not reviewed and	
updated when the student's new IEP was prepared. We made the following audit	
adjustment:	
113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services.5000254 ESE Support Level 4(.5000)	.0000
160. [Ref. 415103] The file for one ELL student did not contain an ELL Student Plan.	
We made the following audit adjustment:	
103 Basic 9-12 .4170 130 ESOL (.4170)	.0000
161. [Ref. 415104] The English language proficiency of four ELL students who	
began a fourth, fifth, or sixth year of ESOL placement during the 2008-09 school year	
was prematurely assessed by the District in March or May of the 2007-08 school year.	
These assessments should have been conducted just prior to the start of the students'	
fourth, fifth, or sixth year of ESOL placement as determined by the students' ESOL	
anniversary dates. (See Finding No. 2.) We made the following audit adjustment:	
103 Basic 9-12 2.3344 130 ESOL (2.3344)	.0000

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Net Audit Adjustments **Findings** (Unweighted FTE) Sickles High School (#4151) (Continued) 162. [Ref. 415105] The ELL Student Plans for two students did not identify the specific subject areas in which ESOL strategies were to be employed. We made the following audit adjustment: 103 Basic 9-12 .1668 130 ESOL (.1668) .0000 163. [Ref. 415106/07] Four students were reported incorrectly in ESOL. The students were FES and an ELL Committee was not convened to consider the students' ESOL placements, contrary to State Board of Education Rule 6A-6.0902(2)(a)3., Florida Administrative Code. (See Finding No. 4.) We also noted that the files for two of these students (Ref. 415107) did not contain evidence of parental notification. We made the following audit adjustments: <u>Ref. 415106</u> 103 Basic 9-12 .8340 130 ESOL .0000 (.8340)Ref. 415107 103 Basic 9-12 2.5012 130 ESOL (2.5012).0000 164. [Ref. 415170] The parents of certain ELL students taught by one out-of-field teacher were not notified of the teacher's out-of-field status. We also noted that the teacher had not earned the 60 in-service training points in ESOL strategies required by rule and the teacher's in-service training timeline. We made the following audit adjustment: 103 Basic 9-12 1.3344 130 ESOL .0000 (1.3344)

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings

Net Audit Adjustments (Unweighted FTE)

Sickles High School (#4151) (Continued)

165. [Ref. 415171/72] Two teachers taught Basic subject area classes that included
ELL students but had not earned the 60 in-service training points in ESOL strategies
required by rule and the teachers' in-service training timelines. We made the following
audit adjustments:

<u>Ref. 415171</u> 103 Basic 9-12 130 ESOL	.1668 <u>(.1668</u>)	.0000
<u>Ref. 415172</u> 103 Basic 9-12 130 ESOL	.4170 <u>(.4170</u>)	.0000

166. [Ref. 415173] One teacher did not hold a Florida teaching certificate and was

not otherwise qualified to teach. We made the following audit adjustment:

103 Basic 9-12	.1668	
130 ESOL	<u>(.1668</u>)	.0000

.0000

Tampa Bay Tech High School (#4221)

167. [Ref. 422101] The ELL Student Plans for 14 students did not authorize the	
ESOL reporting of one or more of the courses claimed in that program. We made the	
following audit adjustment:	

103 Basic 9-12	2.3352	
130 ESOL	<u>(2.3352</u>)	.0000

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings

Net Audit Adjustments (Unweighted FTE)

Tampa Bay Tech High School (#4221) (Continued)

168.	[Ref. 422102] <u>One sta</u>	ident v	vas repo	orted in	correct	ly in ESOL.	The student	had
been	recommended	for exit	from	ESOL	by an	ELL	Committee.	We made	the
<u>follov</u>	ving audit adjust	tment:							

103 Basic 9-12	.6672	
130 ESOL	<u>(.6672</u>)	.0000

169. [Ref. 422103] <u>The ELL Committees for six FES students did not consider at least two of the ESOL placement criteria specified by State Board of Education Rule 6A-6.0902(2)(a)4., Florida Administrative Code. We also noted that: (a) the <u>ELL</u> <u>Student Plans for five of the students did not authorize the ESOL reporting of one of the courses claimed in that program, and (b) the parents of one of the students were not notified that their child was re-enrolled in ESOL after returning to the District from an absence of over 14 months (see Finding No. 3). We made the following audit adjustment:</u></u>

103 Basic 9-12	3.8364	
130 ESOL	(3.8364)	.0000

170. [Ref. 422104] The English language proficiency of one ELL student who began a fifth year of ESOL placement during the 2008-09 school year was prematurely assessed by the District in March and May of the 2007-08 school year. This assessment should have been conducted just prior to the start of the student's fifth year of ESOL placement as determined by the student's ESOL anniversary date. (See Finding No. 2.) We also noted that the student's *ELL Student Plan* did not authorize the ESOL reporting of one of the courses claimed in that program. We made the following audit adjustment:

103 Basic 9-12	.4170	
130 ESOL	<u>(.4170</u>)	.0000

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings

Net Audit Adjustments (Unweighted FTE)

Tampa Bay Tech High School (#4221) (Continued)

171. [Ref. 422105] We noted the following exceptions involving two Career
Education 9-12 (OJT) students: (a) because of an isolated data processing error, the
OJT courses listed in the schedule for one OJT student were funded for exactly one
minute or .0004 FTE (we determined that the student did not work and should not have
been funded for OJT), and (b) the timecard for one student supported 6.13 work hours;
however, the student was reported for only 4.33 work hours. We made the following
<u>audit adjustment</u> :

300 Career Education 9-12

<u>.0351</u> .0351

172. [Ref. 422170/71] <u>Two teachers were not properly certified to teach ELL</u> students and were not approved by the School Board to teach such students out-of-field. We made the following audit adjustments:

<u>Ref. 422170</u> 103 Basic 9-12 130 ESOL	.2502 <u>(.2502</u>)	.0000
<u>Ref. 422171</u> 103 Basic 9-12 130 ESOL	.5004 <u>(.5004</u>)	.0000

173. [Ref. 422172] <u>One Vocational teacher was not properly certified to teach</u> courses requiring the Teacher Coordinator of Cooperative Education endorsement and was not approved by the School Board to teach out-of-field. We also noted that: (a) the parents of the students concerned were not notified of the teacher's out-of-field status and, (b) the teacher had been teaching out-of-field since the 2006-07 school year but had earned none of the 12 college credit hours required toward appropriate certification. We made the following audit adjustment:

.0000

<u>SCHEDULE D</u> (Continued)

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

<u>Findings</u>	Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>
Tampa Bay Tech High School (#4221) (Continued)	
103 Basic 9-121.6278300 Career Education 9-12(1.6278)	<u>.0000</u> .0351
Tampa Bay Boulevard Elementary School (#4241)	
174.[Ref. 424102] The Matrix of Services form for one ESE student was incorrectly scored. The ratings total included three Special Consideration points designated for PK students who earned less than .5000 FTE. This student earned .5000 FTE in both the October 2008 survey and the February 2009 survey. We made the following audit adjustment:254 ESE Support Level 41.0000 (1.0000)	.0000
175. [Ref. 424103/04] The English language proficiency of seven ELL students who began a fourth, fifth, or sixth year of ESOL placement during the 2008-09 school year was prematurely assessed by the District in May of the 2007-08 school year. This assessment should have been conducted just prior to the start of the students' fourth, fifth, or sixth year of ESOL placement as determined by the students' ESOL anniversary dates. (See Finding No. 2.) We noted that four of the students (Ref. 424104) made proficient scores on the May 2008 CELLA. We made the following audit adjustments:	
$\begin{array}{c} \underline{\text{Ref. 424103}} \\ 101 \text{ Basic K-3} & .5000 \\ 102 \text{ Basic 4-8} & 1.0000 \\ 130 \text{ ESOL} & (\underline{1.5000}) \\ \hline \\ \underline{\text{Ref. 424104}} \\ 101 \text{ Basic K-3} & 4.0000 \\ 130 \text{ ESOL} & (\underline{4.0000}) \\ \hline \end{array}$.0000 .0000

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings

Net Audit Adjustments (Unweighted FTE)

Town & Country Elementary School (#4441)

176. [Ref. 444101] The English language proficiency of five ELL students	<u>vho</u>		
began a fourth or fifth year of ESOL placement during the 2008-09 school year was			
prematurely assessed by the District in February, March, or May of the 2007-08 sc	lool		
year. These assessments should have been conducted just prior to the start of	the		
students' fourth, fifth, or sixth year of ESOL placement as determined by the stud	<u>nts'</u>		
ESOL anniversary dates. (See Finding No. 2.) We made the following audit adjustr	ent:		
102 Basic 4-8 1.5	000 000 000) .0000		
177. [Ref. 444102/03] Six students were reported incorrectly in ESOL. The stud	ents		
were FES and an ELL Committee was not convened to consider their ESOL placen	ent,		
contrary to State Board of Education Rule 6A-6.0902(2)(a)3., Florida Administration	tive		
Code. (See Finding No. 4.) We made the following audit adjustments:			
102 Basic 4-8 1.0	000 000 <u>000</u>) .0000		
<u>Ref. 444103</u> 101 Basic K-3	000 000) .0000		
178. [Ref. 444170] One teacher who taught Primary Language Arts to classes	<u>that</u>		
included ELL students but had earned only 120 of the 180 in-service training points in			
ESOL strategies required by rule and the teacher's in-service training timeline. We re-	ade		

the following audit adjustment:

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

<u>Findings</u>	Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>
Town & Country Elementary School (#4441) (Continued)	
101 Basic K-3 .5000 130 ESOL (.5000)	<u>.0000</u> .0000
Caminiti Exceptional Center (#4562)	
179. [Ref. 456201] <u>The file for one ESE student in the February 2009 survey did not</u> <u>contain an IEP covering that survey.</u> (The student's IEP expired on January 24, 2009, <u>and a new one was not prepared until March 4, 2009.</u>) We made the following audit <u>adjustment:</u>	
103 Basic 9-12 .5000 254 ESE Support Level 4 (.5000)	.0000
180. [Ref. 456202] Because of an inadvertent data entry error, the scheduled number	
of instructional minutes reported for one part-time ESE student were overstated by 150	
minutes. We made the following audit adjustment:	
254 ESE Support Level 4 (.0500)	<u>(.0500</u>)
	<u>(.0500</u>)
Wharton High School (#4731)	
181. [Ref. 473101] <u>The timecard for one Career Education 9-12 (OJT) student was</u> missing and could not be located. We made the following audit adjustment:	
300 Career Education 9-12 (.0008)	(.0008)

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings

Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>

Wharton High School (#4731) (Continued)

182. [Ref. 473102] The ELL Committee for one FES stu	udent in ESOL did not	
consider at least two of the ESOL placement criteria speci	ified by State Board of	
Education Rule 6A-6.0902(2)(a)4., Florida Administrative Code	. We also noted that the	
Committee was not composed of at least three District per	rsonnel. We made the	
following audit adjustment:		
103 Basic 9-12 130 ESOL	.3336 <u>(.3336</u>)	.0000
183. [Ref. 473103] The English language proficiency of te	<u>n students who began a</u>	
fourth, fifth, or sixth year of ESOL placement during the 2	2008-09 school year was	
prematurely assessed by the District in March or May of the 200)7-08 school year. These	
assessments should have been conducted just prior to the start	t of the students' fourth,	
fifth, or sixth year of ESOL placement as determined by the stu	dents' ESOL anniversary	
dates. (See Finding No. 2.) We made the following audit adjust	<u>ment</u> :	
103 Basic 9-12 130 ESOL	4.9198 <u>(4.9198</u>)	.0000
184. [Ref. 473104] One student was reported incorrectly in	ESOL. The student was	
FES and an ELL Committee was not convened to consid	ler the student's ESOL	
placement, contrary to State Board of Education Rule 64	A-6.0902(2)(a)3., Florida	
Administrative Code. (See Finding No. 4.) We made the follow	ving audit adjustment:	
103 Basic 9-12	.7506	0000

130 ESOL (.7506) .0000

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Net Audit Adjustments **Findings** (Unweighted FTE) Wharton High School (#4731) (Continued) 185. [Ref. 473106] The ELL Student Plans for five students did not identify the specific subject areas in which ESOL strategies were to be employed. We also noted that the ELL Student Plan for the October 2008 survey for one of the students was missing and could not be located. We made the following audit adjustment: 103 Basic 9-12 1.5838 130 ESOL (1.5838).0000 186. [Ref. 473108] The file for one ELL student did not contain documentation justifying the student's continued ESOL placement for a fourth year. We also noted the ELL Student Plan for the October 2008 survey did not identify the specific subject areas in which ESOL strategies were to be employed. We made the following audit adjustment: 103 Basic 9-12 .8340 130 ESOL (.8340).0000 187. [Ref. 473109] The file for one ESE student did not contain evidence that at least one of the student's General Education teachers had participated in the development of the student's IEP. We made the following audit adjustment: 103 Basic 9-12 1.0000 113 Grades 9-12 with ESE Services (1.0000).0000 188. [Ref. 473170/71] Two teachers were not properly certified to teach ELL students and were not approved by the School Board to teach such students out-of-field. We made the following audit adjustments: <u>Ref. 473170</u> 103 Basic 9-12 .5004 130 ESOL .0000 (.5004)

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

<u>Findings</u>	Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>	
Wharton High School (#4731) (Continued)		
Ref. 473171103 Basic 9-122.8340130 ESOL(2.8340)	.0000	
189. [Ref. 473172] One Vocational teacher was not properly certified to teach		
courses requiring District-issued certificates in Business Education and Public Services,		
respectively, and was not approved by the School Board to teach out-of-field. We also		
noted that the parents of the students concerned were not notified of the teacher's		
out-of-field status. We made the following audit adjustment:		
103 Basic 9-12 2.0016 300 Career Education 9-12 (2.0016)	<u>.0000</u> (.0008)	
Wimauma Elementary School (#4841)		
190. [Ref. 484101] The English language proficiency of six students who began a		
fourth year of ESOL placement during the 2008-09 school year was prematurely		
assessed by the District in February, March, or May of the 2007-08 school year. These		
assessments should have been conducted just prior to the start of the students' fourth		
year of ESOL placement as determined by the students' ESOL anniversary dates. (See		
Finding No. 2.) We made the following audit adjustment:		
101 Basic K-31.5000102 Basic 4-81.5000130 ESOL(3.0000)	.0000	
191. [Ref. 484170] One teacher who taught Primary Language Arts to classes that		
included ELL students had not earned the 60 in-service training points in ESOL		
strategies required by rule and the teacher's in-service training timeline. We made the		
following audit adjustment:		

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings	Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>
Wimauma Elementary School (#4841) (Continued)	
101 Basic K-31.7500130 ESOL(1.7500)	<u>.0000</u> .0000
Riverside Academy (#5060)	
192. [Ref. 506001] The course schedules for 12 ESE students (1 of whom was in our	
ESOL sample) incorrectly included a portion of the students' instructional time in	
program No. 102 (Basic 4-8), program No. 103 (Basic 9-12), and program No. 130	
(ESOL). The course schedules of ESE students should be reported entirely in ESE. In	
addition, because of an isolated data entry error, one of the above students was reported	
for more FTE than what was supported by school's calendar for the July 2008 survey.	
We made the following audit adjustment:	
102Basic 4-8(.6054)103Basic 9-12(.7944)112Grades 4-8 with ESE Services.6054113Grades 9-12 with ESE Services.8086130ESOL(.0284)	(.0142)
193. [Ref. 506002] The file for one ESOL student did not contain a valid ELL	
Student Plan for the June 2009 survey. We made the following audit adjustment:	
103 Basic 9-12 .0708 130 ESOL (.0708)	.0000
194. [Ref. 506003] The file for one ELL student did not contain evidence that the	
student's parents were notified of the student's ESOL placement. We made the	
following audit adjustment:	
102 Basic 4-8 .6958 130 ESOL (.6958)	<u>.0000</u> (.0142)

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings

Net Audit Adjustments (Unweighted FTE)

Charter School of Tampa Bay Academy (#6602)

195. [Ref. 660201] <u>Two ESE students were not reported in accordance with their</u> <u>Matrix of Services forms.</u> We made the following audit adjustment:

254 ESE Support Level 4255 ESE Support Level 5	.5000 <u>(.5000</u>)	.0000
254 ESE Support Level 4255 ESE Support Level 5	(.5000) <u>.5000</u>	.0000

196. [Ref. 660202] <u>One student in the October 2008 survey was a non-resident ESE</u> student who was placed in the school's residential facility by an out-of-State agency and, as such, was not eligible to be reported for FTE funding through FEFP. We made the following audit adjustment:

255 ESE Support Level 5	<u>(.5000)</u>	(.5000)

<u>Management's Response</u> – Management's Response included a letter from the School that indicated the School intended to provide additional documentation regarding the above finding when advised by the State to do so. However, no documentation was provided with Management's Response.

<u>Auditors' Resolution</u> – At our request, the District advised the School that any additional documentation it had should be immediately provided to the District so that it could be made part of Management's Response. Despite this advisement, no additional documentation was subsequently provided by the School. Accordingly, our finding stands as presented.

.0000

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings

Net Audit Adjustments (Unweighted FTE)

Charter School of Tampa Bay Academy (#6602) (Continued)

197. [Ref. 660270/74] <u>Two teachers taught during the school terms covered by the</u> October 2008 survey but did not hold valid Florida teaching certificates and were not otherwise qualified to teach. We made the following audit adjustments:

<u>Ref. 660270</u>		
102 Basic 4-8	4.5000	
103 Basic 9-12	1.2000	
254 ESE Support Level 4	(1.1000)	
255 ESE Support Level 5	<u>(4.6000</u>)	.0000
<u>Ref. 660274</u>		
103 Basic 9-12	1.1000	
255 ESE Support Level 5	<u>(1.1000</u>)	.0000

<u>Management's Response</u> – Management's Response included a letter from the School that indicated the School intended to provide additional documentation regarding the above Finding when advised by the State to do so. However, no documentation was provided with Management's Response.

<u>Auditors' Resolution</u> – At our request, the District advised the School that any additional documentation that the School had should be immediately provided to the District so that it could be made part of Management's Response. Despite this advisement, no additional documentation was subsequently provided by the School. Accordingly, our Finding stands as presented.

.0000

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings

Net Audit Adjustments (Unweighted FTE)

Charter School of Tampa Bay Academy (#6602) (Continued)

198. [Ref. 660271/72/73] <u>The parental notification letter for students taught by</u> three out-of-field teachers did not disclose the specific subject areas for which the teachers were considered out-of-field. We noted that the Charter School Board approved the out-of-field status of two of the teacher's (Ref. 660272/73) without being aware of the teacher's out-of-field subject area. We made the following audit adjustments:

Ref. 660271 102 Basic 4-8 103 Basic 9-12 254 ESE Support Level 4 255 ESE Support Level 5	1.8000 2.7000 (1.4000) <u>(3.1000</u>)	.0000
Ref. 660272 102 Basic 4-8 103 Basic 9-12 254 ESE Support Level 4 255 ESE Support Level 5	4.9000 1.2000 (.9000) <u>(5.2000</u>)	.0000
<u>Ref. 660273</u> 102 Basic 4-8 103 Basic 9-12 254 ESE Support Level 4 255 ESE Support Level 5	1.2000 3.1000 (1.3000) (<u>3.0000</u>)	<u>.0000</u>

<u>Management's Response</u> – Management's Response included a letter from the School that indicated the School intended to provide additional documentation regarding the above Finding when advised by the State to do so. However, no documentation was provided with Management's Response.

Net Audit Adjustments

(Unweighted FTE)

SCHEDULE D (Continued)

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings

Charter School of Tampa Bay Academy (#6602) (Continued)

<u>Auditors' Resolution</u> – At our request, the District advised the School that any additional documentation that the School had should be immediately provided to the District so that it could be made part of Management's Response. Despite this advisement, no additional documentation was subsequently provided by the School. Accordingly, our Finding stands as presented.

	<u>.0000</u> (.5000)
Florida Autism Charter School (#6639)	
199. [Ref. 663971] One noncertified teacher was hired as a long-term substitute for	
the 2008-09 school year. Since there are no specific limitations placed on substitute	
teaching by law or rule and since State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.0503, Florida	
Administrative Code, in particular, defines qualified instructional personnel but does not	
address the area of substitute teaching, we made no audit adjustment.	
	.0000
	.0000
200. [Ref. 663901] The Matrix of Services form for one ESE student did not include	.0000
200. [Ref. 663901] <u>The Matrix of Services form for one ESE student did not include</u> one Special Considerations point for which the student was eligible. The point was	.0000
	.0000
one Special Considerations point for which the student was eligible. The point was	.0000

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings	Net Audit Adjustments <u>(Unweighted FTE)</u>		
Florida Autism Charter School (#6639) (Continued)			
201. [Ref. 663902] The Matrix of Services form for one ESE student in program			
No. 255 (ESE Support Level 5) was incomplete. None of the services to be provided to			
the student were indicated for any Domains. We made the following audit adjustment:			
111 Grades K-3 with ESE Services.5000255 ESE Support Level 5(.5000)	.0000		
202. [Ref. 663970] One teacher did not hold a Florida teaching certificate and was			
not otherwise qualified to teach. We made the following audit adjustment:			
102 Basic 4-8 6.3600 254 ESE Support Level 4 (4.3900) 255 ESE Support Level 5 (1.9700)	.0000		
Management's Response – Management's Response included a letter from the School			
indicating that proper procedures were followed by the School for the cited teacher but			
confirming that the teacher did not hold a Florida teaching certificate.			
Auditors' Resolution - Since the School has confirmed that the cited teacher did not			
hold a Florida teaching certificate, our Finding stands as presented.			
	.0000		
203. [Ref. 663972] One teacher was not properly certified to teach ESE students and			
was not approved by the Charter School's Board to teach out-of-field. We also noted			
that the parents of the students concerned were not notified of the teacher's out-of-field			
subject area. We made the following audit adjustment:			
101 Basic K-31.9300102 Basic 4-81.9850254 ESE Support Level 4(2.5300)255 ESE Support Level 5(1.3850)	.0000		

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings

Net Audit Adjustments (Unweighted FTE)

Florida Autism Charter School (#6639) (Continued)

<u>Management's Response</u> – Management's Response included a letter from the School with a copy of the parental notification for the teacher cited in our Finding.

<u>Auditors' Resolution</u> – The submitted parental notification did not specifically disclose

the teacher's out-of-field subject area. Accordingly, our Finding stands as presented.

<u>.0000</u> .0000

<u>(5.1892</u>)

SCHEDULE E

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **RECOMMENDATIONS AND REGULATORY CITATIONS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Recommendations

We recommend that management exercise more care and take corrective action, as appropriate, to ensure that: (1) students are reported in the proper FEFP funding categories and have adequate documentation to support that reporting, particularly with regard to students in ESOL; (2) Matrix of Services forms are properly completed and maintained in readily accessible files; (3) English language assessments for continued ESOL placements beyond the initial three-year base period are conducted just prior to the start of the students' fourth, fifth, or sixth year in ESOL as determined by the anniversary of the students' initial ESOL placement; (4) parents are notified of their child's re-enrollment in ESOL after living outside of the District; (5) teachers are properly certified, or, if out-of-field, are approved by the School Board to teach out-of-field; (6) teachers earn their required in-service training points and college credits, as appropriate; and (7) parents are properly notified of teachers' out-of-field status including the specific out-of-field courses taught by those out-of-field teachers.

The absence of statements in this report regarding practices and procedures followed by the District should not be construed as acceptance, approval, or endorsement of those practices and procedures. Additionally, the specific nature of this report does not limit or lessen the District's obligation to comply with all State requirements governing FTE and FEFP.

Regulatory Citations

Reporting
Section 1011.60, F.SMinimum Requirements of the Florida Education Finance Program
Section 1011.61, F.SDefinitions
Section 1011.62, F.SFunds for Operation of Schools
Rule 6A-1.0451, F.A.CFEFP Student Membership Surveys
Rule 6A-1.04513, F.A.CMaintaining Auditable FTE Records
FTE General Instructions 2008-2009

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **RECOMMENDATIONS AND REGULATORY CITATIONS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Regulatory Citations (Continued)

Attendance

Section 1003.23, F.S. Attendance Records and Reports

Rules 6A-1.044(3) and

(6)(c), F.A.C. Pupil Attendance Records

Rule 6A-1.04513, F.A.C. Maintaining Auditable FTE Records

FTE General Instructions 2008-2009

Comprehensive Management Information System: Automated Student Attendance Recordkeeping System

English for Speakers of Other Languages (ESOL)

Section 1003.56, F.S. English Language Instruction for Limited English Proficient Students

Section 1011.62(1)(g), F.S. Education for Speakers of Other Languages

Rule 6A-6.0901, F.A.C. Definitions Which Apply to Programs for English Language Learners

Rule 6A-6.0904, F.A.C. Equal Access to Appropriate Instruction for English Language Learners

Career Education On-the-Job Attendance

Rule 6A-1.044(6)(c), F.A.C.Pupil Attendance Records

Exceptional Education

Section 1003.57, F.S. Exceptional Students Instruction

Section 1011.62, F.S. Funds for Operation of Schools

Section 1011.62(1)(e), F.S. Funding Model for Exceptional Student Education Programs

- Rule 6A-6.03029, F.A.C. Development of Family Support *Plans* for Children with Disabilities Ages Birth Through Five Years
- Rule 6A-6.0312, F.A.C. Course Modifications for Exceptional Students

Rule 6A-6.0334, F.A.C. Individual Educational *Plans* (IEPs) and Educational *Plans* (EPs) for Transferring Exceptional Students

Rule 6A-6.03411, F.A.C. Definitions, ESE Policies and Procedures, and ESE Administrators *The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.*

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **RECOMMENDATIONS AND REGULATORY CITATIONS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Regulatory Citations (Continued)

Career Education On-the-Job Funding Hours Rule 6A-6.055(3), F.A.C.Definitions of Terms Used in Vocational Education and Adult Programs FTE General Instructions 2008-2009

Teacher Certification

Section 1003.56, F.SEnglish Language Instruction for Limited English Proficient Students
Section 1011.62(1)(g), F.SEducation for Speakers of Other Languages
Section 1012.42(2), F.S
Section 1012.55, F.SPositions for Which Certificates Required
Rule 6A-1.0502, F.A.CNon-certificated Instructional Personnel
Rule 6A-1.0503, F.A.CDefinition of Qualified Instructional Personnel
Rule 6A-4.001, F.A.CInstructional Personnel Certification
Rule 6A-6.0907, F.A.CInservice Requirements for Personnel of Limited English Proficient Students

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **NOTES TO SCHEDULES** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

NOTE A - SUMMARY

A summary discussion of the significant features of the District, FEFP, FTE, and related areas follows:

1. <u>School District of Hillsborough County</u>

The District was established pursuant to Section 1001.30, Florida Statutes, to provide public educational services for the residents of Hillsborough County, Florida. Those services are provided primarily to students attending kindergarten through high school but also to adults seeking career education-type training. The District is part of the State system of public education under the general direction and control of the State Board of Education. The geographic boundaries of the District are those of Hillsborough County.

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009, the District operated 262 schools, reported 190,090.03 unweighted FTE, and received approximately \$526 million in State funding for those FTE. The primary sources of funding for the District are funds from FEFP, local ad valorem taxes, and Federal grants and donations.

2. Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP)

Florida school districts receive State funding through FEFP, which was established by the Florida Legislature in 1973 to guarantee to each student in the Florida public school system the availability of an educational environment appropriate to his educational needs which is substantially equal to that available to any similar student notwithstanding geographic differences and varying local economic factors. To provide equalization of educational opportunity in Florida, the FEFP formula recognizes: (1) varying local property tax bases, (2) varying program cost factors, (3) district cost differentials, and (4) differences in per student cost for equivalent educational programs because of sparsity and dispersion of student population.

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **NOTES TO SCHEDULES** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

NOTE A - SUMMARY (Continued)

3. Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students

The funding provided by FEFP is based upon the numbers of individual students participating in particular educational programs. A numerical value is assigned to each student according to the student's hours and days of attendance in those programs. The individual student thus becomes equated to a numerical value known as an FTE. For example, for kindergarten through third grade, one FTE is defined as one student in membership in a program or a group of programs for 20 hours per week for 180 days; for grade levels four through twelve, one FTE is defined as one student in membership in a program or a group of programs for 20 hours per week for 180 days; for grade levels four through twelve, one FTE is defined as one student in membership in a program or a group of programs for 25 hours per week for 180 days.

4. <u>Calculation of FEFP Funds</u>

The amount of State and local FEFP funds is calculated by the Department of Education by multiplying the number of unweighted FTE in each educational program by the specific cost factor of each program to obtain weighted FTEs. Weighted FTEs are multiplied by the base student allocation amount and that product is multiplied by the appropriate cost differential factor. Various adjustments are then added to this product to obtain the total State and local FEFP dollars. All cost factors, the base student allocation amount, cost differential factors, and various adjustment figures are established by the Florida Legislature.

5. <u>FTE Surveys</u>

FTE is determined and reported during the school year by means of four FTE membership surveys, which are conducted under the direction of district and school management. Each survey is a sampling of FTE membership for a period of one week. The surveys for the 2008-2009 school year were conducted during and for the following weeks: survey one was performed for July 14 through 18, 2008; survey two was performed for October 13 through 17, 2008; survey three was performed for February 9 through 13, 2009; and survey four was performed for June 8 through 12, 2009.

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students **NOTES TO SCHEDULES** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

NOTE A - SUMMARY (Continued)

6. Educational Programs

FEFP funds ten specific programs under which instruction may be provided as authorized by the Florida Legislature. The general program titles under which these specific programs fall are as follows: (1) Basic, (2) ESOL, (3) ESE, and (4) Career Education (9-12).

7. <u>Statutes and Rules</u>

The following statutes and rules are of significance to the administration of Florida public education:

Chapter 1000, F.SK-20 General Provisions
Chapter 1001, F.SK-20 Governance
Chapter 1002, F.SStudent and Parental Rights and Educational Choices
Chapter 1003, F.SPublic K-12 Education
Chapter 1006, F.SSupport for Learning
Chapter 1007, F.SArticulation and Access
Chapter 1010, F.S Financial Matters
Chapter 1011, F.SPlanning and Budgeting
Chapter 1012, F.SPersonnel
Chapter 6A-1, F.A.C Finance and Administration
Chapter 6A-4, F.A.CCertification
Chapter 6A-6, F.A.CSpecial Programs I

NOTE B - SAMPLING

Our examination procedures provided for the selection of samples of schools, students, and teachers, using statistical and judgmental methods, for testing FTE reported to the Department of Education for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009. Our sampling process was designed to facilitate the performance of appropriate examination procedures to test the District's compliance with State requirements governing FTE and FEFP. The following schools were in our sample:

Hillsborough County District School Board Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Students NOTES TO SCHEDULES

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

NOTE B - SAMPLING (Continued)

	School Name/Description	Finding Number(s)
	District-Wide Findings	1 through 4
	Carver Exceptional Center	5 through 9
	Frost Elementary School	10 through 12
3.	Alexander Elementary School	13 through 15
4.	Hammond Elementary School	16
5.	Alonso High School	17 through 25
6.	Brandon High School	26 through 32
7.	Bryan Elementary School	33 through 37
8.	Buchanan Middle School	38 through 41
9.	Chamberlain High School	42 through 50
10.	Clark Elementary School	51 through 53
11.	Coleman Middle School	54 and 55
12.	Crestwood Elementary School	56 through 59
13.	Dover Elementary School	60 through 64
	Durant High School	65 through 71
	Eisenhower Middle School	72 through 83
	Foster Elementary School	84 through 87
	Freedom High School	88 through 96
	Gaither High School	97 through 102
	Gibsonton Elementary School	103 through 105
	Heritage Elementary School	106
	Leto High School	107 through 116
	Lopez Elementary School	117 through 120
	Maniscalco Elementary School	121
	Mann Middle School	122 through 124
	Oak Grove Elementary School	125 through 131
	Riverview High School	132 through 137
	Plant High School	138 through 141
	Plant City High School	142 through 151
	Robinson Elementary School	152 and 153
	•	NA
	Lavoy Exceptional Center Ruskin Elementary School	
	Simmons Exceptional Center	154 through 157 NA
	*	
	Sickles High School	158 through 166
	Tampa Bay Tech High School	167 through 173
	Tampa Bay Boulevard Elementary School	174 and 175 NA
	Dorothy Thomas Center	
	Town & Country Elementary School	176 through 178
	Caminiti Exceptional Center	179 and 180
	Wharton High School	181 through 189
	Wimauma Elementary School	190 and 191
	Riverside Academy	192 through 194
	Charter School of Tampa Bay Academy	195 through 198
43.	Florida Autism Charter School	199 through 203



DAVID W. MARTIN, CPA AUDITOR GENERAL **AUDITOR GENERAL**

STATE OF FLORIDA

G74 Claude Pepper Building 111 West Madison Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1450



PHONE: 850-488-5534 FAX: 850-488-6975

The President of the Senate, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, and the Legislative Auditing Committee

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD STUDENT TRANSPORTATION

We have examined management's assertion, included in its representation letter dated December 11, 2009, that the Hillsborough County District School Board complied with State requirements governing the determination and reporting of the number of students transported for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009. These requirements are found primarily in Chapter 1006, Part I, E., and Section 1011.68, Florida Statutes; State Board of Education Rules, Chapter 6A-3, Florida Administrative Code; and the *Student Transportation General Instructions* issued by the Department of Education. As discussed in the representation letter, management is responsible for the District's compliance with State requirements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the District's compliance based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and, accordingly, included examining, on a test basis, evidence about the District's compliance with the aforementioned State requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. The legal determination of the District's compliance with these requirements is, however, ultimately the responsibility of the Department of Education.

Compliance

In our opinion, the Hillsborough County District School Board complied, in all material respects, with State requirements governing the determination and reporting of the number of students transported for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009.

The results of our examination disclosed noncompliance with the State requirements mentioned above. We considered this noncompliance in forming our opinion regarding management's assertion and it did not affect our opinion as stated above. All noncompliance disclosed by our examination procedures is discussed in SCHEDULE G. The impact of this noncompliance on the District's reported number of transported students is presented in SCHEDULE F and SCHEDULE G.

Internal Control Over Compliance

In accordance with attestation standards established by the AICPA and *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report significant deficiencies in internal control detected during our examination and identify those considered to be material weaknesses. The purpose of our examination was to express an opinion on the District's compliance with State requirements and did not include expressing an opinion on the District's related internal controls. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. Because of its limited purpose, our examination would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be significant deficiencies,¹ is not considered indicative of material weaknesses in the District's internal controls related to the classification and reporting of transported students. The findings, populations, samples, and exception totals that pertain to noncompliance are presented in SCHEDULE F and SCHEDULE G.

The District's written response to this examination has not been subjected to our examination procedures, and accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

¹<u>A control deficiency</u> in the entity's internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect noncompliance on a timely basis. <u>A significant deficiency</u> is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to comply with the aforementioned State requirements such that there is more than a remote likelihood that noncompliance that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control. <u>A material weakness</u> is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in a more than remote likelihood that material noncompliance will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

Pursuant to Section 11.45(4)(c), Florida Statutes, this report is a public record and its distribution is not limited. Attestation standards established by the AICPA require us to indicate that this report is intended solely for the information and use of the Legislative Auditing Committee, members of the Florida Senate and the Florida House of Representatives, the State Board of Education, the Department of Education, and applicable District management and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Respectfully submitted,

W. Martin

David W. Martin, CPA August 2, 2010

SCHEDULE F

Hillsborough County District School Board Student Transportation **POPULATIONS, SAMPLES, AND TEST RESULTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Description	Number of <u>Vehicles</u>	% of <u>Pop.</u>	No. of Students <u>Transported</u>	% of Pop. <u>(Sample)</u>
Population ¹ Sample ²	2,761	100.00%	159,328 634	100.00% 0.40%
<u>Sample Students</u> With Exceptions ³ Net Audit Adjustments	- -	-	48 (35)	(7.57%) (5.52%)
<u>Non-Sample Students</u> With Exceptions ³ Net Audit Adjustments	- -	-	32 (28)	0.02% 0.02%
<u>Sample and Non-Sample Students</u> Net Audit Adjustments	-	-	(63)	0.04%

² See NOTE B.

¹ The population figures for students are the totals of the figures reported for each survey conducted for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009. The District reported 159,328 students in the following ridership categories: 8,357 in IDEA (K-12), Weighted; 211 in IDEA (K-12), Unweighted; 2,071 in IDEA (PK), Weighted; 15 in IDEA (PK), Unweighted; 58 in Teenage Parents and Infants; 21,045 in Hazardous Walking; 127,530 in Two Miles or More; 10 in Center to Center (IDEA), Weighted; and 31 in Center to Center (IDEA), Unweighted. The District also reported operating a total of 2,761 vehicles (2,733 buses and 28 passenger cars). (IDEA stands for Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.)

³ Students with exceptions are students with exceptions affecting their ridership classification. Students cited only for incorrect reporting of days-in-term in Finding Nos. 1 and 2 are not included.

SCHEDULE G

Hillsborough County District School Board Student Transportation FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Overview

Management is responsible for determining and reporting the number of students transported in compliance with State requirements. These requirements are found primarily in Chapter 1006, Part I, E., and Section 1011.68, Florida Statutes; State Board of Education Rules, Chapter 6A-3, Florida Administrative Code; and the *Student Transportation General Instructions* issued by the Department of Education. The Hillsborough County District School Board complied, in all material respects, with State requirements governing the determination and reporting of students transported for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009. All noncompliance disclosed by our examination procedures is discussed below and requires management's attention and action, as recommended on page 109.

Findings

Our examination procedures included both general tests and detailed tests. Our general tests included inquiries concerning the District's transportation of students and verification that a bus driver's report existed for each bus reported in a survey. Our detailed tests involved verification of the specific ridership categories reported for students sampled from the July and October 2008 surveys and the February and June 2009 surveys. Adjusted students who were in more than one survey are accounted for by survey. For example, a student sampled twice (i.e., once for the October 2008 survey and once for the February 2009 survey) will be presented in our findings as two sample students.

1. [Ref. 51] The number of days-in-term for the July 2008 survey were incorrectly reported for 137 students. The students were reported for varying numbers of days-in-term ranging from 1 day to 24 days. They should have been reported for either 13 days-in-term (for 13 students) or 18 days-in-term (for 124 students). We also noted that one non-sample PK student was incorrectly reported in IDEA (K-12), Weighted. The student should have been reported in IDEA (PK), Weighted. We made the following audit adjustment:

Students Transported Net Audit <u>Adjustments</u>

Hillsborough County District School Board Student Transportation FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

<u>Findings</u>		Students Transported Net Audit <u>Adjustments</u>
July 2008 Survey		
<u>24 Days-in-Term</u>	(4)	
IDEA (K-12), Weighted (Non-Sample PK Student)	(1)	
IDEA (PK), Weighted	(8)	
Hazardous Walking	(1)	
Hazardous Walking Two Miles or More	(32)	
Two Miles or More	(22)	
<u>19 Days-in-Term</u>		
IDEA (K-12), Weighted	(5)	
IDEA (R-12), weighted	(3)	
<u>16 Days-in-Term</u>		
IDEA (K-12), Weighted	(9)	
Hazardous Walking	(5)	
Two Miles or More	(41)	
	()	
<u>14 Days-in-Term</u>		
Hazardous Walking	(1)	
Two Miles or More	(7)	
<u>3 Days-in-Term</u>		
IDEA (K-12), Weighted	(2)	
<u>1 Days-in-Term</u>		
Two Miles or More	<u>(3)</u>	(137)
<u>18 Days-in-Term</u>		
IDEA (K-12), Weighted	16	
IDEA (PK), Weighted (Non-Sample PK Student)	1	
IDEA (PK), Weighted	8	
Hazardous Walking	39	
Two Miles or More	60	
12 D T		
<u>13 Days-in-Term</u>	10	127
Two Miles or More	<u>13</u>	137

SCHEDULE G (Continued)

Hillsborough County District School Board Student Transportation FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

> Students Transported Net Audit <u>Adjustments</u>

Findings

2. [Ref. 55] The number of days-in-term for the June 2009 survey were incorrectly reported for 129 students. The students were reported for varying numbers of days-in-term ranging from 6 to 19 days. They should have been reported for 10 days-in-term (100 students) and 15 days-in-term (29 students). We also noted that the bus ridership of the 29 students having the 15-day term (1 of whom was in our sample) and the ridership classification of 1 of the remaining students (who was also in our sample) were not adequately supported, as follows:

- a. <u>The 29 students were enrolled in the PACE Center for Girls and were</u> <u>transported using public buses; however, the Center did not retain any records</u> <u>(such as bus passes) to evidence the students' bus ridership.</u>
- b. <u>The IEP for 1 PK student in IDEA (PK)</u>, Weighted, was missing and could not be located.

We made the following audit adjustment to correct the reported numbers of days-in-term and disallow the reported ridership of the 30 students cited in subparagraphs a. and b. above:

<u>June 2009 Survey</u> <u>19 Days-in-Term</u>	
Two Miles or More (Non-Sample Students)	(28)
Two Miles or More (Sample Student)	(1)
<u>14 Days-in-Term</u>	
IDEA (K-12), Weighted	(11)
IDEA (PK), Weighted (Sample Student)	(1)
Two Miles or More	(9)
<u>12 Days-in-Term</u>	
IDEA (PK), Weighted	(3)
IDEA (PK), Unweighted	(1)
Two Miles or More	(58)

Hillsborough County District School Board Student Transportation FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

		Students Transported Net Audit
<u>Findings</u>		<u>Adjustments</u>
June 2009 Survey (Continued)		
<u>6 Days-in-Term</u>		
IDEA (K-12), Weighted	(3)	
Two Miles or More	<u>(14</u>)	(129)
<u>15 Days-in-Term</u>		
Two Miles or More (Non-Sample Students)	28	
Two Miles or More (Sample Student)	1	
<u>10 Days-in-Term</u>		
IDEA (K-12), Weighted	14	
IDEA (PK), Weighted	3	
IDEA (PK), Weighted (Sample Student)	1	
IDEA (PK), Unweighted	1	
Two Miles or More	<u>81</u>	129
<u>15 Days-in-Term</u>		
Two Miles or More (Non-Sample Students)	(28)	
Two Miles or More (Sample Student)	(1)	
<u>10 Days-in-Term</u>		
IDEA (PK), Weighted (Sample Student)	<u>(1</u>)	(30)
3. [Ref. 52] The IEPs for 32 of our sample students in IDEA (K-1	<u>2), Unweighted,</u>	
did not authorize the students' IDEA-related transportation. We noted,	however, that 8	
of the students lived less than two miles from school, had to cross a haz	zardous route to	
walk to school, and thus were eligible for classification in Hazardous	Walking. The	
remaining 24 students were not eligible for State transportation funding	. We made the	
following audit adjustments:		
July 2008 Survey		
<u>18 Days-in-Term</u> IDEA (K-12), Unweighted <i>(Sample Student)</i>	(1)	
(K-12), Oliweighten (Sumple Student)	(1)	
October 2008 Survey		
$\frac{90 \text{ Days-in-Term}}{\text{IDEA}(K, 12)}$	$\langle 2 \rangle$	
IDEA (K-12), Unweighted (Sample Students) Hazardous Walking (Sample Students)	(20) 4	
Tazatuous waining (Sumple Statents)	4	

Hillsborough County District School Board Student Transportation **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings	Students Transported Net Audit <u>Adjustments</u>	
<u>i mung</u>	<u>nujustments</u>	
<u>February 2009 Survey</u> <u>90 Days-in-Term</u>		
IDEA (K-12), Unweighted (Sample Students)(10)Hazardous Walking (Sample Students)4		
June 2009 Survey		
<u>10 Days-in-Term</u> IDEA (K-12), Unweighted <i>(Sample Student)</i> (1)	(24)	
4. [Ref. 53] We noted the following exceptions involving three of our sample		
students in IDEA (K-12), Weighted:		
a: <u>The IEPs for two students did not indicate that the students met at least one of</u>		
the five criteria required for IDEA-Weighted classification. However, the		
students lived more than two miles from school and were eligible for		
classification in Two Miles or More.		
b: The IEP for one student indicated the student's need for special transportation		
services based on a physician's prescription; however, the supporting		
prescription was missing and could not be located. We noted, however, that the		
student lived more than two miles from school and was eligible for classification		
<u>in Two Miles or More</u> .		
We made the following audit adjustments:		
July 2008 Survey 18 Days-in-Term		
IDEA (K-12), Weighted (Sample Student)(1)Two Miles or More (Sample Student)1		
October 2008 Survey 90 Days-in-Term		
IDEA (K-12), Weighted (Sample Student)(1)Two Miles or More (Sample Student)1		

Hillsborough County District School Board Student Transportation FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings	Students Transported Net Audit <u>Adjustments</u>
February 2009 Survey	
90 Days-in-Term(1)IDEA (K-12), Weighted (Sample Student)(1)Two Miles or More (Sample Student)1	0
5. [Ref. 54] The IEPs for four of our sample students in Center to Center (IDEA),	
Weighted, did not authorize the students' transportation to another school site. Since	
the students did not ride a bus to school, they were not eligible for State transportation	
funding. We made the following audit adjustments:	
October 2008 Survey 90 Days-in-Term	
Center to Center (IDEA), Unweighted <i>(Sample Students)</i> (2)	
<u>February 2009 Survey</u> 90 Days-in-Term	
Center to Center (IDEA), Unweighted <i>(Sample Students)</i> (2)	(4)
6. [Ref. 56] The files for four of our sample students in IDEA (K-12),	
Unweighted, did not contain an IEP covering the reporting surveys; consequently, the	
students' IDEA ridership classification was not adequately supported. However, two of	
the students lived less than two miles from school, had to cross a hazardous route to	
walk to school, and thus were eligible for classification in Hazardous Walking. We made	
the following audit adjustments:	
<u>February 2009 Survey</u> <u>90 Days-in-Term</u> IDEA (K-12), Unweighted <i>(Sample Students)</i> (3)	
Hazardous Walking <i>(Sample Student)</i> 1	
June 2009 Survey	
10 Days-in-Term(1)IDEA (K-12), Unweighted (Sample Student)(1)Hazardous Walking (Sample Student)1	(2)

Hillsborough County District School Board Student Transportation **FINDINGS AND AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Findings	Students Transported Net Audit <u>Adjustments</u>
7. [Ref. 57] Three of our sample students were not in attendance at school during	
the summer school session covered by the July 2008 survey; consequently, they were not	
eligible for State transportation funding. We made the following audit adjustment:	
July 2008 Survey 18 Days-in-Term IDEA (K-12), Weighted (Sample Student) (1) Two Miles or More (Sample Student) (1) 13 Days-in-Term Two Miles or More (Sample Student) (1)	(3)
8. [Ref. 58] <u>Three non-sample students were reported incorrectly in IDEA (K-12)</u> , Weighted. The students were PK students and should have been reported in IDEA (PK), Weighted. We made the following audit adjustment:	
July 2008 Survey 18 Days-in-Term IDEA (K-12), Weighted (Non-Sample Students)(3) 3IDEA (PK), Weighted (Non-Sample Students)3Net Audit Adjustments	<u>0</u> <u>(63</u>)
Summary	
Sample Students w/Exceptions <u>48</u> Sample Students - Net Audit Adjustments	(35)
Non-Sample Students w/Exceptions32Non-Sample Students - Net Audit Adjustments	<u>(28</u>)
Net Audit Adjustments	<u>(63</u>)

SCHEDULE H

Hillsborough County District School Board Student Transportation **RECOMMENDATIONS AND REGULATORY CITATIONS** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

Recommendations

We recommend that management exercise more care and take corrective action, as appropriate, to ensure that: (1) transported students are reported in the correct ridership category for the correct days-in-term and have appropriate documentation to support that reporting; (2) appropriate documentation is maintained to evidence the ridership of students on public buses; (3) IDEA students are reported in the proper ridership category for their grade level; and (4) students who are reported in IDEA K-12, Unweighted, have IEPs that authorize their transportation.

The absence of statements in this report regarding practices and procedures followed by the District should not be construed as acceptance, approval, or endorsement of those practices and procedures. Additionally, the specific nature of this report does not limit or lessen the District's obligation to comply with all State requirements governing student transportation.

Regulatory Citations

Chapter 1006, Part I, E., F.S.Transportation of Public K-12 Students Section 1011.68, F.S.Funds for Student Transportation Chapter 6A-3, F.A.C.Transportation Student Transportation General Instructions

Hillsborough County District School Board Student Transportation **NOTES TO SCHEDULES** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

NOTE A - SUMMARY

A summary discussion of the significant features of student transportation and related areas follows:

1. <u>Student Eligibility</u>

Any student who is transported by bus must meet one or more of the following conditions in order to be eligible for State transportation funding: live two or more miles from school, be physically handicapped, be a Career Education or Exceptional student who is transported from one school center to another where appropriate programs are provided, or meet the criteria for hazardous walking specified in Section 1006.23(4), Florida Statutes.

2. <u>Transportation in Hillsborough County</u>

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009, the District received approximately \$34 million in State transportation funding. The District's transportation reporting by survey was as follows:

Survey	No. of	No. of
<u>Period</u>	<u>Vehicles</u>	<u>Students</u>
July 2008	424	5,982
October 2008	987	73,276
February 2009	1,000	73,937
June 2009	<u>350</u>	<u>6,133</u>
Total	<u>2,761</u>	<u>159,328</u>

3. <u>Statutes and Rules</u>

The following statutes and rules are of significance to the District's administration of student transportation:

Chapter 1006, Part I, E., F.S	Transportation of Public K-12 Students
Section 1011.68, F.S	Funds for Student Transportation
Chapter 6A-3, F.A.C.	Transportation

Hillsborough County District School Board Student Transportation **NOTES TO SCHEDULES** For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

NOTE B - SAMPLING

Our examination procedures provided for the selection of samples of buses and students, using statistical and judgmental methods, for testing the number of students transported as reported to the Department of Education for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009. Our sampling process was designed to facilitate the performance of appropriate examination procedures to test the District's compliance with State requirements governing students transported.

EXHIBIT A Management's Response

School Board

Susan Valdes, Chair Doretha W. Edgecomb, Vice Chair Jennifer Faliero April Griffin Carol W. Kurdell Jack R. Lamb, Ed.D Candy Olson Hillsborough County PUBLIC SCHOOLS Excellence in Education

Superintendent of Schools MaryEllen Elia

August 2, 2010

Mr. David W. Martin, CPA Auditor General Room 476A, Claude Pepper Building 111 West Madison Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-1450

Subject: Response to the draft report on the examination of full-time equivalent (FTE) students and student transportation, as reported by the Hillsborough County District School Board for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009.

Dear Mr. Martin:

We are in receipt of the above referenced report. After reviewing the information we are pleased that the Audit Report for the Hillsborough County District School Board indicates compliance, in all material respects, with State requirements governing the determination and reporting of the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) students under the Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009.

We are aware that there are individual instances of non-compliance cited in the report. Items specifically identified are: 1) English Language Learners (ELL) date on Parent Notification of Entry into ELL Program forms, and ELL Committee Meeting documentation; 2) Transportation documentation issues; 3) Teacher Certification regarding out-of-field teacher assignments, parental notification of out-of-field teachers, and earning of college credits and in-service points in English for Speakers of Other Languages (ESOL).

After thoroughly reviewing the draft audit report, the District will contest all of the ESOL findings summarized under finding #2, page 19 in the draft audit report.

Attached are excerpts from the 1990 Consent Decree and our 2008/2009 DOE approved ESOL Plan, which contain all of the procedures that were followed when assessing English language learners for continued program services.

Federal NCLB regulations and FLDOE procedures mandate that English language learners are annually assessed with an approved instrument measuring both academic proficiency and language acquisition. These approved measures are FCAT (academic) and CELLA (language acquisition).

Raymond O. Shelton School Administrative Center • 901 East Kennedy Blvd. • Tampa, FL 33602-3507 School District Main Office (813) 272-4000 - P.O. Box 3408 • Tampa, FL 33601-3408 • www.sdhc.k12.fl.us

Page 2 Auditor General Response

The FLDOE testing calendar requires that both of these tests be administered in the spring of the school year. No variance from the calendar is accepted.

FCAT and CELLA are the two required measures for determining continued program services for both annual evaluations and extension of instruction. Therefore, our district has followed NCLB, FLDOE, and the procedures and guidelines of our FLDOE approved District plan in determining continued program services for English language learners with data from the spring administration of FCAT and CELLA. These are the most recent NCLB and FLDOE approved measures (which are less than one year old) to determine continued program services.

We respectfully maintain that audit adjustments should not be taken when districts adhere to Federal and State mandated procedures and the Consent Decree. Therefore, no audit adjustment should be made for the above findings.

The following information is provided as our corrective actions.

1) For ELL Coursework/ELL Plan match:

The ELL Course database is posted on the ELL website for all staff to access and placed on the DP site for Data Processors. In addition, the information is included in training materials provided to ELL school based staff. Every spring, the new course information is given to principals and assistant principals to use when scheduling students. Closer district oversight will be provided during fall and spring schedule changes to ensure that schools make necessary changes to ELL plans when course changes are completed.

For ELL committee issues, paperwork issues:

We will use the audit information to identify sites in need of additional and/or remedial training in ELL procedures to provide individual in-service. In addition, more district level oversight will be provided to ensure that procedures are followed in the areas of ELL committee meetings, extension of services, and parent notification upon re-entry of an ELL student.

2) In FY 2007-2008, the Human Resources Department completed an audit of all teachers and their assignments as compared to the out-of-field database to identify those who have had/have out-of-field assignments but no Board approval. Teachers who continue to teach out-of-field were taken to the School Board for approval. Teachers who are no longer out-of-field, but did not comply with their previous training or testing requirement, have been "flagged" as ineligible for future out-of-field assignments until they bring themselves into compliance.

The 2008-2009 audit showed a marked decrease in necessary adjustments from the 2005-2006 audit; i.e. the District Certification adjustments were approximately an 83% decrease from the estimated 2005-2006 audit findings. However, the Charter School adjustments increased from 2005-2006.

Page 3 Auditor General Response

The 2008-2009 audit showed a marked decrease in necessary adjustments from the 2005-2006 audit; i.e. the District Certification adjustments were approximately an 83% decrease from the estimated 2005-2006 audit findings. However, the Charter School adjustments increased from 2005-2006.

- <u>Concern:</u> ESOL training not completed within the appropriate timeline. <u>Solution:</u> A revised monitoring system has been implemented. Teachers who do not complete the ESOL coursework within the prescribed timeline will face termination. This procedure is implemented twice a year, June and December.
- <u>Concern:</u> Board Approval for employees with non-ESOL credentials. <u>Solution:</u> Upon hiring, employees with ELL students, who do not have the proper credentials, are taken before the School Board.
- <u>Concern</u>: *Out-of Field Employees*. <u>Solution</u>: A new process has been put into place for identifying and capturing those individuals who need to sign an Agreement to Earn. When HR receives a new assignment and it appears the employee may be out of field, the HR representative will notify the principal to send the employee to the Certification Office where an Agreement to Earn will be signed.
- <u>Concern</u>: Parent Notification Letters. <u>Solution</u>: During pre-FTE, principals meet with the Certification staff to review their teachers with out-of-field assignments and the letters that will go home to parents notifying them of the status.
- <u>Concern</u>: Charter School deficiencies. <u>Solution</u>: The District will continue to offer in-service opportunities and support to charter schools. The major issue is gaining Board approval for the charter school teachers.
- 3) The Transportation Department has been completely reorganized and is still in the process of delineating job functions. Our current team has cleaned up, audited, and reviewed as many details as possible. We've updated bus routes, instituted area office changes and are continuing to examine our procedures.

Although we are pleased at our marked improvement in Transportation FTE reporting since the 2005-2006 audit, we strive for perfection. Therefore, the following procedures will be refined and/or implemented:

- a) In conjunction with the Director of Non-Traditional Programs, we will further refine our procedures for bus pass distribution and documentation of student use.
- b) Using the information provided by the AG staff, we will coordinate with our ESE Department to provide information and training at sites where necessary to ensure all IEP's contain the appropriate information for ESE transportation.
- c) We will work closely with the Extended School Year program staff to ensure that we have accurate information on days in term for our summer programs.

Page 4 Auditor General Response

As of June 30, 2009, we had 24 charter schools operating in Hillsborough County. Charter Schools are considered Component Units of the Hillsborough County School System. They are legally separate from the District School Board. The Charter Schools are separate not-for-profit corporations organized under Section 1002.33, Florida Statutes to operate as public (as opposed to private) schools and are held responsible for prudent use of the public funds they receive. Each Charter School is a separate component unit that operates under a charter approved by their sponsor. Two of the charter schools Tampa Bay Academy and Florida Autism Charter School will be contesting their Teacher Certification findings. As the charter schools are separate entities any and all audit information will be given to us from their respective schools. Please see attached letters from the charter schools. Although Tampa Bay Academy references in their letter providing additional information, they did not provide any when requested by the District. (Items 5-6)

As always, we appreciate the opportunity for review of our management procedures regarding FTE reporting and compliance. The audit report is generally accepted as written. Additionally, we reserve the right to appeal the final audit report, as we deem appropriate.

In conclusion, we wish to thank Ms. Patricia Ferguson, Ms. Mary Ann Pekkala, and Mr. Alex Riggins of the Auditor General's staff for the professional and courteous manner in which they conducted the FTE audit. If additional questions arise, please feel free to contact me or our staff as needed.

Sincerely,

Den lia

MaryEllen Elia Superintendent

EDUCATIONAL SERVICES FOR CHILDREN & ADOLESCENTS

Charter School of Jampa Bay Academy



Charter School of Tampa Bay Academy, Inc. 12012 Boyette Road Riverview, Florida 33569

July 19, 2010

Mr. David W. Martin, CPA Auditor General State of Florida G74 Claude Pepper Building 111 West Madison Street Tallahassee, FL 33602-3408

Dear Mr. Martin:

This letter serves to document our formal intentions of contesting the Auditor General's Findings for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009.

Specifically, the Charter School of Tampa Bay Academy is contesting the following:

- Finding 196: [Ref. 660202] This area addresses a non-Florida resident who was
 present during the October 2008 Survey.
- Finding 197 [Ref. 660270/74] This finding concerns the issue of whether the teachers in question were in possession of Valid Florida Certificates during the October 2008 Survey
- Finding 198 [Ref.660271/72/73] This finding addresses the area of appropriate parent notification.

Our school intends to supply documentation that asserts that appropriate Florida statutes and school policies and procedures were followed. Some of the documentation has already been provided to the district and/or the Auditor General's office.

We are requesting a review of the above findings, with the opportunity to supply full and complete documentation to all concerned. It is our hope that once our response has been received, many, if not all of these findings may be reversed.

Accredited By: Southern Association of Colleges and Schools TAMPA (813) 677-6700 🚓 TOLLFREE (800) 678-3838 🚑 FAX (813) 677-5467 We would appreciate the State's providing us with a time-line of when our response to specific findings is required, so that we may have the opportunity to prepare for the review in a thorough, professional manner.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Respectfully,

Joanne M. Rutherford Director of Education Charter School of Tampa Bay Academy

n

Paul Hickling Chief Executive Officer Tampa Bay Academy

Cc: Mr. Kevin P. Sheehan, CEO, YFCS, Inc. Ms. Jenna Hodgens, Supervisor of Charter Schools, Hillsborough County Public Schools

Mr. Joe Chillura, Board Chair, Charter School of Tampa Bay Academy



Where education means... Gaining Independence

July 19, 2010

Mr. David W. Martin, CPA Auditor General State of Florida G74 Claude Pepper Building 111 West Madison Street Tallahassee, FL 33602-3408

RE: Draft Report No. 2011-xxx Florida Autism Charter School (#6639)

Dear Mr. Martin,

Finding 200 (Ref. 663901): We agree with this finding

Finding 201 (Ref. 663902): We agree with this finding

Finding 202 (Ref. 663970): We disagree with this finding.

The teacher in question was hired originally as a teacher's assistant. When his status was changed to a teacher of record, we followed the standard procedures and submitted the required paperwork: Request for Certificate Issuance (Attachment 1); Agreement to Earn (Attachment 2); employment verification letter (Attachment 3); and notified the parents that he was teaching out of field (Attachment 4). The teacher's status was changed from teacher assistant to teacher of record by the school's data processor in August, 2008. Because of an error in the district's charter school computer system, the district certification office was not aware of the status change and (through the entire 2008-2009 school year including two FTE Certification Survey periods in October, 2008 and February, 2009) never notified the school that the teacher had not been properly certified. The school, receiving no notification, and having followed the standard and required procedures, believed the teacher's certification status to be proper.

Finding 203 (Ref. 663972): We disagree with this finding.

Attachment 5 is a copy of a letter that was sent home on September 26, 2008 notifying the parents of the students concerned that the teacher was out of field.

We are glad to answer any questions or provide additional documentation as requested.

Sincerely,

Shanow Won/29/25

Shannon M. Moss Director, FACSE

6400 East Chelsea Street Tampa, Florida 33610 Toll free: 866.951.FACE (3223) P: 813-621-FACE (3223) W: faceprogram.org