



Defined Benefit Program of the California State Teachers' Retirement System

June 30, 2020 Actuarial Valuation

Prepared by:

Nick J. Collier, ASA, EA, MAAA
Consulting Actuary

Mark C. Olleman, FSA, EA, MAAA
Consulting Actuary

Julie D. Smith, FSA, EA, MAAA
Consulting Actuary

Milliman, Inc.
1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3800
Seattle, WA 98101-2605
Tel +1 206 624 7940
milliman.com



1301 Fifth Avenue
Suite 3800
Seattle, WA 98101-2605
USA

Tel +1 206 624 7940

milliman.com

May 25, 2021

Teachers' Retirement Board
California State Teachers' Retirement System

Re: **Defined Benefit Program Actuarial Valuation as of June 30, 2020**

Dear Members of the Board:

At your request, we have performed an actuarial valuation of the Defined Benefit (DB) Program of the State Teachers' Retirement Plan as of June 30, 2020. The major findings of the actuarial valuation are contained in the following report, which reflects the benefit provisions and contribution rates in effect as of the valuation date. This report satisfies all basic disclosure requirements under the Model Disclosure Elements for Actuarial Valuation Reports recommended by the California Actuarial Advisory Panel.

Actuarial Certification

To the best of our knowledge and belief, this report is complete and accurate and contains sufficient information to fairly disclose the funded condition of the DB Program as of June 30, 2020.

CalSTRS funding is based on complex legislation. This valuation contains analysis based on our understanding of the relevant law based on our experience working with CalSTRS and other large public retirement systems and has been augmented by consultation with CalSTRS staff.

In preparing this report, we relied, without audit, on information (some oral and some in writing) supplied by CalSTRS staff. This information includes, but is not limited to, statutory provisions, employee data, and financial information. In our examination of these data, we have found them to be reasonably consistent and comparable with data used for other purposes. The valuation results depend on the integrity of this information. If any of this information is inaccurate or incomplete, our results may be different and our calculations may need to be revised.

All costs, liabilities, rates of interest, and other factors for CalSTRS have been determined on the basis of actuarial assumptions and methods which are individually reasonable (taking into account the experience of CalSTRS and reasonable expectations) and which, in combination, offer a reasonable estimate of anticipated experience affecting CalSTRS. Further, in our opinion, each actuarial assumption used is reasonably related to the experience of CalSTRS and to reasonable expectations which, in combination, represent a reasonable estimate of anticipated experience. The valuation results were developed using models intended for valuations that use standard actuarial techniques.

Future actuarial measurements may differ significantly from the current measurements presented in this report due to such factors as the following: plan experience differing from that anticipated by the economic or demographic assumptions; changes in economic or demographic assumptions; increases or decreases expected as part of the natural operation of the methodology used for these measurements (such as the end of an amortization period or additional cost or contribution requirements based on the Plan's funded status); and

This work product was prepared solely for CalSTRS for the purposes described herein and may not be appropriate to use for other purposes.
Milliman does not intend to benefit and assumes no duty or liability to other parties who receive this work.
Milliman recommends that third parties be aided by their own actuary or other qualified professional when reviewing the Milliman work product.

changes in plan provisions or applicable law. Due to the limited scope of our assignment, we did not perform an analysis of the potential range of future measurements. The Teachers' Retirement Board has sole authority to determine the actuarial assumptions and methods used for the valuation of the DB Program. The board adopted the actuarial methods and assumptions used in the 2020 valuation.

Actuarial computations presented in this report are for purposes of assessing the funding levels of CalSTRS and calculating contribution rates under CalSTRS valuation policy. The calculations in the enclosed report have been made on a basis consistent with our understanding of CalSTRS funding structure. Determinations for other purposes, such as for financial reporting in accordance with GASB standards, may be significantly different from the results contained in this report. Accordingly, additional determinations may be needed for other purposes.

This valuation report is only an estimate of the System's financial condition as of a single date. It can neither predict the System's future condition nor guarantee future financial soundness. Actuarial valuations do not affect the ultimate cost of System benefits, only the timing of System contributions. While the valuation is based on an array of individually reasonable assumptions, other assumption sets may also be reasonable and valuation results based on those assumptions would be different. No one set of assumptions is uniquely correct. Determining results using alternative assumptions is outside the scope of our engagement.

Milliman's work is prepared solely for the internal business use of CalSTRS. To the extent that Milliman's work is not subject to disclosure under applicable public records laws, Milliman's work may not be provided to third parties without Milliman's prior written consent. Milliman does not intend to benefit or create a legal duty to any third party recipient of its work product. Milliman's consent to release its work product to any third party may be conditioned on the third party signing a Release, subject to the following exceptions:

- (a) CalSTRS may provide a copy of Milliman's work, in its entirety, to CalSTRS professional service advisors who are subject to a duty of confidentiality and who agree to not use Milliman's work for any purpose other than to benefit CalSTRS.
- (b) CalSTRS may provide a copy of Milliman's work, in its entirety, to other governmental entities, as required by law.

No third party recipient of Milliman's work product should rely upon Milliman's work product. Such recipients should engage qualified professionals for advice appropriate to their own specific needs.

The consultants who worked on this assignment are retirement actuaries. Milliman's advice is not intended to be a substitute for qualified legal or accounting counsel.

The signing actuaries are independent of the plan sponsor. We are not aware of any relationship that would impair the objectivity of our work.

On the basis of the foregoing, we hereby certify that to the best of our knowledge and belief, this report is complete and accurate and has been prepared in accordance with generally recognized and accepted actuarial principles and practices which are consistent with the principles prescribed by the Actuarial Standards Board and the Code of Professional Conduct and Qualification Standards for Actuaries Issuing Statements of Actuarial Opinion in the United States promulgated by the American Academy of Actuaries. We are members of the

This work product was prepared solely for CalSTRS for the purposes described herein and may not be appropriate to use for other purposes.
Milliman does not intend to benefit and assumes no duty or liability to other parties who receive this work.
Milliman recommends that third parties be aided by their own actuary or other qualified professional when reviewing the Milliman work product.

American Academy of Actuaries and meet its Qualification Standards to render the actuarial opinion contained herein.

We would like to express our appreciation to the CalSTRS staff who gave substantial assistance in supplying the data on which this report is based.

We respectfully submit the following report and we look forward to discussing it with you.


Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Nick Collier".

Nick J. Collier, ASA, EA, MAAA
Consulting Actuary

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mark C. Olleman".

Mark C. Olleman, FSA, EA, MAAA
Consulting Actuary

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Julie D. Smith".

Julie D. Smith, FSA, EA, MAAA
Consulting Actuary

Table of Contents

1. Summary of the Findings 1

2. Scope of the Report 10

3. Actuarial Obligation 11

 Table 1 Normal Cost 13

 Table 2 Actuarial Obligation 14

4. Valuation Assets 15

 Table 3 Market Value of Assets by Funding Group 16

 Table 4 Statement of Program Assets 17

 Table 5 Statement of Changes in Program Assets 18

 Table 6 Actuarial Value of Assets 19

 Table 7 History of Actuarial Value of Assets 20

5. Funded Status 21

 Table 8 Funded Status 26

 Table 9 Actuarial Gains and Losses 27

6. State Supplemental Contribution Rate 28

 Table 10 Assets for 1990 Benefit Structure 32

 Table 11 Funded Status and Supplemental Contribution Rate for 1990 Benefit Structure 33

7. Employer Supplemental Contribution Rate 34

 Table 12 Total Assets Allocated for Pre-2014 Service 37

 Table 13 1990 Assets Allocated for Pre-2014 Service 38

 Table 14 Funded Status and Employer Supplemental Contribution Rate for Pre-2014 Service 39

8. Actuarially Determined Contribution 40

 Table 15 Actuarially Determined Contribution Rate for DB Program 42

9. Projected Amortization and Cash Flows 43

 Table 16 Amortization of Unfunded Actuarial Obligation 44

 Table 17 Projected Cash Flow 45

10. Risk Disclosures 46

This work product was prepared solely for CalSTRS for the purposes described herein and may not be appropriate to use for other purposes. Milliman does not intend to benefit and assumes no duty or liability to other parties who receive this work. Milliman recommends that third parties be aided by their own actuary or other qualified professional when reviewing the Milliman work product.

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Appendix A Provisions of Governing Law | 53 |
| Appendix B Actuarial Methods and Assumptions | 58 |
| Table B.1 List of Major Valuation Assumptions | 60 |
| Table B.2 Mortality as of June 30, 2020 | 61 |
| Table B.3a Service Retirement – 2% at 60 Males | 62 |
| Table B.3b Service Retirement – 2% at 60 Females | 63 |
| Table B.3c Service Retirement – 2% at 62 Males | 64 |
| Table B.3d Service Retirement – 2% at 62 Females | 65 |
| Table B.3e Service Retirement – 1990 Benefit Structure Males | 66 |
| Table B.3f Service Retirement – 1990 Benefit Structure Females | 67 |
| Table B.4 Disability Retirement..... | 68 |
| Table B.5 Other Terminations of Employment (Withdrawal) | 69 |
| Table B.6 Probability of Refund | 70 |
| Table B.7 Merit Salary Increases..... | 71 |
| Table B.8 Supplemental Assumptions..... | 72 |
| Appendix C Valuation Data | 73 |
| Table C.1 Summary of Statistical Information..... | 74 |
| Table C.2 Age and Service Distribution – Active Male Members | 76 |
| Table C.3 Age and Service Distribution – Active Female Members | 77 |
| Table C.4 Age and Service Distribution – All Active Members | 78 |
| Table C.5 Inactive Members | 79 |
| Table C.6 Members Retired for Service..... | 80 |
| Appendix D Glossary..... | 81 |

1. Summary of the Findings

The primary purpose of the actuarial valuation is to calculate the contribution rates for members, employers, and the state and to analyze the sufficiency of these future contributions to meet the current and future obligations of the Defined Benefit (DB) Program. By using the actuarial methods and assumptions adopted by the Teachers' Retirement Board (TRB), this actuarial valuation provides a reasonable estimate of the long-term financing of the DB Program. The assumptions and methods were adopted at the January 2020 TRB meeting, and there have been no changes to them since the last valuation.

Under the board's valuation policy, an increase to the state supplemental contribution rate and a decrease in the employer supplemental contribution rate beginning July 2021 have been calculated. Note that the contribution rates calculated in this valuation are based on the relevant provisions of the Education Code and the board's valuation policy and are not necessarily our opinion of what the funding level should be; however, we note that CalSTRS is projected to make progress, albeit slow progress in the short term, toward paying off the Unfunded Actuarial Obligation (UAO).

The key findings of this actuarial valuation are:

- The **Funded Ratio** increased from 66.0% to 67.1% primarily due to contributions to pay down the UAO under the board's valuation policy and additional contributions by the State in the prior fiscal year.
- An increase in the **state supplemental contribution rate** of 0.500% of payroll to 6.311% of payroll has been calculated for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2021 pursuant to the board's valuation policy. This increase is the maximum increment allowed under the Education Code. Note that the state supplemental rate was frozen for the current fiscal year, so the 0.500% increase is from the 5.811% currently being paid for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2020. Current projections show increases in the state supplemental contribution rate are likely to be needed for four additional years, assuming all actuarial assumptions are met.
- A decrease in the **employer supplemental contribution rate** from 10.85% to 9.85% of payroll has been calculated for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2021 pursuant to the board's valuation policy. This is the first year the board has the opportunity to exercise its limited rate-setting authority to adjust the supplemental contribution rate paid by the employers. Under the Education Code, the Board has the discretion to adjust (or make no change to) the employer supplemental contribution rate up to 1.0% of payroll. As specified in Education Code §22950.6, for fiscal year 2021-22, employers will contribute to CalSTRS a rate that is 2.18% of payroll lower than the rate adopted by the board to reflect the contributions paid by the state on behalf of employers in fiscal year 2018-19. As shown in the table on the next page, the total contribution rate to be paid by the employers will decrease by 0.23% of payroll from the current 16.15% to 15.92% of payroll in fiscal year 2021-22 if the board elects to make the maximum reduction in the employer supplemental contribution rate.
- Based on this 2020 valuation, no change in the CalSTRS 2% at 62 **member contribution rate** is required for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2021. The member contribution rate for 2% at 60 members is fixed in the Education Code, so no change is required for this group either.

The state supplemental contribution rate was frozen at 5.811% of payroll for the current fiscal year, so CalSTRS is receiving a lower supplemental contribution rate than was previously projected. However, it should be noted that the state transferred \$297 million in Proposition 2 revenues to CalSTRS for the 2020-21 fiscal year, and that the state's preliminary 2021-22 budget includes an additional contribution of \$583 million for CalSTRS. These additional contributions would effectively make CalSTRS whole in terms of contributions, at least in the short term. For purposes of the June 30, 2020 valuation results, we have not reflected these additional contributions made after the valuation date. However, we have reflected the \$297 million contribution for the 2020-21 fiscal year in the

projection of funding levels and contribution rates as this contribution has already been made, but we have not reflected any other additional contributions that may be made after the valuation date.

Contribution Rates

The Education Code includes several subsections which provide for adjustments in contribution rates. EC §22955.1 specifies graded increases in the supplemental state contribution rates. The board has the authority to annually adjust the state contribution rate for years through June 30, 2046, so that the rate is sufficient to amortize the UAO attributable to the 1990 contribution and benefit structure. However, the maximum increase in a given year is limited to 0.5% of payroll.

EC §22950.5 specifies that effective July 1, 2021 (as first calculated in the June 30, 2020 valuation), the employer supplemental contribution rate is adjusted annually based on the contribution rate necessary to amortize the UAO attributable to service prior to July 1, 2014 that is not funded by the state as part of the 1990 Benefit Structure. However, the maximum increase or decrease in a given year is limited to 1.0% of payroll and the total employer contribution rate cannot exceed 20.25% of payroll.

The 2% at 60 member rate is fixed at 10.250% of pay. The 2% at 62 member rate, currently 10.205% of pay, can vary depending on the calculated Normal Cost Rate as discussed later in this section.

The following table shows a summary of the contribution rates currently being paid (2020-21 fiscal year) and those to be paid next year (2021-22 fiscal year) under the valuation policy.

| Source of Revenue | 2020 Valuation FY 21-22 Rate | 2019 Valuation FY 20-21 Rate |
|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Employers – Base Rate | 8.000 % | 8.000 % |
| Employers – Sick Leave | 0.250 | 0.250 |
| Employers – Supplemental Rate ⁽¹⁾ | 9.850 | 10.850 |
| Employers – Total Calculated Rate | 18.100 | 19.100 |
| Reduction for Additional State Contribs ⁽²⁾ | (2.180) | (2.950) |
| Employers – Net Contribution Rate | 15.920 | 16.150 |
| State – Base Rate | 2.017 % | 2.017 % |
| State – Supplemental Rate ⁽¹⁾ | 6.311 | 5.811 |
| State – Total DB Program | 8.328 | 7.828 |
| State – SBMA Rate ⁽³⁾ | 2.500 | 2.500 |
| State – Total Contribution to CalSTRS | 10.828 | 10.328 |
| Members – 2% at 60 | 10.250 % | 10.250 % |
| Members – 2% at 62 | 10.205 | 10.205 |

1. Calculated based on valuation policy and subject to board adoption.

2. As specified in EC §22950.6.

3. The state contribution to fund the Supplemental Benefit Maintenance Account (SBMA) is reduced by \$72 million each fiscal year.


Funding Progress

The UAO of a retirement plan is equal to the difference between its Actuarial Value of Assets and its Actuarial Obligation. The Funded Ratio is equal to the Actuarial Value of Assets divided by the Actuarial Obligation.


| (\$ Millions) | 2020 Valuation | 2019 Valuation |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Actuarial Obligation | \$ 322,127 | \$ 310,719 |
| Actuarial Value of Assets | <u>216,252</u> | <u>205,016</u> |
| Unfunded Actuarial Obligation | \$ 105,875 | \$ 105,703 |
| Funded Ratio | 67.1% | 66.0% |

The \$105.9 billion UAO compares to a projected June 30, 2020 value of \$106.8 billion based on the prior valuation. The primary reasons for the increase in the Funded Ratio are salary increases less than assumed, additional state contributions, and contributions to pay down the UAO under the board's valuation policy. Additional discussion of the contributing factors to this change can be found in Section 5 under Actuarial Gains and Losses.

Under the CalSTRS funding plan, the \$105.9 billion UAO can be viewed as split into three pieces: 1) the employers' share; 2) the state's share; and 3) the piece with no dedicated funding source, the unallocated piece, which is discussed in further detail later. This breakdown is shown in the following pie chart.



The graph below shows a historical perspective of the Funded Ratio for CalSTRS.



The table below shows the factors that affected the DB Program's Funded Ratio since the last valuation. As previously discussed, the primary reasons for the increase in the Funded Ratio are contributions to pay down the UAO under the board's valuation policy, salary increases less than assumed, and additional state contributions in the prior fiscal year.

| Sources of Change | Funded Ratio |
|--|--------------|
| June 30, 2019 Actuarial Valuation | 66.0% |
| Expected Year-to-Year Change | 0.6% |
| Recognized Asset (Gain) / Loss | |
| • From Prior Years | 0.4% |
| • From Current Year | -0.7% |
| Additional State Contributions made in FY2019-20 | 0.3% |
| Salary Variation | 0.4% |
| All Other Sources | 0.1% |
| Total Change | 1.1% |
| June 30, 2020 Actuarial Valuation | 67.1% |

UAO for New Benefits, Post-2014 Service

The Education Codes includes actuarial funding (within certain constraints) for most of the benefits provided by CalSTRS. The one exception is that there is no provision for the state, employers, or members to fund any UAO arising for New Benefits (i.e., those not included in the 1990 Benefit Structure) attributable to service after June 30, 2014. We will refer to this as the "Unallocated UAO." Under the valuation policy, a portion of each year's total contributions, equal to the Normal Cost of the New Benefits, is allocated to fund these benefits. Since the contribution is equal to the Normal Cost, there are no remaining contributions to pay down the Unallocated UAO. Therefore, the Unallocated UAO will increase or decrease based on future experience.

The following table shows how the Unallocated UAO (based on assets at market value) has evolved over time. There was an increase in the Unallocated UAO from \$109 million as of June 30, 2019 to \$488 million as of June 30, 2020. This type of increase is not surprising given the leveraged nature of the calculation. As of June 30, 2020, the Unallocated UAO is small relative to the total UAO, as it only reflects service accrued for six years. However, as members continue to accrue benefits for service after June 30, 2014, there is the potential for the Unallocated UAO to increase (or decrease) significantly if actual experience differs materially from that assumed or if further changes in assumptions occur. The primary cause of the increase from last year is that the investment return was less than the 7.0% assumption.

| (\$ Millions) | Unallocated UAO ⁽¹⁾ | Unallocated UAO as % of Payroll |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 2014 Valuation | \$ 0 | 0.0% |
| 2015 Valuation | 213 | 0.7% |
| 2016 Valuation | 639 | 2.0% |
| 2017 Valuation | 369 | 1.1% |
| 2018 Valuation | 65 | 0.2% |
| 2019 Valuation | 109 | 0.3% |
| 2020 Valuation | 488 | 1.4% |

1. The Unallocated UAO is calculated using the market value of assets. It is currently \$377 million based on the actuarial value of assets.

As previously discussed, there is currently no dedicated funding to pay off the Unallocated UAO. If the Unallocated UAO were to be funded on an actuarial basis with a June 30, 2046 target date, an additional 0.08% of payroll would be required effective July 1, 2021.

Actuarially Determined Contribution

In general, an actuarially determined contribution is a target or recommended contribution to a defined benefit pension plan based on the plan's funding policy. For CalSTRS, the actuarially determined contribution rate is the calculated level contribution rate to fully fund the DB Program over a closed period ending June 30, 2046. For GASB 67/68 reporting, the actuarially determined contribution is the combined employer and state portion of that contribution and is therefore net of member contributions. For the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2021, the actuarially determined contribution rate is the level rate calculated in the June 30, 2020 actuarial valuation and is equal to 27.062% of payroll. The projected contribution rate for the 2021-22 fiscal year of 23.800% (combined state and employer) is only about 88% of the actuarially determined contribution rate due to the limitations on contribution rate increases and temporary decreases in the employer supplemental contribution rate. It should be noted that if the state makes additional contributions as described in the preliminary 2021-22 budget, this would be expected to bring the actual state and employer contributions to about 93% of the actuarially determined contribution. This compares to an estimated 91% of the actuarially determined contribution being contributed for the current fiscal year 2020-21.

Normal Cost Rate for CalSTRS 2% at 62 Members

As part of the annual valuation process, the Normal Cost Rate is calculated for CalSTRS 2% at 62 members, generally those first hired on or after January 1, 2013. The Normal Cost Rate is used as the basis for setting the base member contribution rate for this group for the following fiscal year, the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2021, for this valuation. Generally, the base member contribution rate is one-half of the Normal Cost Rate, within certain parameters.


EC §22901(b)(1) requires the board to adopt the Normal Cost Rate that is used to determine the 2% at 62 member contribution rate. As of June 30, 2020, the Normal Cost Rate for the CalSTRS 2% at 62 members is 18.086%. We recommend the board adopt this rate. The 18.086% Normal Cost Rate for the current valuation is a small decrease from the prior valuation of 0.047%, which represents typical year-to-year fluctuation.

EC §22901(b)(2) specifies that the CalSTRS 2% at 62 base member contribution rate does not change if the increase or decrease in the Normal Cost Rate for members is less than 1% of creditable compensation since the last adjustment. This year, the cumulative change is an increase in the Normal Cost Rate of 0.193%, from 17.893% (the time of the last adjustment) to 18.086% for this group. Therefore, the current base member contribution rate should remain at 9.00% for 2% at 62 members based on the relevant section of the Education Code.


Note that under EC §22901.7(b) 1.205% of pay is added to the base member rate. Therefore, as of July 1, 2021, the total member contribution rate for 2% at 62 members continues to be 10.205% (9.00% plus the 1.205% additional contribution rate).

Looking Ahead

The following projection shows the Funded Ratio if the DB Program earns 7.00% in each future year and all other assumptions are met. As shown in the graph, the DB Program is projected to reach approximately 100% funding by 2046 based on the 2020 valuation (blue line). The Funded Ratio is close to the 2019 valuation (green line). Note that we have also shown a hypothetical projection of the funded status based on the 2020 valuation but without the 2014 funding legislation (red line). See the end of this subsection for a summary of the assumptions on which these projections are based.



The following graph shows the projected contribution rates for each of the stakeholder groups, and in total, assuming all valuation assumptions are met in the future and the board exercises its rate-setting authority. Note that the actual contribution rates paid in the future will vary based on experience after the valuation date. The contribution rates shown include both the base and supplemental contribution rates, but do not reflect the state contribution to the Supplemental Benefit Maintenance Account (SBMA).



Asset gains and losses will generally have the largest year-to-year impact on the total contribution rates needed, although assumption changes can cause a significant change in years when they occur. Under the statute, as reflected in the valuation policy, the impact of asset gains and losses will tend to have a much more significant impact on the state contribution rate than the employer contribution rate. Therefore, the state contribution rate will tend to be more volatile than the employer contribution rate, as shown in the following section ("Projections Under Alternate Return Scenarios").

The above projection calculations are based on the following assumptions:

- All experience subsequent to the valuation date is consistent with the valuation assumptions, as described in Appendix B.
- Future changes in the state and employer supplemental contribution rates will be consistent with the board's valuation policy. In particular, the state rate is based on funding the UAO by 2046, a year which is not defined in statute.
- Current deferred asset gains and losses (currently a net deferred loss) are reflected in the future as they are expected to be recognized in the asset smoothing method.
- The projection assumes new members will have the same Normal Cost Rate as the current 2% at 62 members. The emerging Normal Cost Rate for the total plan will gradually decrease over time due to the lower benefits provided for 2% at 62 members.
- Additional state contributions budgeted but not included in the Education Code are not reflected in the projections.
- In calculating the employer contribution rate, we have not considered the minimum rate provision until July 1, 2025. This interpretation is based on discussions with CalSTRS staff and discussed further in Section 7.

Future Variance

The results of any actuarial valuation are based on a set of assumptions. Although we believe the current DB Program assumptions provide a reasonable estimate of future expectations, it is almost certain that future experience will differ from the assumptions to some extent. We have provided a general discussion of the potential risks to CalSTRS funding in Section 10, as well as additional analysis on the potential impact of future investment returns on the Funded Ratio and contribution rates. A more comprehensive analysis of potential risks to future DB Program funding levels ("Review of Funding Level and Risks") is completed each fall by CalSTRS internal actuarial staff.

Further Information

Details of our findings are included in later sections of this report. The appendices include supporting documentation on the benefit and eligibility provisions used to project future benefits, the actuarial methods and assumptions used to value the projected benefits, and the underlying census data provided by CalSTRS for this valuation.

Summary of Key Valuation Results

| | 2020 Valuation | 2019 Valuation | Percent Change |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 1. Total Membership | | | |
| A. Active Members | 448,419 | 451,429 | (0.7) % |
| B. Inactive Members | 213,056 | 204,593 | 4.1 % |
| C. Retired Members and Beneficiaries | 314,518 | 308,639 | 1.9 % |
| D. Total Membership | <u>975,993</u> | <u>964,661</u> | 1.2 % |
| 2. Payroll as of Valuation Date (All Members) | | | |
| A. Annual Total (\$Millions) | \$ 33,811 | \$ 32,897 | 2.8 % |
| B. Annual Average Earned Salary per Active Member | \$ 75,401 | \$ 72,872 | 3.5 % |
| 3. Average Annual Allowance Payable | | | |
| A. Service Retirement | \$ 51,852 | \$ 50,208 | 3.3 % |
| 4. Actuarial Obligation (\$Millions) | | | |
| A. Active Members | \$ 141,521 | \$ 136,225 | 3.9 % |
| B. Inactive Members | 7,338 | 6,778 | 8.3 % |
| C. Retired Members and Beneficiaries | 172,994 | 167,428 | 3.3 % |
| D. Existing MPPP Unfunded Obligation | 274 | 288 | (4.9) % |
| E. Total | <u>\$ 322,127</u> | <u>\$ 310,719</u> | 3.7 % |
| 5. Value of System Assets (\$Millions) | | | |
| A. Fair Market Value | \$ 233,253 | \$ 225,466 | 3.5 % |
| B. Deferred Investment (Gains) or Losses | 2,124 | (3,067) | |
| C. Actuarial Value | <u>\$ 235,377</u> | <u>\$ 222,399</u> | 5.8 % |
| D. Ratio of Actuarial Value to Fair Value | 101% | 99% | |
| E. Less SBMA Reserve | (19,125) | (17,383) | 10.0 % |
| F. Net Actuarial Value | <u>\$ 216,252</u> | <u>\$ 205,016</u> | 5.5 % |
| 6. Funded Status -- Actuarial Value Basis | | | |
| A. Unfunded Actuarial Obligation (\$Millions) | \$ 105,875 | \$ 105,703 | 0.2 % |
| B. Funded Ratio (5F ÷ 4E) | 67.1% | 66.0% | |
| 7. Normal Cost Rates (percent of salaries) | | | |
| A. CalSTRS 2% at 60 Members | 20.833% | 20.867% | (0.2) % |
| B. CalSTRS 2% at 62 Members | 18.086% | 18.133% | (0.3) % |
| C. All Members | 20.294% | 20.403% | (0.5) % |
| 8. Next Fiscal Year Contribution Rates (percent of salaries) | | | |
| A. 2% at 60 Members | 10.250% | 10.250% | - % |
| B. 2% at 62 Members | 10.205% | 10.205% | - % |
| C. State Supplemental Rate | 6.311% | 5.811% | 8.6 % |
| D. Employer Supplemental Rate ⁽¹⁾ | 9.850% | 10.850% | (9.2) % |
| 9. Funded Status -- Market Value Basis | | | |
| A. Unfunded Actuarial Obligation (\$Millions) [4E - (5A + 5E)] | \$ 107,999 | \$ 102,636 | 5.2 % |
| B. Alternate Funded Ratio (Based on Market Value of Assets) | 66.5% | 67.0% | |

1. Prior to adjustments that reduce the actual contribution rate to be paid by employers for fiscal years 2020-21 by 2.95% and fiscal year 2021-2022 by 2.18%.

2. Scope of the Report

This report presents the actuarial valuation of the DB Program of the State Teachers' Retirement Plan as of June 30, 2020. A summary of the key results of this valuation is presented in the previous section. The remainder of this report is arranged as follows:

Section 3 describes the benefit obligations of CalSTRS, including the development of the Normal Cost and the Actuarial Obligation.

Section 4 outlines the Fair Market Value of Assets of the DB Program and the determination of the Actuarial Value of Assets as of June 30, 2020. All of the assets of the Program are available to finance future DB Program benefits and expenses, except those allocated for the Supplemental Benefit Maintenance Account (SBMA).

Section 5 shows the relationship between the Actuarial Value of Assets and the Actuarial Obligation, also called the Funded Ratio.

Section 6 discusses the calculations used to determine the state supplemental contribution rate in accordance with EC §22955.1(b). The key elements of this calculation pertain to an evaluation of the assets and obligations associated with the benefits in effect in 1990. An adjustment to the state supplemental rate is calculated based on this valuation and effective with the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2021.

Section 7 discusses the calculations used to determine the employer supplemental contribution rate in accordance with EC §22950.5. The key elements of this calculation are parallel to the funding valuation, except the assets and obligations are those associated with the benefits earned prior to July 1, 2014. An adjustment to the employer supplemental rate is calculated based on this valuation and effective with the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2021. Additionally, the employer contribution rates for the fiscal years beginning July 1, 2020 and July 1, 2021 are subject to the reductions under EC §22950.6.

Section 8 discusses the calculation of the actuarially determined contribution.

Section 9 shows the projected UAO payment schedule and a comparison of the projected contributions and benefit payments for the DB Program.

Section 10 provides a general discussion of the potential risks to CalSTRS funding.

This report includes several appendices:

Appendix A is a summary of the current benefit structure, as determined by the provisions of governing law on June 30, 2020.

Appendix B is a summary of the actuarial methods and assumptions used to estimate actuarial obligations and the funding sufficiency.

In our opinion, the assumptions used in the valuation are reasonably related to the past experience of the DB Program, are internally consistent, and represent a reasonable estimate of future conditions affecting the DB Program. Nevertheless, the emerging costs of the DB Program will vary from those presented in this report to the extent that actual experience differs from that projected by the actuarial assumptions.

Appendix C includes schedules of valuation data classified by various categories of plan members. We relied upon the membership and beneficiary data supplied by CalSTRS. We compared the data for this and the prior valuation and tested for reasonableness. Based on these tests, we believe the data to be sufficient for the purposes of our calculations.

Appendix D is a glossary of actuarial terms used in this report.

3. Actuarial Obligation

In this section, the discussion will focus on the commitments of CalSTRS for retirement benefits, which are referred to as its Actuarial Obligation.

Normal Cost

The **Normal Cost** represents the cost assigned to an average member for a given year such that it would meet the continuing costs of a particular benefit if contributed each year starting with the date of membership. The Entry Age Normal Cost Method is designed to produce a Normal Cost that remains a level percentage of payroll (payroll is calculated as the sum of the expected creditable compensation for the active members) and is expressed as a rate of compensation. Normal Cost contributions are assumed to be contributed uniformly throughout the year.

The total DB Program Normal Cost Rate has decreased from 20.403% to 20.294% since the last valuation. This rate represents a blended average of the Normal Cost Rates for the 2% at 60 and 2% at 62 members. **Table 1** provides more details on the calculation of the Normal Cost and Normal Cost Rate.

In general, the Normal Cost Rate is expected to remain fairly stable as a percentage of payroll as long as the benefit provisions are not amended, the assumptions are not changed, membership experience emerges as assumed, and the demographic characteristics of the membership remain reasonably consistent. CalSTRS can expect modest decreases in the Normal Cost Rate as current members leave active employment and are replaced by new members with lower benefit levels. The Normal Cost Rate decreased since last year mainly due to the increasing membership of CalSTRS 2% at 62 members who have a lower overall Normal Cost Rate than the 2% at 60 members. We expect small decreases in the overall Normal Cost Rate to continue in future years if assumptions are unchanged.

Primarily because of different benefit formulas, the CalSTRS 2% at 60 members have higher Normal Cost Rates compared to the 2% at 62 members, as shown below for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2020.

| (\$ Millions) | 2% at 60 Members | 2% at 62 Members | Proportion 2% at 62 |
|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| Projected Payroll | \$27,173 | \$8,242 | 23.3% |
| Normal Cost \$ | 5,661 | 1,491 | 20.8% |
| Normal Cost Rate | 20.833% | 18.086% | NA |

Normal Cost Rate for CalSTRS 2% at 62 Members

As part of the annual valuation process, we determine the Normal Cost Rate for CalSTRS 2% at 62 members, generally those first hired on or after January 1, 2013. The Normal Cost Rate is used as the basis for setting the base member contribution rate for this group for the following fiscal year, the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2021 for this valuation. Generally, the base member contribution rate is one-half of the Normal Cost Rate within certain parameters.

EC §22901(b)(1) requires the board to adopt the Normal Cost Rate that is used to determine the 2% at 62 member contribution rate. As of June 30, 2020, the Normal Cost Rate for the CalSTRS 2% at 62 members is 18.086%. We recommend the board adopt this rate.

EC §22901(b)(2) specifies that the CalSTRS 2% at 62 base member contribution rate does not change if the increase or decrease in the Normal Cost Rate for members is less than 1% of creditable compensation since the

last adjustment. This year, the cumulative change is an increase in the Normal Cost Rate of 0.193%, from 17.893% (the time of the last adjustment) to 18.086% for this group. Therefore, the current base member contribution rate should remain at 9.00% for 2% at 62 members based on the relevant section of the Education Code.

Note that increases under EC §22901.7(b) are added to the base member rate. Therefore, effective July 1, 2021, the calculated total member contribution rate for 2% at 62 members continues to be 10.205% (9.00% plus the 1.205% additional contribution rate).

Actuarial Obligation

The next step in the actuarial valuation process is to project all future DB Program benefit payments for current members and retirees. The level of benefits currently being paid is known, but assumptions are needed to estimate how long they will be paid, and the amount and timing of the payment of future benefits for active and inactive members who are not currently receiving payments. The summation of the discounted values of all of the projected benefit payments for all current members at the assumed rate of return is called the **Actuarial Present Value of Projected Benefits**.

Details are shown in **Table 2** and summarized below.

| (\$ Millions) | 2020 Valuation | 2019 Valuation |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Benefits Being Paid | \$ 172,994 | \$ 167,428 |
| Inactive Deferred Benefits | 7,338 | 6,778 |
| Active Member Benefits | 224,198 | 217,733 |
| Existing MPPP Unfunded Obligation | 274 | 288 |
| Present Value of Projected Benefits | \$ 404,804 | \$ 392,227 |
| Present Value of Future Normal Costs | 82,677 | 81,508 |
| Actuarial Obligation | \$ 322,127 | \$ 310,719 |

The **Actuarial Present Value of Future Normal Costs** is the value of all remaining Normal Costs expected to be received over the future working lifetime of current active members. The **Actuarial Obligation** is the difference between the Actuarial Present Value of Projected Benefits and the Actuarial Present Value of Future Normal Costs. The Actuarial Obligation is equal to the assets that would exist if the current Normal Cost Rate had been paid for all members since entry into the Program, and if all experience had emerged as assumed.

Over time, 2% at 62 members will account for a larger portion of the Actuarial Obligation; however, as of this valuation, only 3.3% of the Actuarial Obligation for active members is for the 2% at 62 members.

| (\$ Millions) | 2% at 60 Members | 2% at 62 Members | Proportion 2% at 62 |
|---------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| Active PVB | \$196,195 | \$28,003 | 12.5% |
| Active PVFNC | 59,353 | 23,324 | 28.2% |
| Active AO | \$136,842 | \$4,679 | 3.3% |

Table 1
Normal Cost

| (\$ Millions) | 2020 | | | 2019 |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | 2% at 60 | 2% at 62 | Total | Total |
| Estimated Annual Earned Salaries ⁽¹⁾ | \$27,173 | \$6,635 | \$33,808 | \$32,936 |
| Present Value of Future Normal Costs for Current Active Members | \$59,353 | \$23,324 | \$82,677 | \$81,508 |
| Present Value of Future Earned Salaries for Current Active Members | \$286,509 | \$132,030 | \$418,539 | \$409,368 |
| Normal Cost | | | | |
| Service Retirement | \$5,155 | \$1,069 | \$6,224 | \$6,091 |
| Deferred Retirement & Refund | 276 | 69 | 345 | 335 |
| Death | 35 | 8 | 43 | 43 |
| Disability | 195 | 54 | 249 | 251 |
| Total Normal Cost | \$5,661 | \$1,200 | \$6,861 | \$6,720 |
| Normal Cost Rate | | | | |
| Percent of Payroll | | | | |
| Service Retirement | 18.970 % | 16.111 % | 18.410 % | 18.493 % |
| Deferred Retirement & Refund | 1.016 | 1.040 | 1.020 | 1.017 |
| Death | 0.129 | 0.121 | 0.127 | 0.131 |
| Disability | 0.718 | 0.814 | 0.737 | 0.762 |
| Total Normal Cost Rate | 20.833 % | 18.086 % | 20.294 % | 20.403 % |

1. Annual payroll for active members on the valuation date, excluding active members over age 75 on the valuation date who are assumed to retire immediately and therefore do not generate a Normal Cost.

Table 2
Actuarial Obligation

| (\$ Millions) | 2020 | | | 2019 |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | 2% at 60 | 2% at 62 | Total | Total |
| Present Value of Projected Benefits to All Current Members | | | | |
| Benefits Currently Being Paid | | | | |
| Service Retirement | \$ 160,334 | \$ 9 | \$ 160,343 | \$ 155,206 |
| Disability | 4,045 | 2 | 4,047 | 4,004 |
| Survivors | 8,604 | - | 8,604 | 8,218 |
| Total | \$ 172,983 | \$ 11 | \$ 172,994 | \$ 167,428 |
| Benefits to Inactive Members | 7,157 | 181 | 7,338 | 6,778 |
| Benefits to Active Members | | | | |
| Retirement | \$ 188,427 | \$ 25,164 | \$ 213,591 | \$ 207,225 |
| Disability | 4,312 | 1,270 | 5,582 | 5,576 |
| Death | 778 | 169 | 947 | 934 |
| Deferred Retirement & Refund | 2,678 | 1,400 | 4,078 | 3,998 |
| Total | \$ 196,195 | \$ 28,003 | \$ 224,198 | \$ 217,733 |
| Existing MPPP Unfunded Obligation | 274 | - | 274 | 288 |
| Total Present Value of Projected Benefits | \$ 376,609 | \$ 28,195 | \$ 404,804 | \$ 392,227 |
| Present Value of Future Normal Costs | 59,353 | 23,324 | 82,677 | 81,508 |
| Actuarial Obligation | \$ 317,256 | \$ 4,871 | \$ 322,127 | \$ 310,719 |

4. Valuation Assets

In many respects, an actuarial valuation can be thought of as an inventory process. The inventory is taken as of the actuarial valuation date which, for this valuation, is June 30, 2020. On that date, the assets available for the payment of retirement benefits are appraised.

The next step in the valuation process is to calculate the **Actuarial Value of Assets** that will be used to determine the funding status of the Program. **Table 3** summarizes the assets separated by funding group. As shown in **Table 4**, the Fair Market Value (adjusted from the accounting value to account for pre-recognized GASB expenses) was reported as \$233,253 million as of June 30, 2020, up from \$225,466 million as of June 30, 2019. **Table 5** shows the asset changes for the period.

Valuation Assets

Because the underlying calculations in the actuarial valuation are long term in nature, it may be advantageous to use an asset smoothing method to lessen the impact of short-term fluctuations in the value of assets. This is particularly true given that the supplemental state and employer contribution rates are determined based on the applicable funded status.

The asset smoothing method uses a projection of the expected Actuarial Value of Assets from the Actuarial Value of Assets as of the previous year based on the assumed rate of investment return and the net cash flow during the year. The projection then recognizes one-third of the difference between the expected value and the Fair Market Value as of the valuation date to arrive at the Actuarial Value of Assets. The calculation of the Actuarial Value of Assets is shown in **Table 6** and the result is shown below.

| (\$ Millions) | 2020 Valuation | 2019 Valuation |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| Fair Market Value (FMV) | \$ 233,253 | \$ 225,466 |
| Actuarial Value of Assets (AVA) | <u>235,377</u> | <u>222,399</u> |
| Deferred Investment Gains or (Losses) | \$ (2,124) | \$ 3,067 |
| Ratio of AVA to FMV | 100.9% | 98.6% |

Due to the asset smoothing method, there are investment losses of \$2,124 million that have not yet been recognized (the difference between the Actuarial and Fair Market Value of Assets). Absent investment returns in future years greater than the assumed rate to offset the deferred investment losses, the current deferred losses will gradually be reflected in the Actuarial Value of Assets.

If the future returns on the Fair Market Value of Assets are 7.00% each year, then as the current deferred losses flow through the smoothing method and are recognized, future valuations will show an actuarial loss. The result will be a gradual decrease (or a slower increase) in the DB Program's funded status, ultimately increasing the UAO by the \$2,124 million of currently deferred investment losses. However, this recognition of asset losses should trigger increases in contribution rates to help pay off the additional UAO, except for the unallocated piece.

Table 7 shows a history of the Actuarial Value of Assets compared to the Fair Market Value of Assets.

Table 3
Market Value of Assets by Funding Group

| (\$ Millions) | June 30, 2020 | | | | | June 30, 2019 |
|--|---------------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | SBMA | 1990 Benefit Structure | Pre-2014 New Benefits | Post-2014 New Benefits | Total DB Program | Total DB Program |
| Market Value, beginning of year | \$ 17,383 | \$ 226,790 | \$ (28,593) | \$ 9,886 | \$ 225,466 | \$ 211,367 |
| Member Contributions⁽¹⁾ | | | | | | |
| Regular at 8.000% (EC §22901(a)) | - | 2,769 | - | - | 2,769 | 2,694 |
| Regular 2% at 62 Member Rate in Excess of 8.000% (EC §22901(b)) | - | - | - | 65 | 65 | 55 |
| Supplemental at 2.250%/1.205% (EC §22901.7) | - | - | - | 735 | 735 | 724 |
| Other | - | 16 | - | 1 | 17 | 23 |
| Total Member Contributions | - | 2,785 | - | 801 | 3,586 | 3,496 |
| Employer Contributions⁽¹⁾ | | | | | | |
| Regular at 8.000% | - | 2,769 | - | - | 2,769 | 2,694 |
| Sick Leave at 0.250% (EC §22951) | - | - | 87 | - | 87 | 84 |
| Supplemental at 9.880% / 8.030% (EC §22950.5) | - | - | 3,327 | 93 | 3,420 | 2,704 |
| Adjustment -1.030% / 0.000% | - | - | (357) | - | (357) | - |
| Other | - | - | 4 | - | 4 | (1) |
| Total Employer Contributions | - | 2,769 | 3,061 | 93 | 5,923 | 5,482 |
| State Contributions⁽¹⁾ | | | | | | |
| Appropriation at 2.017% (EC §22955) | - | - | 664 | - | 664 | 647 |
| Supplemental at 5.811%/5.311%(EC §22955.1(b)) | - | 1,910 | - | - | 1,910 | 1,705 |
| SBMA contribution at 2.500% less \$72M | 756 | - | - | - | 756 | 737 |
| Additional contributions | - | 1,117 | - | - | 1,117 | 2,246 |
| Total State Contributions | 756 | 3,027 | 664 | - | 4,447 | 5,335 |
| Investment Income | | | | | | |
| Net investment income | 1,201 | 8,796 | (1,092) | 396 | 9,301 | 13,778 |
| Net Pension/OPEB Obligation Adjustments | - | 22 | - | - | 22 | 805 |
| Net Investment Earnings | 1,201 | 8,818 | (1,092) | 396 | 9,323 | 14,583 |
| Benefits | (215) | (12,749) | (2,453) | (75) | (15,492) | (14,797) |
| Market Value, end of year | \$ 19,125 | \$ 231,440 | \$ (28,413) | \$ 11,101 | \$ 233,253 | \$ 225,466 |

1. The contributions for New Benefits have been allocated between the Pre-2014 and Post-2014 funding groups so that the total contributions allocated to each funding group are consistent with the valuation policy. For purposes of this exhibit, we have shown member contributions being allocated to the Post-2014 New Benefit group.

Table 4
Statement of Program Assets

| <i>(\$ Millions)</i> | June 30, 2020 | June 30, 2019 |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| Invested Assets | | |
| Cash | \$ 504 | \$ 599 |
| Debt Securities | 50,032 | 44,191 |
| Equity Securities | 106,636 | 107,701 |
| Alternative Investments | 78,041 | 72,097 |
| Derivative Instruments | 227 | 181 |
| Bond Proceeds Investment | 275 | - |
| Total Investments | <u>\$ 235,715</u> | <u>\$ 224,769</u> |
| Receivables | 6,567 | 10,180 |
| Liabilities Net of Securities Lending Collateral | (9,819) | (10,242) |
| Net Deferred (Inflows) and Outflows | (37) | (46) |
| Exclude Net Pension and OPEB Obligation | 827 | 805 |
| Fair Market Value of Net Assets | <u>\$ 233,253</u> | <u>\$ 225,466</u> |

Table 5
Statement of Changes in Program Assets

| (\$ Millions) | June 30, 2020 | June 30, 2019 |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| Contributions | | |
| Members | \$ 3,586 | \$ 3,496 |
| Employers | 5,923 | 5,482 |
| State of California | 4,447 | 5,335 |
| Total Contributions | <u>13,956</u> | <u>14,313</u> |
| Benefits and GASB Adjustments | | |
| Retirement, Death and Survivors | (15,199) | (14,528) |
| Refunds of Member Contributions | (78) | (75) |
| Purchasing Power Benefits | (215) | (194) |
| Change in GASB Adjustments | 22 | 805 |
| Total Benefits and GASB Adjustments | <u>(15,470)</u> | <u>(13,992)</u> |
| Net Cash Flow | \$ (1,514) | \$ 321 |
| Investment Income | | |
| Realized Income | \$ 4,899 | \$ 5,167 |
| Net Appreciation | 4,857 | 9,152 |
| Net Securities Lending Income | 76 | 41 |
| Investment Expenses | (419) | (466) |
| Administrative & Other Expenses | (213) | (244) |
| Other (Expense) Income | 101 | 128 |
| Net Investment Return | <u>9,301</u> | <u>13,778</u> |
| Net Increase (Decrease) | \$ 7,787 | \$ 14,099 |
| Fair Market Value of Net Assets | | |
| Beginning of Year | <u>225,466</u> | <u>211,367</u> |
| End of Year | \$ 233,253 | \$ 225,466 |
| Estimated Net Rate of Return ⁽¹⁾ | 4.1% | 6.6% |

1. Estimated return on a Fair-Market-Value basis on all DB Program assets (including SBMA), net of all investment expenses, gross of administrative expenses, and assuming uniform cash flow throughout the year. This number may differ from the money-weighted return reported by CalSTRS. The estimated return for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2020 excluding SBMA assets was 3.9%.

Table 6
Actuarial Value of Assets

| (\$ Millions) | June 30, 2020 | June 30, 2019 |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| Actuarial Value at Beginning of Year | \$ 222,399 | \$ 206,207 |
| Contributions | 13,956 | 14,313 |
| Benefits | (15,491) | (14,798) |
| Change in GASB Adjustments | 22 | 805 |
| Expected Return | 15,553 | 14,339 |
| Expected Actuarial Value End of Year | <u>\$ 236,439</u> | <u>\$ 220,866</u> |
| Fair Market Value | 233,253 | 225,466 |
| Difference between Fair Market Value and Expected Actuarial Value | \$ (3,186) | \$ 4,600 |
| Recognition Factor | One-third | One-third |
| Recognized Gain or Loss | \$ (1,062) | \$ 1,533 |
| Actuarial Value at End of of Year | \$ 235,377 | \$ 222,399 |
| Deferred Investment Gains or (Losses) | \$ (2,124) | \$ 3,067 |
| Estimated Net Rate of Return ⁽¹⁾ | 6.5% | 7.7% |
| Actuarial Value of Assets Excluding SBMA | | |
| Actuarial Value Including SBMA | \$ 235,377 | \$ 222,399 |
| Supplemental Benefit Maintenance Account | <u>19,125</u> | <u>17,383</u> |
| Actuarial Value Excluding SBMA | \$ 216,252 | \$ 205,016 |
| Market Value Excluding SBMA | \$ 214,128 | \$ 208,083 |
| Ratio of Actuarial Value of Assets to Fair Market Value of Assets | 100.992% | 98.526% |
| Estimated Net Rate of Return ⁽¹⁾ | 6.5% | 7.8% |

1. Estimated return on an Actuarial-Value basis, net of all investment expenses, gross of administrative expenses, and assuming uniform cash flow throughout the year.

Table 7
History of Actuarial Value of Assets

| (\$ Millions) | | | | |
|---------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|
| June 30 | Fair Market Value | Estimated Return ⁽¹⁾ | Actuarial Value | Ratio of Actuarial to Market |
| 2001 | \$ 102,915 | (9.1) % | \$ 108,571 | 105% |
| 2002 | 96,028 | (6.1) | 109,755 | 114 |
| 2003 | 99,031 | 3.8 | 111,604 | 113 |
| 2004 | 113,815 | 16.6 | 117,206 | 103 |
| 2005 | 126,447 | 12.3 | 125,665 | 99 |
| 2006 | 140,192 | 12.5 | 135,832 | 97 |
| 2007 | 166,903 | 20.9 | 151,827 | 91 |
| 2008 | 155,763 | (5.5) | 159,785 | 103 |
| 2009 | 113,192 | (25.4) | 150,445 | 133 |
| 2010 | 123,242 | 12.9 | 146,404 | 119 |
| 2011 | 147,140 | 23.6 | 151,030 | 103 |
| 2012 | 143,118 | 0.6 | 152,515 | 107 |
| 2013 | 157,176 | 13.9 | 157,883 | 100 |
| 2014 | 179,479 | 18.6 | 168,838 | 94 |
| 2015 | 180,633 | 3.9 | 177,059 | 98 |
| 2016 | 177,914 | 1.3 | 182,772 | 103 |
| 2017 | 197,718 | 13.4 | 193,925 | 98 |
| 2018 | 211,367 | 9.0 | 206,207 | 98 |
| 2019 | 225,466 | 6.6 | 222,399 | 99 |
| 2020 | 233,253 | 4.1 | 235,377 | 101 |

1. Estimated return on a Fair-Value basis on all DB Program assets (including SBMA), net of all investment expenses, gross of administrative expenses, and assuming uniform cash flow throughout the year, reported on a dollar-weighted basis. This number may differ from the money-weighted return reported by CalSTRS. The estimated return for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2020 excluding SBMA assets was 3.9%.



5. Funded Status

The **Unfunded Actuarial Obligation (UAO)** is the excess of the Actuarial Obligation over the Actuarial Value of Assets, which represents a liability that must be funded over time. Contributions in excess of the Normal Cost are used to amortize the UAO. An **Actuarial Surplus** exists if the Actuarial Value of Assets exceeds the Actuarial Obligation.

The **Funded Ratio** is equal to the Actuarial Value of Assets divided by the Actuarial Obligation. A Funded Ratio of 100% means the Value of Assets equals the Actuarial Obligation, and the DB Program could be financed by contributions equal to the Normal Cost if all future experience emerged as assumed. The Funded Ratio is shown below and in **Table 8**.

| (\$ Millions) | 2020 Valuation | 2019 Valuation |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| Actuarial Obligation | \$ 322,127 | \$ 310,719 |
| Actuarial Value of Assets (AVA) | | |
| From Table 6 | \$ 235,377 | \$ 222,399 |
| Less SBMA Reserve | (19,125) | (17,383) |
| Net for Funding | 216,252 | 205,016 |
| Unfunded Actuarial Obligation | \$ 105,875 | \$ 105,703 |
| Funded Ratio (on AVA) | 67.1% | 66.0% |
| <i>Alternate Funded Ratio (based on Fair Market Value)</i> | <i>66.5%</i> | <i>67.0%</i> |

The Funded Ratio increased by 1.1% during the past year, although it has decreased by approximately 4% over the past 10 years. The contributions to pay down the UAO under the board's valuation policy, salary increases less than assumed, and the additional state contribution made in the prior fiscal year were the primary causes of the increase in the Funded Ratio from last year. The longer-term decrease has been primarily due to a combination of returns since 2008 that have been less than the actuarial assumption, contributions less than the actuarially calculated amount, and changes in the actuarial assumptions that have increased the Actuarial Obligation. The Alternate Funded Ratio using the Fair Market Value of assets has decreased since the last valuation. This decrease was primarily due to actual market returns for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2020 being less than the assumed investment return of 7.0%.


Future benefits provided through the Supplemental Benefits Maintenance Account (SBMA) are not part of the projected benefits included in this valuation. Therefore, the SBMA Reserve is subtracted from the DB Program assets to arrive at the value available to support the benefits included in this valuation.

In addition, the Teachers' Retirement Board has established a policy of allocating funds for future costs associated with the Teachers' Health Benefits Fund (THBF). This policy was revised in April of 2009 to make a one-time credit to the THBF and "true up" the future MPPP obligations (payable from the THBF) in the funding of the DB Program. As of June 30, 2020, only an amount to cover monthly payments resides in the THBF, while the remaining unfunded amount of \$274 million is added to the DB Program obligation.

The table below shows a history of the Funded Status of the DB Program.

| (\$ Millions) | | | | |
|---------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Year | Actuarial Obligation | Actuarial Value of Assets | Unfunded Actuarial Obligation | Funded Ratio |
| 1975 | \$ 12,834 | \$ 3,775 | \$ 9,059 | 29% |
| 1977 | 15,203 | 5,019 | 10,184 | 33% |
| 1979 | 17,971 | 6,488 | 11,483 | 36% |
| 1981 | 22,545 | 9,345 | 13,200 | 41% |
| 1983 | 26,553 | 15,023 | 11,530 | 57% |
| 1985 | 28,401 | 17,457 | 10,944 | 61% |
| 1987 | 34,637 | 24,401 | 10,236 | 70% |
| 1989 | 40,266 | 29,327 | 10,939 | 73% |
| 1991 | 47,100 | 36,001 | 11,099 | 76% |
| 1993 | 53,581 | 45,212 | 8,369 | 84% |
| 1995 | 63,391 | 55,207 | 8,184 | 87% |
| 1997 | 69,852 | 67,980 | 1,872 | 97% |
| 1998 | 74,234 | 77,290 | (3,056) | 104% |
| 1999 | 86,349 | 90,001 | (3,652) | 104% |
| 2000 | 93,124 | 102,225 | (9,101) | 110% |
| 2001 | 109,881 | 107,654 | 2,227 | 98% |
| 2003 | 131,777 | 108,667 | 23,110 | 82% |
| 2004 | 138,254 | 114,094 | 24,160 | 83% |
| 2005 | 142,193 | 121,882 | 20,311 | 86% |
| 2006 | 150,872 | 131,237 | 19,635 | 87% |
| 2007 | 167,129 | 146,419 | 20,710 | 88% |
| 2008 | 177,734 | 155,215 | 22,519 | 87% |
| 2009 | 185,683 | 145,142 | 40,541 | 78% |
| 2010 | 196,315 | 140,291 | 56,024 | 71% |
| 2011 | 208,405 | 143,930 | 64,475 | 69% |
| 2012 | 215,189 | 144,232 | 70,957 | 67% |
| 2013 | 222,281 | 148,614 | 73,667 | 67% |
| 2014 | 231,213 | 158,495 | 72,718 | 69% |
| 2015 | 241,753 | 165,553 | 76,200 | 69% |
| 2016 | 266,704 | 169,976 | 96,728 | 64% |
| 2017 | 286,950 | 179,689 | 107,261 | 63% |
| 2018 | 297,603 | 190,451 | 107,152 | 64% |
| 2019 | 310,719 | 205,016 | 105,703 | 66% |
| 2020 | 322,127 | 216,252 | 105,875 | 67% |

The historical Funded Ratios are shown on the following graph. In years in which a valuation was not performed, the Funded Ratio from the previous year is used.



Actuarial Gains and Losses

Comparing the UAO as of two valuation dates does not provide enough information to determine whether there were actuarial gains or losses. The correct comparison is between the UAO on the valuation date and the expected UAO projected from the prior valuation date using the actuarial assumptions in effect since the previous valuation.

The actuarial gains and losses since the last report are summarized in the following tables and shown in **Table 9**.

| (\$ Millions) | Expected Results | Actual Results | (Gain) or Loss | Percent of AO / AVA |
|---|------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Actuarial Obligation | \$ 323,912 | \$ 322,127 | \$ (1,785) | |
| Act. Value of Assets | 217,138 | 216,252 | 886 | |
| Unfunded Act. Oblig. | \$ 106,774 | \$ 105,875 | \$ (899) | |
| Actuarial (Gains) or Losses by Source | | | | |
| Changes in assumptions & methods (Actuarial Obligation) | | | \$ 0 | 0.0% |
| Salaries increased less than assumed | | | (1,868) | (0.6%) |
| All other demographic sources | | | 83 | 0.0% |
| (Gain) on the Actuarial Obligation | | | \$ (1,785) | (0.6%) |
| Investment Return on Actuarial Value of Assets | | | 966 | 0.4% |
| Changes in assumptions & methods (Actuarial Assets) | | | 0 | 0.0% |
| Contributions (in excess of) or less than assumed | | | (80) | (0.0%) |
| Loss on the Actuarial Value of Assets | | | \$ 886 | 0.4% |
| Total Actuarial (Gain) | | | \$ (899) | |


These net gains and losses are within a reasonable range for variances in a single year.

Based on the 2019 Actuarial Valuation, the UAO was expected to increase to \$106,774 million. The actual UAO of \$105,875 million represents a net actuarial gain of \$899 million.

- Salaries increased less than projected by the current actuarial assumptions, causing the Actuarial Obligation to decrease by \$1,868 million from the expected amount. We expect to continue to see salary increase fluctuations from year to year.
- All other demographic experience caused the Actuarial Obligation to increase by \$83 million. This represents only a relatively small portion of the expected Actuarial Obligation. These relatively minor net gains and losses indicate that the census is reasonably consistent from the prior period and that the actual experience tracked closely overall with actuarial assumptions (exclusive of the salary increases).
- On the asset side, there was an actuarial asset loss based on the actuarial value of assets as well as an actuarial asset loss on the Market Value of Assets. The return on market value (including the SBMA) was estimated at 4.1%, less than the assumed 7.0% return from the prior valuation. The return on the Actuarial Value of Assets was also less (estimated at 6.5%) than assumed as the market return for the most recent year had a greater impact than the recognition of prior year actuarial investment gains which were being deferred.

Actuarial Gain and Loss History

To get an idea of the overall trend of gains and losses, we have analyzed actuarial gains and losses since 2016.



* Year-to-Year Experience includes changes due to Termination, Retirement, Mortality, and Other Experience.

Over the last five years, assumption and method changes have increased the UAO by about \$22 billion. During that period, investment returns that have generally exceeded the assumed return and salary increases that have generally been less than assumed have caused decreases in the UAO, partially offsetting the increase due to assumptions. All other experience has had a relatively minor impact.

Note that the UAO has increased by approximately \$30 billion over the last 5 years. This compares to the net effect of actuarial gains and losses (including assumption changes) described above which account for approximately \$13 billion of the increase in UAO. The remaining \$17 billion increase is due to contributions received by CalSTRS that were insufficient to cover the interest on the UAO resulting in an increase in the UAO. The contributions are projected to eventually cover the interest on the UAO and reduce the principal, but this is not projected to occur for a few more years due to the limit on increases in the state contribution rates and the relatively long remaining funding period. Under the baseline projections included in this report, the UAO is projected to start declining in 2028 and be lower than the current value by the year 2035.

Table 8
Funded Status

| (\$ Millions) | 2020 | 2019 |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Actuarial Obligation (Table 2) | \$322,127 | \$310,719 |
| Actuarial Value of Assets | | |
| Calculated (Table 6) | \$ 235,377 | \$ 222,399 |
| Less SBMA Reserve | <u>(19,125)</u> | <u>(17,383)</u> |
| Program Assets | \$ 216,252 | \$ 205,016 |
| Unfunded Actuarial Obligation | \$ 105,875 | \$ 105,703 |
| Funded Ratio | 67.1% | 66.0% |

Table 9
Actuarial Gains and Losses

| (\$ Millions) | Expected | Actual | (Gain) / Loss |
|---|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Actuarial Obligation | | | |
| Actuarial Obligation June 30, 2019 | \$310,719 | | |
| Normal Cost for 2019-20 | 7,004 | | |
| Benefits Paid (Excludes Purchasing Power) | (15,277) | | |
| Expected Interest at 7.00% | <u>21,466</u> | | |
| Actuarial Obligation June 30, 2020 | \$323,912 | \$322,127 | \$ (1,785) |
| <i>By Source:</i> | | | |
| <i>Change in actuarial assumptions</i> | | | 0 |
| <i>Retiree Mortality</i> | | | 10 |
| <i>Active Member Mortality</i> | | | 72 |
| <i>Service Retirements</i> | | | 198 |
| <i>Disability Retirement</i> | | | (5) |
| <i>Other Terminations of Employment</i> | | | (8) |
| <i>Salary increases more / (less) than assumed</i> | | | (1,868) |
| <i>All Other Non-investment Sources</i> | | | <u>(184)</u> |
| <i>Total (Gain) Loss on the Actuarial Obligation</i> | | | \$ (1,785) |
| Actuarial Value of Assets | | | |
| Actuarial Value of Assets June 30, 2019 | \$205,016 | | |
| Expected Contributions for 2019-20 | 13,123 | | |
| Benefits Paid (Excludes Purchasing Power) | (15,277) | | |
| Expected Interest at 7.00% on AVA | <u>14,276</u> | | |
| Actuarial Value of Assets June 30, 2020 | \$217,138 | \$216,252 | \$ 886 |
| <i>By Source:</i> | | | |
| <i>Investment Return on Actuarial Value of Assets (including the recognition of prior deferred investment gains and losses)</i> | | | \$ 966 |
| <i>Change in actuarial asset method</i> | | | \$ 0 |
| <i>Contributions (in excess of) or less than assumed (including service purchases)</i> | | | <u>(80)</u> |
| <i>Total (Gain) Loss on the Actuarial Value of Assets</i> | | | \$ 886 |
| Unfunded Actuarial Obligation | \$106,774 | \$105,875 | \$ (899) |

6. State Supplemental Contribution Rate

Under EC §22955.1(b), adjustments to the state contribution rate are based on actuarial funding. We will refer to this contribution as the state supplemental contribution. Note that for the state, the payroll is the second prior fiscal year payroll, so contributions made in fiscal year 2021-2022 will be based on the covered member compensation for fiscal year 2019-2020. The state supplemental rate is in addition to the base state contribution under EC §22955.1(a) of 2.017% of payroll and contributions to fund the SBMA under EC §22954.

The board shall increase or decrease the state supplemental contribution rate (within certain parameters) to reflect the contribution required to eliminate the UAO associated with the 1990 benefit and contribution rate structure. This will be referred to as the 1990 UAO. State supplemental contributions are included as part of the assets used in determining the 1990 UAO. Although not specified in the law, the board's valuation policy calls for the state supplemental contribution rate to be calculated to amortize the UAO by June 30, 2046.

Changes in the state supplemental contribution are determined annually and subject to the following conditions:

- The state supplemental contribution rate cannot increase by more than 0.5% of payroll over the prior year supplemental rate. There is no limit on decreases, except for the 4.311% floor discussed below.
- In any year when there is no UAO for the 1990 Benefit Structure, the supplemental contribution shall be reduced to zero.
- The state supplemental contribution rate shall not be reduced below 4.311% if a UAO for the 1990 Benefit Structure exists.

The state is contributing a supplemental rate of 5.811% of pay for the current fiscal year ending June 30, 2021. Note that although the 2019 valuation called for an increase for the 2020-21 fiscal year, the rate was frozen at the same level as the prior year under EC §22955.1(b)(3). In accordance with the valuation policy, this calculated rate is increased to 6.311% for the next fiscal year as discussed in this section.

1990 Unfunded Actuarial Obligation

The 1990 Actuarial Obligation for the DB Program is calculated using the benefit provisions in place during 1990. CalSTRS provides us with supplementary information on the census data for this determination. The process has limitations since we do not know, for example, whether members would have retired earlier or later if the post-1990 benefit enhancements had not been enacted. However, we believe it is a reasonable process to estimate what the Actuarial Obligation would be if only the 1990 benefits were currently in place. There were no benefit improvements enacted between 1990 and 1998 that had a material cost. All benefit enhancements enacted with effective dates from July 1, 1990 to December 31, 1998 have been presumed to be cost-neutral. Due to the enhanced retirement benefits enacted since 1990, a separate set of retirement probabilities is used to evaluate the 1990 Benefit Structure.

The Actuarial Obligation related to the 1990 Benefit Structure is \$265.3 billion. This compares to the Actuarial Obligation for the current DB Program benefit structure of \$322.1 billion.

| (\$ Millions) | 2020 Valuation | 2019 Valuation |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| Actuarial Obligation -- 1990 Benefit Structure | | |
| Value of Projected Benefits | \$ 338,130 | \$ 327,837 |
| Value of Future Normal Costs | <u>72,869</u> | <u>71,304</u> |
| Actuarial Obligation | \$ 265,261 | \$ 256,533 |

The Market Value of Assets associated with the 1990 Actuarial Obligation is calculated each year by 1) increasing the prior year value (excluding the SBMA) by contributions based on the contribution rates in effect prior to September 30, 1998 (16.00% of earned salaries); 2) adding state supplemental contributions under 22955.1(b); 3) adding additional state contributions in excess of the statutory requirements that are designated to pay down the 1990 UAO, if any; 4) reducing by benefit payments attributable to the 1990 Benefit Structure; and 5) adjusting for the actual investment return for the DB Program (excluding the SBMA). Limitations exist with this approach since precise data regarding the portion or the timing of benefit payments that would be attributable to only the 1990 benefit structure is unknown.

The Market Value of Assets under the 1990 Benefit Structure is smoothed based on the same ratio (Actuarial Value of Assets divided by Market Value of Assets) as the DB Program.

See **Table 10** for the details of the 1990 asset calculation.

| (\$ Millions) | 2020 Valuation | 2019 Valuation |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| Asset Adjustment -- 1990 Benefit Structure | | |
| Market Value of Assets | \$ 231,440 | \$ 226,790 |
| Ratio for DB Program | <u>100.992%</u> | <u>98.536%</u> |
| Actuarial Value of Assets | \$ 233,735 | \$ 223,469 |

For purposes of testing the funding sufficiency of the 1990 Benefit Structure, note that we did not reserve the board's allocation of assets for future THBF costs, because it was established subsequent to 1990.

The following table summarizes the Funded Status of the 1990 Benefit Structure as detailed in **Table 11**. The 1990 Benefit Structure has an actuarial deficit equal to the UAO of \$31.5 billion.

| (\$ Millions) | 2020 Valuation | 2019 Valuation |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| Funded Status -- 1990 Benefit Structure | | |
| Actuarial Obligation | \$ 265,261 | \$ 256,533 |
| Actuarial Value of Assets | <u>233,735</u> | <u>223,469</u> |
| Unfunded Actuarial Obligation | \$ 31,526 | \$ 33,064 |
| Funded Ratio | 88.1% | 87.1% |

State Supplemental Contributions

The statute calls for an annual adjustment to the state supplemental contribution rate to amortize the 1990 UAO. Based on the June 30, 2020 valuation, an increase in the state supplemental contribution rate effective July 1, 2021 is calculated under the valuation policy.

As shown in **Table 11**, a supplemental contribution rate of 7.556% of payroll is needed to amortize the 1990 UAO by June 30, 2046 based on the board's current valuation policy. This is based on an unconstrained increase of about 1.7% of payroll from the current supplemental rate of 5.811%. However, increases in the state contribution rate are limited to 0.5%. Therefore, the state supplemental contribution rate for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2021 is calculated to be 6.311% under EC §22955.1(b). Note that the 7.556% is based on the Actuarial Value of

Assets, so it does not reflect the future recognition of currently deferred asset gains and losses and therefore differs from the projection shown in the "Looking Ahead" subsection of Section 1.

The following table shows a numerical breakdown of each of the factors that caused the increase or decrease in the unconstrained (i.e., prior to the application of the minimum supplemental rate and the maximum increase) state supplemental contribution rate. The actual calculated rate is limited to a 0.5% increase over the prior year and cannot be less than 4.311% until the 1990 UAO has been fully paid off.

| Sources of Change | Theoretical Unconstrained State Supplemental Rate |
|--|---|
| June 30, 2019 Actuarial Valuation | 7.90% |
| Expected Year-to-Year Change | 0.12% |
| Recognized Asset (Gain) / Loss | |
| • From Prior Years | -0.24% |
| • From Current Year | 0.46% |
| Additional State Contributions made in FY2019-20 | -0.22% |
| Salary / Payroll Variation | |
| • Salary Increase < Assumed | -0.26% |
| • Payroll Increase < Assumed | 0.04% |
| All Other Sources | -0.24% |
| Total Change | -0.34% |
| June 30, 2020 Actuarial Valuation | 7.56% ⁽¹⁾ |

1. Calculated rate is 6.311% due to application of maximum increase.

Table 14 (in the next section) show the actual amounts to be contributed to fund both the 1990 benefits and the pre-2014 "New" benefits respectively.

Actuarial Gains and Losses

Similar to the total DB Program, we perform a comparison for the 1990 Benefit Structure between the UAO on the valuation date and the Expected UAO projected from the prior valuation date using the actuarial assumptions in effect since the previous valuation.

The actuarial gains and losses since the last report for the 1990 Benefit Structure are summarized in the following table.

| (\$ Millions) | Expected Results | Actual Results | (Gain) or Loss |
|---|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Actuarial Obligation | \$ 267,567 | \$ 265,261 | \$ (2,306) |
| Act. Value of Assets | <u>233,603</u> | <u>233,735</u> | <u>(132)</u> |
| Unfunded Act. Oblig. | \$ 33,964 | \$ 31,526 | \$ (2,438) |
| Actuarial (Gains) or Losses by Source | | | |
| Changes in assumptions & methods (Actuarial Obligation) | | | \$ 0 |
| Salaries increased less than assumed | | | (1,501) |
| All other non-investment sources ⁽¹⁾ | | | <u>(805)</u> |
| (Gain) on the Actuarial Obligation | | | \$ (2,306) |
| Investment Return on Actuarial Value of Assets | | | (172) |
| Changes in assumptions & methods (Actuarial Assets) | | | 0 |
| Contributions (in excess of) or less than assumed | | | <u>40</u> |
| (Gain) on the Actuarial Value of Assets | | | \$ (132) |
| Total Actuarial (Gain) | | | \$ (2,438) |

1. Reflects adjustments to the census data provided to account for missing information on 1990 benefits for post-2000 beneficiaries.

Table 10
Assets for 1990 Benefit Structure

| (\$ Millions) | 2020 | 2019 |
|---|------------------|------------------|
| Assets Allocated to 1990 Structure⁽¹⁾ | | |
| Allocated Market Value at Beginning of Year | \$226,790 | \$216,151 |
| Contributions During the Year | | |
| Member: EC §22901(a) at 8.00% of Earned Salaries | 2,769 | 2,694 |
| Employer: EC §22950 at 8.00% of Earned Salaries | 2,769 | 2,694 |
| State: EC §22955.1(b) Contribution at 5.811% / 5.311% of second preceding fiscal year Earned Salaries | 1,910 | 1,705 |
| State: Additional State Contributions Designated to reduce 1990 Structure UAO | 1,117 | 0 |
| Member Redeposits | 16 | 22 |
| Total 1990 Structure Contributions | 8,581 | 7,115 |
| Benefits Paid During the Year | | |
| Total Benefits Paid During the Year | (15,277) | (14,603) |
| Post-1990 Benefits Paid During the Year | 2,529 | 2,322 |
| Post-1990 Refunds of supplemental member contributions | 6 | 4 |
| Prior 2% DBS redirection contributions refunded | (7) | (8) |
| Total 1990 Benefits Paid ⁽¹⁾ | (12,749) | (12,285) |
| Estimated Investment Earnings for the Year ⁽²⁾ | 8,796 | 14,027 |
| Change in GASB Adjustments | 22 | 805 |
| Allocated 1990 Assets due to Assumption Changes | - | 977 |
| Total Allocated 1990 Structure Market Value at End of Year | \$231,440 | \$226,790 |
| Ratio of Actuarial Value to Market Value ⁽³⁾ | 100.992% | 98.536% |
| Assets Allocated to 1990 Structure (Actuarial Value of Assets) | \$233,735 | \$223,469 |

1. May not add exactly, due to rounding.

2. Based on Fair Market Value and uniform cash flow for contributions, benefits, and expenses. The rates of return used in these calculations were 6.56% for 2018-19 and 3.90% for 2019-20.

3. Developed from Table 6.

Table 11
Funded Status and Supplemental Contribution Rate for 1990 Benefit Structure

| (\$ Millions) | 2020 | 2019 |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Actuarial Obligation | | |
| Present Value of Projected Benefits | | |
| Benefits Currently Being Paid | \$ 143,330 | \$ 139,538 |
| Benefits to Inactive Members | 7,069 | 6,543 |
| Benefits to Active Members | <u>187,731</u> | <u>181,756</u> |
| Total | \$ 338,130 | \$ 327,837 |
| Present Value of Future Normal Costs | <u>(72,869)</u> | <u>(71,304)</u> |
| Actuarial Obligation | \$ 265,261 | \$ 256,533 |
| Funded Status | | |
| Actuarial Obligation | \$ 265,261 | \$ 256,533 |
| Actuarial Value of Assets (Table 10) | <u>233,735</u> | <u>223,469</u> |
| Unfunded Actuarial Obligation (Surplus) | \$ 31,526 | \$ 33,064 |
| Funded Ratio | 88.1% | 87.1% |
| Amortization Sufficiency Under Current Contribution Schedule | | |
| Revenue for 1990 Benefits | 16.000% | 16.000% |
| Normal Cost Rate for 1990 Benefits | <u>(17.784)</u> | <u>(17.822)</u> |
| Equivalent Normal Cost Surplus / (Deficit) | (1.784%) | (1.822%) |
| Express as Percent of Employer Payroll | | |
| Equivalent Normal Cost Surplus / (Deficit) | (1.910%) | (1.950%) |
| Express as Percent of State Payroll | | |
| Level Equivalent Additional Revenue Under EC 22955.1(b) | <u>5.811</u> | <u>5.811</u> |
| Revenue Available for Amortization | 3.901% | 3.861% |
| Revenue Needed for Amortization | <u>5.592</u> | <u>5.951</u> |
| Revenue Surplus / (Deficit) | (1.691%) | (2.090%) |
| Amortization Status under current contribution rate | Contribution Increases Needed | Contribution Increases Needed |
| Contribution Rate for Amortization of 1990 UAO without Statutory Limits | | |
| Current EC 22955.1(b) Contribution Rate | 5.811% | 5.811% |
| Increase / (Decrease) in State Contribution Rate for Next Fiscal Year | <u>1.691</u> | <u>2.090</u> |
| Unconstrained Contribution Rate for Next FY | 7.502% | 7.901% |
| Contribution Rate for Amortization of 1990 UAO with Statutory Limits | | |
| Current EC 22955.1(b) Contribution Rate | 5.811% | 5.811% |
| Increase / (Decrease) in State Contribution Rate for Next Fiscal Year (Increase capped at 0.5%) | <u>0.500</u> | <u>0.500</u> |
| EC 22955.1(b) Contribution Rate for Next FY | 6.311% | 6.311% ⁽¹⁾ |

1. State supplemental contribution rate remains at 5.811% for fiscal year beginning July 1, 2020 per EC §22955.1(b)(3).

7. Employer Supplemental Contribution Rate

Under EC §22950.5, annual adjustments in the employer contribution rate are calculated. We will refer to the total value of the adjustment as the employer supplemental contribution rate. The employer supplemental rate is in addition to the base employer contribution rate under EC §22950 and §22951 of 8.25% of payroll.

Effective July 1, 2021, the board shall increase or decrease the employer supplemental contribution rate (within certain parameters) to reflect the contribution required to eliminate the remaining UAO associated with service earned prior to July 1, 2014. This will be referred to as the pre-2014 UAO. Note that although the language in the Education Code refers to the UAO for all benefits earned prior to July 1, 2014, the basic calculation in the board's valuation policy only allocates the funding of the pre-2014 UAO for "new" benefits (i.e., those adopted after 1990) to the employers, as the state is responsible for the funding of all 1990 benefits.

The calculated employer supplemental contribution rate for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2021 decreases to 9.85% of payroll (currently 10.85%) pursuant to the board's valuation policy and assumes the board exercises its limited rate-setting authority. Under EC §22950.6, the contribution rate for employers is reduced for fiscal year 2020-21 by 2.95% and fiscal year 2021-22 by 2.18% to reflect the additional contribution paid by the state on behalf of employers in fiscal year 2018-19. This means the effective supplemental contribution rate paid by the employers is calculated to decrease by 0.23% from 7.90% of pay (16.15% in total) to 7.67% of pay (15.92% in total) for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2021. Note that the 2019 valuation showed a 0.70% of pay reduction to the fiscal year 2020-21 employer contribution rate, but this was subsequently increased to a 2.95% of pay reduction under EC §22950.6.

There is an additional complexity in that the pre-2014 UAO that the employer is responsible for funding overlaps with the 1990 UAO that the state is responsible for funding. Under the board's valuation policy, the pre-2014 UAO is split into two separate pieces: 1) the pre-2014 UAO for the 1990 Benefit Structure; and 2) the pre-2014 UAO for "new" benefits (i.e., those adopted after 1990). The employers are responsible for funding the New Benefit UAO. However, the employer supplemental contribution rate must, at a minimum, be sufficient to pay down the total Pre-2014 UAO when combined with the base employer rate and the state and member contribution rates. This is referred to as the "minimum rate."

Note for purposes of the calculation of the employer supplemental contribution rate, we have assumed the minimum contribution rate does not apply for the next four years. CalSTRS staff communicated that the state intends to contribute above the rate set by the board to an amount equivalent to what they would contribute if the rate was set on an actuarial basis for the next few years. These proposed additional state contributions would make up for the additional employer contributions what would be needed due to the application of the minimum rate. After discussion with CalSTRS staff, we agreed that these additional state contributions satisfy the intent of the minimum rate, and therefore we have not applied the minimum rate to the employer supplemental rate calculation. Changes in the employer supplemental contribution rate are determined annually beginning with the 2020 valuation and are subject to the following conditions:

- The employer supplemental contribution rate cannot increase or decrease by more than 1.0% of payroll over the prior year supplemental rate. The 1.0% adjustment is applied before the reductions specified in EC §22950.6
- The employer supplemental contribution rate cannot exceed 12.00%.

To determine the pre-2014 UAO for New Benefits, we must determine the total UAO for pre-2014 service and subtract the 1990 UAO for pre-2014 service.

Pre-2014 Unfunded Actuarial Obligation

The pre-2014 Actuarial Obligation for the DB Program is calculated using service through June 30, 2014 and projected salaries. Since there are no future service accruals for this portion of the Actuarial Obligation, the Projected Unit Credit actuarial cost method is used, per the board's valuation policy.

To determine the pre-2014 assets to be used in the 2020 valuation, a theoretical pre-2014 asset value is maintained based on the prior year value adjusted as follows:

- Add total contributions (excluding SBMA),
- Subtract total Normal Costs for prior year,
- Subtract benefit payments attributable to pre-2014 service, and
- Adjust for actual investment return.

See **Table 12** for the details of the asset adjustment.

Pre-2014 Unfunded Actuarial Obligation for 1990 Benefit Structure

A second calculation is done to isolate the portion of the pre-2014 UAO that is allocated to the 1990 Benefit Structure and therefore is subject to state funding. The Actuarial Obligation for this portion is calculated using the 1990 Benefit Structure, service through June 30, 2014 and projected salaries. Since there are no future service accruals, the Projected Unit Credit actuarial cost method is used.

To determine the pre-2014 assets allocated to the 1990 Structure that are to be used in the 2020 valuation, a theoretical pre-2014 asset value for the 1990 Structure is maintained based on the prior year value adjusted as follows:

- Add contributions equal to 16.00% of prior year payroll,
- Add state supplemental contributions under EC §22955.1(b),
- Subtract total Normal Costs for prior year attributable to 1990 Benefit Structure,
- Subtract benefit payments attributable to pre-2014 service and the 1990 Benefit Structure, and
- Adjust for actual investment return.

See **Table 13** for the details of the asset adjustment.

Pre-2014 Unfunded Actuarial Obligation for New Benefits

The following table shows the calculation of the UAO for Pre-2014 Service attributable to New Benefits.

| (\$ Millions) | Pre-2014 Service | | |
|--|------------------|---------------|------------------|
| | Total | 1990 Benefits | New Benefits |
| Funded Status -- Pre-2014 Service | | | |
| Actuarial Obligation | \$ 258,250 | \$ 212,973 | \$ 45,277 |
| Actuarial Value of Assets | 155,564 | 184,259 | (28,695) |
| Unfunded Actuarial Obligation | \$ 102,686 | \$ 28,714 | \$ 73,972 |

Employer Supplemental Contributions

The Education Code specifies an annual adjustment to the employer supplemental contribution rate to amortize the pre-2014 UAO effective with the 2020 actuarial valuation. As shown in **Table 14**, a decrease from the current employer supplemental contribution rate of 10.85% is calculated. Effective July 1, 2021, an employer supplemental contribution rate of 9.78% of pay would be needed to amortize the pre-2014 UAO for New Benefits by June 30, 2046, a decrease of 1.07%. Since the change in the rate cannot exceed 1.0% of payroll, the employer supplemental contribution rate is 9.85% after applying the limit.

EC §22950.6 reduces the rate paid by employers for the next fiscal year by 2.18%. Therefore, the effective employer supplemental contribution rate is 7.67% (9.85% less 2.18%). Combining this amount with the base employer contribution rate of 8.25%, the calculated total employer contribution rate for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2021 is 15.92%.

Allocation of Contribution Rates

As previously discussed, the state is responsible for the UAO associated with 1990 benefits the employers are responsible for UAO associated with New benefits. The table below shows a breakdown of the calculated contribution rates between 1990 and New benefits on a percentage of payroll basis.

| Allocation of Contribution Rates for the DB Program | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|
| Source of Revenue | 2020 Valuation: FY 2021-22 Rate | | | 2019 Valuation FY 2020-21 Rate |
| | 1990 Benefits | New Benefits | Total | |
| Employers – Base Rate | 8.000 % | 0.000 % | 8.000 % | 8.000 % |
| Employers – Sick Leave | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.250 |
| Employers – Supplemental Rate ⁽¹⁾ | 0.000 | 9.850 | 9.850 | 10.850 |
| Employers – Total Calculated Rate | 8.000 | 10.100 | 18.100 | 19.100 |
| Reduction for Additional State Contribs ⁽²⁾ | 0.000 | (2.180) | (2.180) | (2.950) |
| Employers – Net Contribution Rate | 8.000 | 7.920 | 15.920 | 16.150 |
| State – Base Rate | 0.000 % | 2.017 % | 2.017 % | 2.017 % |
| State – Supplemental Rate ⁽¹⁾ | 6.311 | 0.000 | 6.311 | 5.811 |
| State – Total DB Program⁽³⁾ | 6.311 | 2.017 | 8.328 | 7.828 |
| Members – 2% at 60 | 8.000 % | 2.250 % | 10.250 % | 10.250 % |
| Members – 2% at 62 | 8.000 | 2.205 | 10.205 | 10.205 |

1. Calculated based on valuation policy and subject to board adoption.
2. As specified in EC §22950.6.
3. The state also contributes 2.5% to the Supplemental Benefit Maintenance Account (SBMA).

Table 12
Total Assets Allocated for Pre-2014 Service⁽¹⁾

| (\$ Millions) | 2020 | 2019 |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| Asset Value for Pre-2014 Service (excludes SBMA) | | |
| Allocated Market Value at Beginning of Year | \$ 156,795 | \$ 154,118 |
| Pre-2014 Allocation of GASB Expense Adjustment | - | 432 |
| Contributions During the Year | | |
| Total Contributions (excluding SBMA) | 13,200 | 13,576 |
| Less Normal Costs for Year with Expenses | <u>(7,063)</u> | <u>(6,797)</u> |
| Total Adjusted Contributions | \$ 6,137 | \$ 6,779 |
| Benefits and Expenses Paid for Pre-2014 Service | (14,848) | (14,313) |
| Estimated Investment Earnings for the Year ⁽²⁾ | <u>5,952</u> | <u>9,779</u> |
| Total Allocated Market Value at End of Year | \$ 154,036 | \$ 156,795 |
| Ratio of Actuarial Value to Market Value ⁽³⁾ | 100.992% | 98.640% |
| Actuarial Value of Assets for Pre-2014 Service | \$ 155,564 | \$ 154,663 |

1. May not add exactly, due to rounding.

2. Based on Fair Market Value excluding SBMA and uniform cash flow for contributions, benefits, and expenses. The rates of return used in these calculations were 6.53% for 2018-19 and 3.90% for 2019-20.

3. Developed from Table 6.

Table 13
1990 Assets Allocated for Pre-2014 Service⁽¹⁾

| (\$ Millions) | 2020 | 2019 |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| 1990 Asset Value for Pre-2014 Service (excludes SBMA) | | |
| Allocated Market Value at Beginning of Year | \$ 185,388 | \$ 184,240 |
| Pre-2014 Allocation of GASB Expense Adjustment | - | 432 |
| Contributions During the Year for 1990 Structure | | |
| Total Contributions (excluding SBMA) | 8,582 | 7,166 |
| Less 1990 Normal Costs for Year with Expenses | <u>(6,169)</u> | <u>(5,932)</u> |
| Total Adjusted Contributions | \$ 2,413 | \$ 1,234 |
| Benefits and Expenses Paid for Pre-2014 Service | (12,395) | (12,227) |
| Estimated Investment Earnings for the Year ⁽²⁾ | <u>7,043</u> | <u>11,709</u> |
| Total 1990 Allocated Market Value at End of Year | \$ 182,449 | \$ 185,388 |
| Ratio of Actuarial Value to Market Value ⁽³⁾ | 100.992% | 98.640% |
| 1990 Actuarial Value of Assets for Pre-2014 Service | \$ 184,259 | \$ 182,866 |

1. May not add exactly, due to rounding.

2. Based on Fair Market Value excluding SBMA and uniform cash flow for contributions, benefits, and expenses. The rates of return used in these calculations were 6.53% for 2018-19 and 3.90% for 2019-20.

3. Developed from Table 6.

Table 14
Funded Status and Employer Supplemental Contribution Rate for Pre-2014 Service

| (\$ Millions) | 2020 | 2019 |
|---|----------------|----------------|
| Funded Status | | |
| Total Unfunded Actuarial Obligation (Pre-2014 Service) | | |
| Total Actuarial Obligation for Pre-2014 Service | \$258,250 | \$257,003 |
| Total AVA for Pre-2014 Service | <u>155,564</u> | <u>154,663</u> |
| Total UAO (pre-2014 Service) | \$102,686 | \$102,340 |
| | | |
| 1990 Unfunded Actuarial Obligation (Pre-2014 Service) | | |
| 1990 Actuarial Obligation for Pre-2014 Service | \$212,973 | \$212,812 |
| 1990 AVA for Pre-2014 Service | <u>184,259</u> | <u>182,866</u> |
| 1990 UAO (pre-2014 Service) | \$28,714 | \$29,946 |
| Post-1990 UAO (Pre-2014 Service) | \$73,972 | \$72,394 |
| Amortization Sufficiency for Post-1990, Pre-2014 UAO Under Current Contribution Schedule | | |
| Post-1990 Normal Cost Rate (Surplus)/Deficit | 2.582% | 2.522% |
| Current Supplemental Contribution Rate Under EC 22950.5 | <u>10.850</u> | <u>10.850</u> |
| Revenue Available for Amortization | 13.432% | 13.372% |
| Revenue Needed for Amortization | <u>12.362</u> | <u>12.065</u> |
| Revenue Surplus / (Deficit) | 1.070% | 1.307% |
| Supplemental Contribution Rate (Unconstrained) | 9.780% | 9.543% |
| Contribution Rate for Amortization of UAO for pre-2014 Service and New Benefits | | |
| Current EC 22950.5 Contribution Rate | 10.850% | 10.850% |
| Adjustment in Employer Contribution Rate for Next Fiscal Year | <u>(1.000)</u> | <u>0.000</u> |
| EC 22955.1(b) Contribution Rate for following FYB | 9.850% | 10.850% |
| EC 22950.6 Adjustment | (2.180) | (2.950) |
| EC 22950 & EC22951 Base Contribution Rate | <u>8.250</u> | <u>8.250</u> |
| Total Employer Contribution Rate for following FYB | 15.920% | 16.150% |

8. Actuarially Determined Contribution

In general, an actuarially determined contribution is a target or recommended contribution to a defined benefit pension plan based on the plan's funding policy. For CalSTRS, the actuarially determined contribution rate is the calculated level contribution rate to fully fund the DB Program over a closed period ending June 30, 2046. For GASB 67/68 reporting, the actuarially determined contribution is the employer portion (including the state portion) of that contribution and is therefore net of member contributions. For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2020, the actuarially determined contribution was the level rate calculated in the June 30, 2018 actuarial valuation applied to the actual DB Program payroll for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2020.

The actual contribution rates are set in statute, with the board having limited rate-setting authority to adjust contribution rates annually within the parameters of the Education Code. The current projections show CalSTRS is projected to be close to 100% funded by June 30, 2046, the target date of the funding plan to achieve a 100% funded ratio. This projection relies on future contribution increases based upon the board's rate-setting authority.

As shown in the following table, the actual employer contribution made for all programs (including those contributions made by the state) to the State Teachers' Retirement Plan was 97% of the actuarially determined contribution for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2020. Note that contributions to the State Teachers' Retirement Plan include contributions to the Defined Benefit, Defined Benefit Supplement, Cash Balance Benefit, and Supplemental Benefit Maintenance Account programs. This small shortfall between the actual employer contribution and the actuarially determined contribution is projected to continue in the near term due to the limits on increases in the state contribution rate, as well as no contributions going toward the unallocated UAO. For the fiscal year ended in 2019, the actual contribution was 102% of the ADC for all programs. This was due to the additional state contribution made in the fiscal year ended in 2019. The results shown below are consistent with CalSTRS GASB 67/68 reporting.

| (\$ Millions) | Fiscal Year- End 2020 | Fiscal Year- End 2019 |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Actuarially Determined Contribution | | |
| ADC percentage for DB Program (a) | 27.126% | 27.657% |
| Covered Payroll (b) | <u>\$ 36,668</u> | <u>\$ 35,805</u> |
| ADC for DB Program (a x b) = (c) | 9,947 | 9,903 |
| ADC for other programs ⁽¹⁾ (d) | <u>902</u> | <u>887</u> |
| Total ADC for STRP (c + d) = (e) | 10,849 | 10,790 |
| Actual employer contribution ⁽²⁾ (f) | 10,512 | 10,969 |
| Percentage of Actual to ADC (f / e) | 96.89% | 101.66% |

1. For the SBMA, CBB, and DBS programs, the actuarially determined contribution is equal to the actual contributions.

2. Includes actual contributions from non-employer contributing entities (which for CalSTRS is the state).

The calculated level contribution rate needed to fully fund the DB Program over a closed period ending June 30, 2046 is greater than the current contribution rate due to the limitations on contribution rate increases and temporary decreases in the employer supplemental contribution rate. The theoretical contributions made based under the level contribution rate (net of member contributions) are analogous to the actuarially determined contributions included in CalSTRS GASB 67/68 reporting. The following table shows the estimated actuarially

determined contribution rate for the DB Program for the upcoming year. The 2020 valuation results are used to calculate the actuarially determined contribution rate for the fiscal year ending 2022.

| (Percent of Earned Salaries) | 2020 Valuation FY 2021-22 | 2019 Valuation FY 2020-21 |
|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Actuarially Determined Contribution for DB Program | | |
| Normal Cost Rate | 19.598 % | 19.696 % |
| Amortization Rate Needed | 17.653 | 17.479 |
| Total Level Rate for DB Program | 37.251 | 37.175 |
| Estimated Member Contribution Rate | (10.239) | (10.238) |
| ADC for DB Program | 27.012 | 26.937 |
| Estimated State Rate for DB Program | 7.880 | 8.248 |
| Estimated Employer Rate for DB Program | 15.920 | 16.150 |
| Estimated Employer+State Contribution Rate ⁽¹⁾ | 23.800 | 24.398 |
| Percentage of Actuarially Determined Contribution expected to be received | 88.1 % | 90.6 % |

1. Assumes the board exercises its limited rate-setting authority and adjusts contribution rates as discussed in this report and includes expected contributions from both employers and non-employer contributing entities (which, for CalSTRS, is the state).

Table 15 shows the details of how the estimated actuarially determined contribution rate is calculated for the upcoming year as well as the actual actuarially determined contribution for the current fiscal year.

Table 15
Actuarially Determined Contribution Rate for DB Program

| (\$ Millions) | 2020 Valuation FY 2021-22 | 2019 Valuation FY 2020-21 |
|---|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Unfunded Actuarial Obligation | | |
| Beginning of Year | \$ 105,875 | \$ 105,703 |
| Normal Cost | 7,152 | 7,004 |
| Contributions | (12,295) | (13,123) |
| Benefit Payments | - | - |
| Interest | 7,235 | 7,189 |
| Projected to End of Year (a) | <u>107,967</u> | <u>106,773</u> |
| Present Value of Future Payroll | | |
| Starting One Year After Valuation Date (b) | \$ 611,614 | \$ 610,867 |
| UAO Rate Needed | | |
| End of Year UAO / PV Payroll [(a) / (b)] | 17.653% | 17.479% |
| Actuarially Determined Contribution Rate | | |
| Normal Cost Rate | 19.598% | 19.696% |
| Amortization Rate Needed | <u>17.653%</u> | <u>17.479%</u> |
| Total Level Rate for DB Program | 37.251% | 37.175% |
| Member Contribution Rate | <u>(10.239%)</u> | <u>(10.238%)</u> |
| Actuarially Determined Contribution (for DB Program only) | 27.012% | 26.937% |
| Estimated Contribution Rate | | |
| Estimated Contributions | | |
| Member 22901 & 22901.7 | \$ 3,753 | \$ 3,656 |
| Employer 22950 & 22951 | 3,024 | 2,946 |
| Employer 22950.5 & 22950.6 | 2,811 | 2,821 |
| State 22955.2 | - | 297 |
| State 22955(a) | 700 | 682 |
| State 22955.1(b) | <u>2,189</u> | <u>1,966</u> |
| Total Estimated Contributions | 12,477 | 12,368 |
| Projected Salaries | 36,655 | 35,709 |
| Total Contribution Rate | 34.039% | 34.636% |
| Member Contribution Rate | (10.239%) | (10.238%) |
| Estimated Employer+State Contribution Rate ⁽¹⁾ | 23.800% | 24.398% |

1. Assumes the board exercises its rate-setting authority and adjusts contribution rates as discussed in this report. Estimated rates include both projected employer and state contributions. Estimated rates reflect temporary reductions in employer contribution rates and do not reflect any potential additional contributions from the state after the valuation date.

9. Projected Amortization and Cash Flows

We have previously shown graphical projections of contribution rates, the Funded Ratio, and the UAO. In this section, we show the numerical details behind those projections.

Table 16 shows the amortization of the UAO for the total DB Program on a year-by-year basis, based on 7.00% future returns, additional contribution rate increases, and the future recognition of the currently deferred asset losses. Assuming all other future experience emerges as assumed and no changes in the current contribution rates, the UAO will not be amortized by June 30, 2046. However, the CalSTRS board has rate-setting authority (within certain parameters) to adjust the state and employer contribution rates to pay down the UAO. Assuming the contribution rates are adjusted on this basis in the future, the UAO is projected to be fully paid off, except for a relatively small portion of the UAO attributable to New Benefits and Post-2014 service (the Unallocated UAO) that is not actuarially funded. It is our understanding the board does not have authority to adjust contribution rates to fund this portion of the UAO. In total, the Funded Ratio is projected to be 99.6% under the assumptions described in the "Looking Ahead" subsection of Section 1. Note that additional state contributions budgeted but not specifically defined in the Education Code are not reflected in Table 16 or Table 17.

In **Table 16**, we show the contributions projected to be paid into the DB Program to fund ongoing benefits and amortize the UAO. **Table 17** shows a comparison of these inflows into DB Program with the outflows from the DB Program, which consist of benefit payments and expenses. The difference between these two values is the net cash flow. A negative value indicates CalSTRS is paying out more than it is receiving. Note that this projection does not account for cash received internally, such as interest and dividends on investments.

The net cash flow is currently negative, and this is projected to remain at approximately the current level over the next several years. In future years, the cash flow is expected to become increasingly negative. This is a typical pattern for a mature retirement system where it is expected that contributions will be less than benefits and that the system will begin drawing on the fund that has been built up over prior years.

Table 16
Amortization of Unfunded Actuarial Obligation⁽¹⁾
(Reflecting Projected Contribution Increases)⁽²⁾

| Year | FYE | Beginning Unfunded Act. Oblig. | Amortization Payment | | | Normal Cost | Available Amtzn. | Interest Charge at 7.00% | Ending Unfunded Act. Oblig. | Ending Funded Ratio | |
|------|------|--------------------------------|----------------------|----------|---------|-------------|------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------|
| | | | Member | Employer | State | | | | | | Total |
| 1 | 2021 | \$105,875 | \$3,626 | \$5,720 | \$2,949 | \$12,295 | \$7,152 | \$5,143 | \$7,234 | \$108,723 | 67.6% |
| 2 | 2022 | 108,723 | 3,753 | 5,835 | 2,889 | 12,477 | 7,385 | 5,092 | 7,435 | 111,607 | 68.0% |
| 3 | 2023 | 111,607 | 3,884 | 6,882 | 3,126 | 13,892 | 7,627 | 6,265 | 7,597 | 113,325 | 68.7% |
| 4 | 2024 | 113,325 | 4,019 | 7,117 | 3,420 | 14,556 | 7,876 | 6,680 | 7,703 | 114,623 | 69.6% |
| 5 | 2025 | 114,623 | 4,159 | 7,363 | 3,729 | 15,251 | 8,132 | 7,119 | 7,779 | 115,479 | 70.5% |
| 6 | 2026 | 115,479 | 4,305 | 7,618 | 3,963 | 15,886 | 8,396 | 7,490 | 7,826 | 115,955 | 71.5% |
| 7 | 2027 | 115,955 | 4,455 | 7,883 | 4,118 | 16,456 | 8,667 | 7,789 | 7,849 | 116,115 | 72.6% |
| 8 | 2028 | 116,115 | 4,610 | 8,157 | 4,274 | 17,041 | 8,945 | 8,096 | 7,849 | 115,940 | 73.6% |
| 9 | 2029 | 115,940 | 4,771 | 8,441 | 4,433 | 17,645 | 9,231 | 8,414 | 7,826 | 115,403 | 74.7% |
| 10 | 2030 | 115,403 | 4,937 | 8,736 | 4,594 | 18,267 | 9,524 | 8,743 | 7,777 | 114,474 | 75.9% |
| 11 | 2031 | 114,474 | 5,109 | 9,041 | 4,760 | 18,910 | 9,825 | 9,085 | 7,701 | 113,114 | 77.0% |
| 12 | 2032 | 113,114 | 5,287 | 9,357 | 4,930 | 19,574 | 10,132 | 9,442 | 7,593 | 111,284 | 78.2% |
| 13 | 2033 | 111,284 | 5,471 | 9,684 | 5,106 | 20,261 | 10,449 | 9,812 | 7,452 | 108,937 | 79.3% |
| 14 | 2034 | 108,937 | 5,662 | 10,023 | 5,286 | 20,971 | 10,775 | 10,196 | 7,275 | 106,025 | 80.6% |
| 15 | 2035 | 106,025 | 5,859 | 10,374 | 5,472 | 21,705 | 11,111 | 10,594 | 7,057 | 102,495 | 81.8% |
| 16 | 2036 | 102,495 | 6,064 | 10,737 | 5,664 | 22,465 | 11,459 | 11,006 | 6,796 | 98,289 | 83.1% |
| 17 | 2037 | 98,289 | 6,275 | 11,112 | 5,865 | 23,252 | 11,818 | 11,434 | 6,487 | 93,346 | 84.5% |
| 18 | 2038 | 93,346 | 6,494 | 11,501 | 6,070 | 24,065 | 12,189 | 11,876 | 6,126 | 87,599 | 85.9% |
| 19 | 2039 | 87,599 | 6,720 | 11,904 | 6,283 | 24,907 | 12,574 | 12,333 | 5,708 | 80,975 | 87.3% |
| 20 | 2040 | 80,975 | 6,954 | 12,320 | 6,504 | 25,778 | 12,974 | 12,804 | 5,228 | 73,400 | 88.9% |
| 21 | 2041 | 73,400 | 7,197 | 12,751 | 6,732 | 26,680 | 13,390 | 13,290 | 4,681 | 64,792 | 90.4% |
| 22 | 2042 | 64,792 | 7,448 | 13,198 | 6,967 | 27,613 | 13,822 | 13,791 | 4,061 | 55,063 | 92.1% |
| 23 | 2043 | 55,063 | 7,708 | 13,660 | 7,211 | 28,579 | 14,272 | 14,307 | 3,362 | 44,118 | 93.9% |
| 24 | 2044 | 44,118 | 7,977 | 14,138 | 7,464 | 29,579 | 14,740 | 14,839 | 2,578 | 31,857 | 95.7% |
| 25 | 2045 | 31,857 | 8,255 | 14,632 | 7,726 | 30,613 | 15,229 | 15,384 | 1,701 | 18,173 | 97.6% |
| 26 | 2046 | 18,173 | 8,543 | 15,144 | 7,998 | 31,685 | 15,740 | 15,945 | 723 | 2,952 | 99.6% |

1. Based on the actuarial value of assets with projected recognition of known deferred asset gains and losses.
 2. Contribution rates include projected changes allowed under Education Code.

Table 17
Projected Cash Flow
(Reflecting Projected Contribution Increases)⁽¹⁾

| Year | FYE | Contributions ⁽¹⁾ | | | Benefit Payments ⁽²⁾ | Net Program Cash Flow | Cash Flow as a Percentage of | | Ending Funded Ratio |
|------|------|------------------------------|----------|---------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| | | Member | Employer | State | | | Payroll | Market Value of Assets | |
| 1 | 2021 | \$3,626 | \$5,720 | \$2,949 | \$16,295 | (\$4,381) | (12.4%) | (2.0%) | 67.6% |
| 2 | 2022 | 3,753 | 5,835 | 2,889 | 12,477 | (4,701) | (12.8%) | (2.0%) | 68.0% |
| 3 | 2023 | 3,884 | 6,882 | 3,126 | 13,892 | (4,016) | (10.6%) | (1.7%) | 68.7% |
| 4 | 2024 | 4,019 | 7,117 | 3,420 | 14,556 | (4,106) | (10.5%) | (1.6%) | 69.6% |
| 5 | 2025 | 4,159 | 7,363 | 3,729 | 15,251 | (4,194) | (10.3%) | (1.6%) | 70.5% |
| 6 | 2026 | 4,305 | 7,618 | 3,963 | 15,886 | (4,376) | (10.4%) | (1.5%) | 71.5% |
| 7 | 2027 | 4,455 | 7,883 | 4,118 | 16,456 | (4,671) | (10.7%) | (1.6%) | 72.6% |
| 8 | 2028 | 4,610 | 8,157 | 4,274 | 17,041 | (5,009) | (11.1%) | (1.6%) | 73.6% |
| 9 | 2029 | 4,771 | 8,441 | 4,433 | 17,645 | (5,387) | (11.6%) | (1.6%) | 74.7% |
| 10 | 2030 | 4,937 | 8,736 | 4,594 | 18,267 | (5,868) | (12.2%) | (1.7%) | 75.9% |
| 11 | 2031 | 5,109 | 9,041 | 4,760 | 18,910 | (6,420) | (12.9%) | (1.7%) | 77.0% |
| 12 | 2032 | 5,287 | 9,357 | 4,930 | 19,574 | (7,029) | (13.6%) | (1.8%) | 78.2% |
| 13 | 2033 | 5,471 | 9,684 | 5,106 | 20,261 | (7,677) | (14.3%) | (1.9%) | 79.3% |
| 14 | 2034 | 5,662 | 10,023 | 5,286 | 20,971 | (8,340) | (15.1%) | (1.9%) | 80.6% |
| 15 | 2035 | 5,859 | 10,374 | 5,472 | 21,705 | (9,014) | (15.7%) | (2.0%) | 81.8% |
| 16 | 2036 | 6,064 | 10,737 | 5,664 | 22,465 | (9,702) | (16.4%) | (2.1%) | 83.1% |
| 17 | 2037 | 6,275 | 11,112 | 5,865 | 23,252 | (10,392) | (16.9%) | (2.1%) | 84.5% |
| 18 | 2038 | 6,494 | 11,501 | 6,070 | 24,065 | (11,066) | (17.4%) | (2.1%) | 85.9% |
| 19 | 2039 | 6,720 | 11,904 | 6,283 | 24,907 | (11,706) | (17.8%) | (2.1%) | 87.3% |
| 20 | 2040 | 6,954 | 12,320 | 6,504 | 25,778 | (12,377) | (18.2%) | (2.2%) | 88.9% |
| 21 | 2041 | 7,197 | 12,751 | 6,732 | 26,680 | (13,003) | (18.5%) | (2.2%) | 90.4% |
| 22 | 2042 | 7,448 | 13,198 | 6,967 | 27,613 | (13,582) | (18.6%) | (2.2%) | 92.1% |
| 23 | 2043 | 7,708 | 13,660 | 7,211 | 28,579 | (14,104) | (18.7%) | (2.1%) | 93.9% |
| 24 | 2044 | 7,977 | 14,138 | 7,464 | 29,579 | (14,555) | (18.6%) | (2.1%) | 95.7% |
| 25 | 2045 | 8,255 | 14,632 | 7,726 | 30,613 | (14,957) | (18.5%) | (2.1%) | 97.6% |
| 26 | 2046 | 8,543 | 15,144 | 7,998 | 31,685 | (15,248) | (18.2%) | (2.0%) | 99.6% |

1. Contribution rates include projected changes allowed under Education Code.
2. Projected benefit payments include estimated administrative expenses.

10. Risk Disclosures

The results of any actuarial valuation are based on a set of assumptions. Although we believe the current DB Program assumptions provide a reasonable estimate of future expectations, it is almost certain that future experience will differ from the assumptions to some extent.

The following is a general discussion of the potential risks to CalSTRS funding. A more comprehensive analysis of potential risks to future DB Program funding levels ("Review of Funding Level and Risks") is completed each fall by CalSTRS internal actuarial staff.

Factors Affecting Future Results

There are a number of factors that affect future valuation results. To the extent actual experience for these factors varies from the assumptions, this will likely cause either increases or decreases in the plan's future funding level and calculated supplemental contribution rates. Examples of factors that can have a significant impact on valuation results are:

- Investment return
- Payroll variation
- Salary variation
- Mortality (how long retirees live)
- Service retirement
- Termination (members leaving active employment for reasons other than death, disability, or service retirement)
- Contribution limitations. The board has limited rate-setting authority. If significant contribution increases are needed in the future, CalSTRS may receive insufficient funding due to the limitations on the board's ability to increase contribution rates under the current law. Projections based on the valuation assumptions indicate this is not currently an issue.
- Economic environment. Legislation passed in 2020 froze the state supplemental contribution rate for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2020, at least in part due to the poor economic environment. It should be noted that the state may provide equivalent funding from alternative sources.

Of these factors, we believe the factor with the greatest potential risk is future investment returns. Payroll variation could also have a significant impact if there was a significant decline in the active teacher population, which, for example could occur if there was a large increase in the proportion of charter schools.

As an example of these risks, if actual investment returns fall short of the current assumption of 7% per year, this will cause an increase in the total supplemental contribution rate and a decrease in the Funded Ratio for the DB Program, all other things being equal. Conversely, if returns exceed 7%, this will decrease the total supplemental contribution rate and increase the Funded Ratio.

Maturity Risk


The magnitude of any contribution rate increase or decrease is affected by the Program's maturity level. As the DB Program becomes more mature (i.e., the number of retirees grows compared to the number of actives, and the accumulated assets grow compared to payroll), it tends to be subject to increased volatility in the contributions needed. Specifically, for CalSTRS there may be significant fluctuations in the state supplemental contribution

rates (and to a lesser extent the employer contribution rates) from year to year due to the actual investment return. One way to measure maturity risk is volatility ratios.

One indicator of this potential volatility is the Asset Volatility Ratio (AVR), which is equal to the Fair Market Value of Assets divided by total payroll. Note that for purposes of the AVR calculation, the assets include the SBMA. Plans with a high Asset Volatility Ratio will be subject to a greater level of contribution rate volatility. The AVR is a current measure since it is based on the current level of assets and will vary from year to year.

For CalSTRS, the current AVR is equal to 6.9, which is typical for a mature system. This means that for each 1% asset loss (in relation to the assumed investment return), there will need to be an increase in contributions equivalent to 6.9% of one-year's payroll. Since CalSTRS is currently targeting a funding period of 25 years (the years from the next valuation date to June 30, 2046), the increase (or decrease) in the state and employer contribution rates will be spread out over 25 years, resulting in approximately a 0.41% of payroll increase (or decrease) in the total contribution rate needed for each 1% asset loss (or gain). An asset loss (or gain) will primarily cause a contribution rate increase (or decrease) for the state and have a much smaller impact on the employer contribution rate.

The following graph shows how the System matured during the last 25 years of the 20th Century, as represented by the increasing AVR. Over the last decade and a half, increases in the AVR have somewhat leveled off although there continues to be year-to-year variance.



Another measure of a system's maturity is the Liability Volatility Ratio (LVR), which is equal to the Actuarial Obligation divided by the total payroll. This ratio provides an indication of the longer-term potential for contribution rate volatility if CalSTRS becomes fully funded. In addition, this ratio provides an indication of the potential contribution rate volatility due to liability experience (gains and losses) and liability re-measurements (assumption changes). For CalSTRS, the current LVR is 9.5.

The following graph shows the historical LVR. It is a similar pattern to the Asset Volatility Ratio except the increase is more gradual and the year-to-year variance is significantly less, although there have been larger changes in years where assumptions changes have occurred.




Projections Under Alternate Return Scenarios

Actuarial valuations are based on a certain set of assumptions. The reality is that these assumptions will not be exactly met and that this will affect future valuation results. Investment returns will likely have the biggest impact on the future funding of CalSTRS. In the following graphs, we show some simple examples of the future variation that may occur on key funding metrics. This is not intended to be a comprehensive analysis of the potential risks to CalSTRS funding, but it will provide the board a general sense of the sensitivity of funding levels and contribution rates caused by returns that are above or below the assumption over a long period.


To show potential variability of future returns, we have assumed CalSTRS earns the 25th, 50th, and 75th percentile returns over the next 30 years. This assumes a median (50th percentile) geometric return of 7.0% and a standard deviation of 11.6% (before adjusting for the impact of guaranteed SBMA crediting). The average 30-year returns shown for the 30-year period are approximately 5.5% for the 25th percentile and 8.5% for the 75th percentile.

Note that the 25th percentile indicates there is a 25% probability of earning a return lower than 5.5%. This may be different than the way investment professionals use percentiles, but we have used this approach for consistency with the way CalSTRS actuarial staff reports percentiles in their risk report.


The graph below shows the potential impact of alternate returns on CalSTRS Funded Ratio. The green line (below-average returns) illustrates how the caps on contribution rate increases restrict CalSTRS ability to make significant progress toward its funding goal following sustained below-average returns.



The following graph shows the potential impact of alternate returns on the state contribution rate (excluding contributions to the SBMA). The state's contribution rate is quite sensitive to future returns, although the 0.5% cap on increases prevents large year-over-year increases. It should be noted that minimizing the year-over-year increases defers these costs and ultimately results in a higher ultimate contribution level than if the full increase needed was implemented in the following fiscal year.



The graph below shows the potential impact of varying returns on the employer contribution rate.




Member rates are not affected by future returns; however, the 2% at 62 member contributions may be affected by changes in the investment return assumption or other assumption changes.

Sensitivity to Payroll Growth


As discussed in this report, we believe future investment returns are likely to have the greatest impact on future CalSTRS funding and contribution rates. We believe a secondary factor could be variance in the total payroll for CalSTRS members. For example, if there was a 10% decline in the payroll next year, the projected Funded Ratio in 2046 would decrease to 99.0% (from 99.6%) and the total contribution rate from all stakeholders would be projected to ultimately increase by about 3.3% of pay. Note that this assumes that the decrease in payroll is due only to a decline in the active population (as opposed to decreases or less-than-assumed increases in members' compensation).

Historical Measures

One way to assess future risks is to look at historical measurements. The graph below shows how the DB Program Funded Ratio has varied over the last 30 years. In particular, it reflects the significant impact that investment returns can have. The strong returns of the 1990's caused a large increase in the Funded Ratio. Since 2000, actual returns have lagged the assumption and expectations of future returns have decreased. Additionally, the actual contribution rate has lagged the actuarially determined rate during most of the period, as discussed in the next paragraph. This combination has been the primary cause of the decline in the Funded Ratio since then.



The graph below shows the history of the actual contributions made (blue bar) as a percentage of payroll. The green line shows the actuarially calculated contribution rate based on amortizing the UAO by June 30, 2046 (for years before 2014, a 30-year amortization was used). There has been variance in both rates. As previously noted, as the DB Program continues to mature, year-to-year variance is projected to increase. Year-to-year changes in the actual contribution rate will likely be less than for the actuarially calculated rate due to the restrictions on changes in the state and employer supplemental contribution rates. Note that for purposes of this graph, the contribution rates include member, employer and state contributions to the DB Program and excludes contributions to the SBMA and other programs.



Appendix A Provisions of Governing Law

The actuarial calculations contained in this report are based upon our understanding of the CalSTRS DB Program as contained in Part 13 of the California Education Code and augmented by consultation with CalSTRS staff. The provisions used in this valuation are summarized below for reference purposes.

Member Contributions

Base Contribution Rate:

2% at 60 Members: 8.0% of creditable compensation. 25% of this contribution was redirected to the member's Defined Benefit Supplement account from January 1, 2001 through December 31, 2010.

The redirection of member contributions does not apply to the 1990 Benefit Structure.

2% at 62 Members: Equal to one-half of the Normal Cost Rate determined in the valuation rounded to the nearest quarter percent. Member rates only change when the Normal Cost Rate changes by 1.0% of payroll as compared to the initial Normal Cost Rate (or at the time of the last adjustment). Currently, the base member contribution rate is equal to 9.0% of creditable compensation.

Supplemental Contribution Rates:

In addition to the base contribution rates, members make additional contributions for fiscal years beginning July 1, 2016 and later:

2% at 60 Members: 2.250% of creditable compensation

2% at 62 Members: 1.205% of creditable compensation

Interest Rate:

Interest is credited at the end of each fiscal year based on rates adopted by the Teachers' Retirement Board. Currently, rates are approximately equal to two-year Treasury notes.

Normal Retirement

Eligibility Requirement:

2% at 60 Members: Age 60 with five years of credited service.

2% at 62 Members: Age 62 with five years of credited service.

Allowance:

Two percent of final compensation for each year of credited service.

Final Compensation:

2% at 60 Members: Average annualized pay rate for the highest three consecutive years of credited service for one position. For members with 25 years of service, the calculation is based on the highest average annualized pay rate in a consecutive 12-month period.

Twelve-month highest average compensation does not apply to the 1990 Benefit Structure.

2% at 62 Members: Final compensation is based on the highest three consecutive years of annualized pay rate. Compensation is limited to 120% of the Social Security Wage Base. The limit effective July 1, 2020 is \$151,837 (after applying the 120% factor) and is adjusted annually based on changes to the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers. The 2% at 62 members are not eligible for the one-year final compensation benefit enhancement.

Credited Service:

For each year of membership, credited service is granted based on the ratio of salary earned to full-time annualized pay rate for one position.

Sick Leave Service Credit:

Credited service is granted for unused sick leave at the time of retirement. Sick Leave Service Credit up to 0.2 years of Credited Service may be used for eligibility for One-Year Final Compensation or to attain the Career Factor or the Longevity Bonus.

Unused sick leave service credit does not apply to the 1990 Benefit Structure for members hired after June 30, 1980.

Career Factor:

If a member has 30 years of credited service, the age factor is increased by 0.2%. However, the maximum age factor is 2.4%.

Career factor does not apply to 2% at 62 members or the 1990 Benefit Structure.

Longevity Bonus:

For members attaining 30 years of service by January 1, 2011, a longevity bonus of \$200 per month is added to the unmodified allowance. The bonus is increased to \$300 per month with 31 years of service, and \$400 per month with 32 or more years of service.

Longevity Bonus does not apply to 2% at 62 members or the 1990 Benefit Structure.

IRC Section 415:

Benefits are subject to limits imposed under Internal Revenue Code (IRC) Section 415. However, no limits are imposed in the valuation of the DB Program until they actually occur, in order to address the potential pay-as-you-go funding needs of the Teachers' Replacement Benefits Program Fund.

IRC Section 401(a)(17):

Compensation is limited under IRC Section 401(a)(17) and assumed to increase at the rate of inflation for valuation purposes. Current 401(a)(17) limits do not apply to members hired before July 1, 1996.

Early Retirement

Eligibility Requirement:

2% at 60 Members: Age 55 with five years of credited service, or age 50 with 30 years of credited service.

2% at 62 Members: Age 55 with five years of credited service.

Benefit Reduction:

2% at 60 Members: A half-percent reduction in the normal retirement allowance for each full month or partial month the member is younger than age 60, plus a reduction of a quarter percent for each full month or partial month the member is younger than age 55.

2% at 62 Members: A half-percent reduction in the normal retirement allowance for each full month or partial month the member is younger than age 62

Late Retirement

Allowance:

2% at 60 Members: Members continue to earn additional service credit after age 60. The 2% age factor increases by 0.033% for each quarter year of age that the member is over age 60, up to a maximum of 2.4%.

2% at 62 Members: Members continue to earn additional service credit after age 62. The 2% age factor increases by 0.033% for each quarter year of age that the member is over age 62, up to a maximum of 2.4%.

The late retirement adjustment does not apply to the 1990 Benefit Structure.

Deferred Retirement

Allowance:

Any time after satisfying the minimum service requirement, a member may cease active service, leave the accumulated contributions on deposit, and later retire upon attaining the minimum age requirement.

Post-Retirement Benefit Adjustment

Benefit Improvement:

2% simple increase on September 1 following the first anniversary of the effective date of the allowance, applied to all continuing allowances.

Disability Allowance - Coverage A

Eligibility Requirement Allowance:*

Member has five years of credited California service and has not attained age 60.

50% of final earned compensation

or

5% of final earned compensation for each year of service credit if over age 45 with less than 10 years of service credit.

Children's Benefit:

10% for each eligible dependent child, up to a maximum of 40% of final earned compensation. The increment for each eligible child continues until the child marries or attains age 22.

**Note that, for valuation purposes, the greater of the service retirement allowance and the disability allowance is valued if the member is eligible for service retirement.*

Offsets:

Allowance, including children's increment, is reduced by disability benefits payable under Social Security, Workers' Compensation and employer-paid income protection plan.

Disability Allowance - Coverage B (including 2% at 62 members)

Eligibility Requirement:

Member has five years of credited California service.

Allowance:*

50% of final compensation, regardless of age and service credit.

Children's Benefit:

10% for each eligible child up to four children, for a maximum of 40% of final compensation. The increment for each child continues until the child attains age 21, regardless of student, marital, or employment status.

Offsets:

The member's allowance is reduced by disability benefits payable under Workers' Compensation.

**Note that, for valuation purposes, the greater of the service retirement allowance and the disability allowance is valued if the member is eligible for service retirement.*

Death Before Retirement - Coverage A

Eligibility Requirement:

One or more years of service credit for active members or members receiving a disability allowance. Ineligible members may receive a lump sum payment of their contributions with interest.

Lump Sum Payment:

\$6,372 lump sum to the designated beneficiary. If there is no surviving spouse, domestic partner, or eligible children, the contributions and interest are paid to the designated beneficiary.

Allowance:

The surviving spouse or domestic partner with eligible children will receive a family benefit of 40% of final compensation for as long as there is at least one eligible child. An additional 10% of final compensation is payable for each eligible child up to a maximum benefit of 90%.

If there is no surviving spouse or domestic partner, an allowance of 10% of final compensation is payable to eligible children up to a maximum benefit of 50%.

When there are no eligible children, the spouse or domestic partner may elect to receive one-half of a 50% joint and survivor allowance projected to age 60, or take a lump sum payment of the remaining contributions and interest.

Death Before Retirement - Coverage B (including 2% at 62 members)

Eligibility:

One or more years of service credit for active members. Ineligible members may receive a lump sum payment of their contributions with interest.

Lump Sum Payment:

\$25,488 lump sum to the designated beneficiary. If there is no surviving spouse or domestic partner, the contributions and interest are paid to the designated beneficiary.

Allowance:

A lump sum payment of the contributions and interest.

or

One-half of a 50% joint and survivor allowance, beginning on the member's 60th birthday, or immediately with a reduction based on the member's and spouse's (or domestic partner's) ages at the time the benefit begins.

If the surviving spouse or domestic partner elects a monthly allowance, each eligible child would receive 10% of the member's final compensation, with a maximum benefit of 50%.

Death After Retirement

Lump Sum Payment:

\$6,372 lump sum to the designated beneficiary.

Annuity Form:

If the retiree had elected one of the joint and survivor options, the retirement allowance would be modified in accordance with the option selected.

If no annuity option had been elected, payment of the unpaid contributions and interest, if any, remaining in the retiree's account will be made.

Termination from the Program

Refund:

Refund of contributions with interest as credited to the member's account to date of withdrawal. A refund terminates membership and all rights to future benefits from the System

Re-entry After Refund:

Former members who re-enter the System may redeposit all amounts previously refunded plus regular interest. The member must earn one year of credited service after re-entry before becoming eligible for System benefits.

Appendix B Actuarial Methods and Assumptions

This section of the report discloses the actuarial methods and assumptions used in this Actuarial Valuation. These methods and assumptions have been chosen on the basis of recent experience of the DB Program and on current expectations as to future economic conditions. The assumptions were reviewed and changed for the June 30, 2019 actuarial valuation as a result of the 2020 Experience Analysis. The assumptions were reviewed for continued reasonableness with the June 30, 2020 valuation; no changes were made. Please refer to the Experience Analysis report dated January 14, 2020 for the data and rationale used in the recommendation of each assumption.

The assumptions are intended to estimate the future experience of the members of the DB Program and of the DB Program itself in areas that affect the projected benefit flow and anticipated investment earnings. Any variations in future experience from that expected from these assumptions will result in corresponding changes in estimated costs of the DB Program's benefits.

Actuarial Cost Method

Entry Age Normal Cost Method:

The accruing costs of all benefits with future accruals are measured by the Entry Age Normal Cost Method. For measurements where no future service is earned (i.e., those with service fixed as of June 30, 2014), the Actuarial Obligation uses the Projected Unit Credit Cost Method.

The projected revenue in excess of the Normal Cost rate is tested for sufficiency to amortize the Unfunded Actuarial Obligation created under this method. Amortization is calculated on a level percentage of salary including general wage inflation but no increase or decrease in the number of active members.

The actuarial present value of projected benefits for each individual member included in the valuation is allocated on a level basis over the earnings of the individual between entry age and assumed exit ages. The portion of this actuarial present value allocated to a valuation year is called the Normal Cost. The Normal Cost is based on the respective benefit structures. For projection purposes, the Normal Cost rate is assumed to increase by a relative 0.12% per year to reflect an assumed gradual increase in life expectancies due to generational mortality. The portion of this actuarial present value not provided for at a valuation date by the actuarial present value of future Normal Costs is called the Actuarial Obligation. The excess of the Actuarial Obligation over the Actuarial Value of Assets is called the Unfunded Actuarial Obligation. If the Actuarial Value of Assets exceeds the Actuarial Obligation, the difference is called the Actuarial Surplus.

Entry Age:

The ages at entry of future active members are assumed to average the same as the entry ages of the present active members they replace. If the number of active members should increase (or decrease), it is further assumed that the average entry age of the larger (or smaller) group will be the same, from an actuarial standpoint, as that of the present active group. Under these assumptions, the Normal Cost Rate will not vary significantly due to the termination of the present active membership, or with an expansion or contraction of the active membership.

Entry age is determined as the age at membership date.

Projected Unit Credit (PUC) Cost Method:

This cost method is used for calculations of the actuarial obligation where there are no future service accruals. Under the PUC method, the actuarial present value of projected benefits for each individual member

included in the valuation is determined based on the current service and salary projected to the age the member leaves active employment. The Normal Cost is \$0 since no benefits are being earned.

Asset Valuation Method

The assets are valued using a method that delays recognition of investment gains or losses. The expected actuarial value is the prior year's actuarial value increased with net cash flow of funds, and all increased with interest during the past year at the expected investment return assumption. One-third of the difference between the expected actuarial value of assets and the Fair Market Value of assets is added to the expected actuarial value of assets to arrive at the Actuarial Value of Assets. The smoothing is applied on the total DB Program assets and then the SBMA is deducted to determine the net actuarial value for funding purposes. The Fair Market Value excludes the liability for "Net Pension and OPEB Obligation," which are pre-recognized administrative expenses, from the Fiduciary Net Position reported for accounting purposes.

Actuarial Assumptions

The Actuarial Standards Board has adopted Actuarial Standard of Practice No. 27, *Selection of Economic Assumptions for Measuring Pension Obligations*. This Standard provides guidance on selecting economic assumptions under defined benefit retirement programs such as the System. In our opinion, the economic assumptions have been developed in accordance with the Standard.

The Actuarial Standards Board has adopted Actuarial Standard of Practice No. 35, *Selection of Demographic and Other Noneconomic Assumptions for Measuring Pension Obligations*. This Standard provides guidance on selecting demographic assumptions under defined benefit retirement programs such as the System. In our opinion, the demographic assumptions have been developed in accordance with the Standard.

The assumptions are intended to estimate the future experience of the members of the DB Program and of the System itself in areas that affect the projected benefit flow and anticipated investment earnings. Any variations in future experience from that expected from these assumptions will result in corresponding changes in estimated costs of the Program's benefits.

The demographic assumptions are listed in Table B.1 and illustrated at selected ages and duration combinations in Tables B.2 – B.7.

Payroll Growth Assumption

The wage growth assumption is equal to 3.50%, and the active population is assumed to be stable. Thus, the DB Program payroll is assumed to increase at a rate of 3.50% each year.

Table B.1
List of Major Valuation Assumptions

Economic Assumptions

| | |
|---|-------|
| Investment Return (net of investment and administrative expenses) | 7.00% |
| Interest on Member Accounts | 3.00% |
| Wage Growth | 3.50% |
| Inflation | 2.75% |

Demographic Assumptions

| | | |
|--------------------------------|--|-----------|
| Mortality ⁽¹⁾ | | |
| Active - Male | 2019 CalSTRS Active Member Male | Table B-2 |
| Active - Female | 2019 CalSTRS Active Member Female | Table B-2 |
| Retired & Beneficiary - Male | 2019 CalSTRS Service Retired Male | Table B-2 |
| Retired & Beneficiary - Female | 2019 CalSTRS Service Retired Female | Table B-2 |
| Disabled - Male | 2019 CalSTRS Disabled Retiree Male | Table B-2 |
| Disabled - Female | 2019 CalSTRS Disabled Retiree Female (select rates in first three years for both Males and Females) | Table B-2 |
| Service Retirement | | Table B-3 |
| Disability Retirement | | Table B-4 |
| Withdrawal | | Table B-5 |
| Probability of Refund | | Table B-6 |
| Merit Salary Increases | | Table B-7 |
| Supplemental Assumptions | | Table B-8 |

1. The mortality assumption uses a generational mortality approach with a base year of 2019. Projected improvement is based on 110% of the MP-2019 Ultimate Projection Scale. The combined base tables and projection scale specified contain a margin for expected future mortality improvement.

Table B.2
Mortality as of June 30, 2020

| Active Members ⁽¹⁾ | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|--------|
| Age | Male | Female |
| 25 | 0.012% | 0.007% |
| 30 | 0.017 | 0.011 |
| 35 | 0.023 | 0.015 |
| 40 | 0.032 | 0.024 |
| 45 | 0.051 | 0.037 |
| 50 | 0.085 | 0.056 |
| 55 | 0.131 | 0.081 |
| 60 | 0.201 | 0.123 |
| 65 | 0.331 | 0.206 |

| Age | Retired Members and Beneficiaries ⁽¹⁾ | | Disabled Members (After Year 3) ⁽¹⁾ | |
|-----|--|--------|--|--------|
| | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| 50 | 0.232% | 0.129% | 1.787% | 1.009% |
| 55 | 0.343 | 0.204 | 2.078 | 1.263 |
| 60 | 0.459 | 0.271 | 2.357 | 1.491 |
| 65 | 0.652 | 0.409 | 2.743 | 1.781 |
| 70 | 1.044 | 0.673 | 3.402 | 2.312 |
| 75 | 1.873 | 1.238 | 4.486 | 3.289 |
| 80 | 3.437 | 2.374 | 6.210 | 4.872 |
| 85 | 6.608 | 4.736 | 9.021 | 7.239 |
| 90 | 12.761 | 9.646 | 13.698 | 10.709 |
| 95 | 21.832 | 18.098 | 20.504 | 15.869 |

Select minimum rates for disability:

| | | |
|---------------------------|------|------|
| First year of disability | 4.0% | 3.0% |
| Second year of disability | 3.5 | 2.5 |
| Third year of disability | 3.0 | 2.0 |

1. The mortality assumption uses a generational mortality approach with a base year of 2019 for the mortality rates. Projected improvement is based on 110% of the MP-2019 Ultimate Projection Scale. The rates shown reflect mortality improvement through June 30, 2020. The projection scale does not apply to the select minimum rates.

Table B.3a
Service Retirement – 2% at 60 Males

| DB Program - 2% at 60 Members - Males | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|---------------------|
| Age | Years of Credited Service | | | | | | | |
| | 5-9 years | 10-14 years | 15-19 years | 20-24 years | 25 years | 26-29 years | 30 years | 31 or More Years |
| 50 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 6.0% | 4.5% |
| 51 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 2.5 |
| 52 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 2.5 |
| 53 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 2.5 |
| 54 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 2.5 |
| 55 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 7.5 | 5.5 |
| 56 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 7.5 | 5.5 |
| 57 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 10.0 | 7.5 |
| 58 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 12.5 | 9.0 |
| 59 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 8.5 | 7.0 | 18.5 | 13.5 |
| 60 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 6.5 | 8.0 | 11.5 | 9.5 | 28.0 | 20.5 |
| 61 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 7.5 | 9.0 | 16.0 | 13.5 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| 62 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 10.5 | 13.0 | 25.5 | 21.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 |
| 63 | 8.5 | 9.5 | 12.5 | 15.5 | 34.5 | 29.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 |
| 64 | 9.0 | 10.5 | 13.5 | 17.0 | 27.5 | 23.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| 65 | 11.0 | 13.0 | 17.0 | 21.0 | 32.0 | 27.0 | 32.5 | 32.5 |
| 66 | 11.0 | 13.0 | 17.0 | 21.0 | 27.5 | 23.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| 67 | 11.0 | 13.0 | 17.0 | 21.0 | 27.5 | 23.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 |
| 68 | 10.0 | 11.0 | 14.5 | 18.0 | 27.5 | 23.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 |
| 69 | 10.0 | 11.0 | 14.5 | 18.0 | 27.5 | 23.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| 70 | 10.0 | 11.0 | 14.5 | 18.0 | 27.5 | 23.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| 71 | 9.0 | 10.0 | 13.0 | 16.5 | 27.5 | 23.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| 72 | 9.0 | 10.0 | 13.0 | 16.5 | 27.5 | 23.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| 73 | 9.0 | 10.0 | 13.0 | 16.5 | 27.5 | 23.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| 74 | 9.0 | 10.0 | 13.0 | 16.5 | 27.5 | 23.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| 75+ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

The assumptions shown above are for retirement from active status. It is assumed that all vested terminated 2% at 60 members retire at age 60.

Table B.3b
Service Retirement – 2% at 60 Females

| DB Program - 2% at 60 Members - Females | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|---------------------|
| Age | Years of Credited Service | | | | | | | |
| | 5-9 years | 10-14 years | 15-19 years | 20-24 years | 25 years | 26-29 years | 30 years | 31 or More Years |
| 50 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 6.0% | 4.5% |
| 51 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 3.0 |
| 52 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 3.0 |
| 53 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 3.0 |
| 54 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 3.0 |
| 55 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 8.5 | 6.5 |
| 56 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 8.5 | 6.5 |
| 57 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 9.5 | 7.5 |
| 58 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 7.0 | 5.5 | 14.5 | 11.0 |
| 59 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 5.5 | 7.0 | 10.0 | 8.0 | 18.0 | 14.0 |
| 60 | 4.0 | 5.5 | 7.5 | 9.5 | 15.5 | 12.5 | 30.5 | 23.0 |
| 61 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 9.5 | 12.0 | 21.5 | 17.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| 62 | 7.0 | 10.0 | 13.0 | 17.0 | 33.0 | 26.0 | 48.0 | 48.0 |
| 63 | 9.0 | 13.0 | 17.0 | 21.5 | 42.0 | 33.5 | 38.0 | 38.0 |
| 64 | 7.5 | 11.0 | 14.5 | 19.0 | 36.0 | 28.5 | 36.0 | 36.0 |
| 65 | 10.0 | 14.5 | 19.0 | 24.5 | 39.0 | 31.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 |
| 66 | 10.0 | 14.5 | 19.0 | 24.5 | 36.0 | 28.5 | 32.0 | 32.0 |
| 67 | 9.0 | 13.0 | 17.0 | 21.5 | 36.0 | 28.5 | 32.0 | 32.0 |
| 68 | 9.0 | 13.0 | 17.0 | 21.5 | 36.0 | 28.5 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| 69 | 9.0 | 13.0 | 17.0 | 21.5 | 36.0 | 28.5 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| 70 | 9.0 | 13.0 | 17.0 | 21.5 | 36.0 | 28.5 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| 71 | 8.5 | 12.0 | 16.0 | 20.5 | 36.0 | 28.5 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| 72 | 8.5 | 12.0 | 16.0 | 20.5 | 36.0 | 28.5 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| 73 | 8.5 | 12.0 | 16.0 | 20.5 | 36.0 | 28.5 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| 74 | 8.5 | 12.0 | 16.0 | 20.5 | 36.0 | 28.5 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| 75+ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

The assumptions shown above are for retirement from active status. It is assumed that all vested terminated 2% at 60 members retire at age 60.

Table B.3c
Service Retirement – 2% at 62 Males

| DB Program - 2% at 62 Members - Males | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Age | Years of Credited Service | | | | | |
| | 5-9 years | 10-14 years | 15-19 years | 20-24 years | 25-29 years | 30 or More Years |
| 50 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| 51 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 52 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 53 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 54 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 55 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 |
| 56 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 3.0 |
| 57 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 3.0 |
| 58 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 4.0 | 5.0 |
| 59 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 5.5 | 6.5 |
| 60 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 7.5 | 9.0 |
| 61 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 5.5 | 7.0 | 10.5 | 12.5 |
| 62 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 10.0 | 16.5 | 20.0 |
| 63 | 6.5 | 7.0 | 9.0 | 11.5 | 17.5 | 21.0 |
| 64 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 10.0 | 13.0 | 18.0 | 21.5 |
| 65 | 11.0 | 13.0 | 17.0 | 21.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 |
| 66 | 11.0 | 13.0 | 17.0 | 21.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| 67 | 13.0 | 15.5 | 20.5 | 25.0 | 28.5 | 28.5 |
| 68 | 10.0 | 11.0 | 14.5 | 18.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| 69 | 10.0 | 11.0 | 14.5 | 18.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| 70 | 10.0 | 11.0 | 14.5 | 18.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| 71 | 9.0 | 10.0 | 13.0 | 16.5 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| 72 | 9.0 | 10.0 | 13.0 | 16.5 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| 73 | 9.0 | 10.0 | 13.0 | 16.5 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| 74 | 9.0 | 10.0 | 13.0 | 16.5 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| 75 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

The assumptions shown above are for retirement from active status. It is assumed that all vested terminated 2% at 62 members retire at age 62.

Table B.3d
Service Retirement – 2% at 62 Females

| DB Program - 2% at 62 Members - Females | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Age | Years of Credited Service | | | | | |
| | 5-9 years | 10-14 years | 15-19 years | 20-24 years | 25-29 years | 30 or More Years |
| 50 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| 51 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 52 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 53 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 54 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 55 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 5.0 |
| 56 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 |
| 57 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 4.0 | 5.0 |
| 58 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 5.5 |
| 59 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 5.5 | 6.5 | 8.0 |
| 60 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 5.5 | 7.0 | 10.0 | 12.0 |
| 61 | 4.0 | 5.5 | 7.0 | 9.0 | 13.5 | 16.0 |
| 62 | 5.5 | 7.5 | 10.0 | 13.0 | 20.5 | 24.5 |
| 63 | 5.5 | 8.0 | 10.5 | 14.0 | 21.5 | 26.0 |
| 64 | 5.5 | 8.5 | 11.0 | 14.5 | 22.5 | 27.0 |
| 65 | 10.0 | 14.5 | 19.0 | 24.5 | 32.5 | 32.5 |
| 66 | 10.0 | 14.5 | 19.0 | 24.5 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| 67 | 11.0 | 15.5 | 20.5 | 26.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 |
| 68 | 9.0 | 13.0 | 17.0 | 21.5 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| 69 | 9.0 | 13.0 | 17.0 | 21.5 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| 70 | 9.0 | 13.0 | 17.0 | 21.5 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| 71 | 8.5 | 12.0 | 16.0 | 20.5 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| 72 | 8.5 | 12.0 | 16.0 | 20.5 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| 73 | 8.5 | 12.0 | 16.0 | 20.5 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| 74 | 8.5 | 12.0 | 16.0 | 20.5 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| 75 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

The assumptions shown above are for retirement from active status. It is assumed that all vested terminated 2% at 62 members retire at age 62.

Table B.3e
Service Retirement – 1990 Benefit Structure Males

| DB Program - 1990 Structure - Males | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Age | Years of Credited Service | | | | | |
| | 5-9 years | 10-14 years | 15-19 years | 20-24 years | 25-29 years | 30 or More Years |
| 50 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| 51 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 52 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 53 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 54 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| 55 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.5 |
| 56 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.5 |
| 57 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.5 |
| 58 | 4.0 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 8.0 |
| 59 | 10.5 | 14.0 | 16.0 | 17.5 | 18.5 | 20.0 |
| 60 | 15.0 | 20.0 | 22.5 | 25.0 | 26.5 | 29.0 |
| 61 | 10.0 | 13.0 | 15.0 | 16.5 | 17.5 | 19.0 |
| 62 | 10.0 | 13.0 | 15.0 | 16.5 | 17.5 | 19.0 |
| 63 | 9.0 | 12.0 | 13.5 | 15.0 | 16.0 | 17.5 |
| 64 | 10.5 | 14.0 | 16.0 | 17.5 | 18.5 | 20.0 |
| 65 | 12.0 | 16.0 | 18.0 | 20.0 | 21.0 | 23.0 |
| 66 | 9.5 | 13.0 | 14.5 | 16.0 | 17.0 | 18.5 |
| 67 | 9.5 | 13.0 | 14.5 | 16.0 | 17.0 | 18.5 |
| 68 | 9.5 | 13.0 | 14.5 | 16.0 | 17.0 | 18.5 |
| 69 | 9.5 | 13.0 | 14.5 | 16.0 | 17.0 | 18.5 |
| 70+ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

The assumptions shown above are for retirement from active status. It is assumed that all vested terminated members retire at age 60 under the 1990 Benefit Structure.

Table B.3f
Service Retirement – 1990 Benefit Structure Females

| DB Program - 1990 Structure - Females | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Age | Years of Credited Service | | | | | |
| | 5-9 years | 10-14 years | 15-19 years | 20-24 years | 25-29 years | 30 or More Years |
| 50 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| 51 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 52 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 53 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 54 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| 55 | 4.0 | 5.5 | 6.5 | 7.0 | 7.5 | 8.0 |
| 56 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 5.0 |
| 57 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 5.0 |
| 58 | 4.0 | 5.5 | 6.5 | 7.0 | 7.5 | 8.0 |
| 59 | 8.5 | 11.0 | 12.5 | 14.0 | 14.5 | 16.0 |
| 60 | 13.0 | 17.5 | 20.0 | 22.0 | 23.0 | 25.5 |
| 61 | 9.0 | 12.0 | 13.5 | 15.0 | 16.0 | 17.5 |
| 62 | 9.0 | 12.0 | 13.5 | 15.0 | 16.0 | 17.5 |
| 63 | 9.0 | 12.0 | 13.5 | 15.0 | 16.0 | 17.5 |
| 64 | 11.0 | 14.5 | 16.0 | 18.0 | 19.0 | 20.5 |
| 65 | 11.0 | 14.5 | 16.0 | 18.0 | 19.0 | 20.5 |
| 66 | 11.0 | 14.5 | 16.0 | 18.0 | 19.0 | 20.5 |
| 67 | 11.0 | 14.5 | 16.0 | 18.0 | 19.0 | 20.5 |
| 68 | 9.5 | 13.0 | 14.5 | 16.0 | 17.0 | 18.5 |
| 69 | 9.5 | 13.0 | 14.5 | 16.0 | 17.0 | 18.5 |
| 70+ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

The assumptions shown above are for retirement from active status. It is assumed that all vested terminated members retire at age 60 under the 1990 Benefit Structure.

**Table B.4
 Disability Retirement**

| Coverage A | | |
|------------|--------|--------|
| Age | Male | Female |
| 25 | 0.015% | 0.015% |
| 30 | 0.025 | 0.025 |
| 35 | 0.040 | 0.050 |
| 40 | 0.065 | 0.075 |
| 45 | 0.090 | 0.090 |
| 50 | 0.130 | 0.180 |
| 55 | 0.170 | 0.225 |

| Coverage B | | |
|------------|--------|--------|
| Age | Male | Female |
| 25 | 0.010% | 0.015% |
| 30 | 0.015 | 0.015 |
| 35 | 0.025 | 0.030 |
| 40 | 0.050 | 0.055 |
| 45 | 0.085 | 0.095 |
| 50 | 0.125 | 0.165 |
| 55 | 0.235 | 0.285 |
| 60 | 0.345 | 0.360 |
| 65 | 0.380 | 0.380 |
| 70 | 0.380 | 0.380 |

Table B.5
Other Terminations of Employment (Withdrawal)

| Year ⁽¹⁾ | Male | Female |
|---------------------|--------|--------|
| 0 | 12.25% | 11.25% |
| 1 | 8.50 | 7.00 |
| 2 | 6.75 | 5.50 |
| 3 | 5.40 | 4.25 |
| 4 | 3.75 | 3.25 |
| 5 | 3.10 | 2.70 |
| 10 | 1.65 | 1.50 |
| 15 | 1.05 | 1.05 |
| 20 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| 25 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| 30 | 0.45 | 0.40 |

1. Based on elapsed service since membership date.

Table B.6
Probability of Refund

| Year ⁽¹⁾ | Entry Ages | | | | | |
|---------------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|
| | Under 25 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45 and Up |
| Under 5 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |
| 5 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 54 | 50 | 45 |
| 10 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 34 | 25 | |
| 15 | 30 | 30 | 28 | 17 | | |
| 20 | 24 | 22 | 18 | | | |
| 25 | 14 | 12 | | | | |
| 30 | 5 | | | | | |

1. Assumption applied at time of assumed termination based on credited service. Members who terminate with less than five years of credited service are assumed to have a 100% probability of refund.

Table B.7
Merit Salary Increases⁽¹⁾

| Year ⁽²⁾ | Entry Age - Annual Increase in Salaries Due to Merit | | | | | |
|---------------------|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| | Under 25 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45 & up |
| 0 | 6.4% | 5.8% | 5.3% | 4.8% | 4.5% | 3.7% |
| 1 | 6.4 | 5.8 | 5.3 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 3.7 |
| 2 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 4.3 | 3.5 |
| 3 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 4.8 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 3.3 |
| 4 | 5.4 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 3.0 |
| 5 | 5.2 | 4.8 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 2.8 |
| 10 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 1.8 |
| 15 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.9 |
| 20 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| 25 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.6 | |
| 30 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.5 | | |
| 35 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | | | |
| 40 | 0.8 | 0.7 | | | | |
| 45 | 0.8 | | | | | |

1. The total expected increase in salary includes both merit (shown above) and the general wage increase assumption of 3.50% per annum. The total result is compounded rather than additive. For example, the total assumed increase for service less than one year (Year 0 above) is 10.124% (1.064 x 1.035) for members in the entry age under 25 group.

2. Based on elapsed service since membership date.

Table B.8
Supplemental Assumptions

| PEPRA Coverage | All members hired on or after the valuation date are assumed to be subject to the provisions of PEPRA. | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|-----------------|----------------------------|------|------|--------|------|
| Unused Sick Leave | Credited Service is increased by 1.7%. | | | | | | |
| Optional Forms | Active and Inactive: Based on single life annuity assumed. Retirees and Beneficiaries: Based on optional form in data. | | | | | | |
| Probability of Marriage | Male: 85% Female: 65% | | | | | | |
| | Male spouses are assumed to be three years older than female spouses. | | | | | | |
| Children | Married members under age 60 are assumed to have the number of children shown in the following table. Children are assumed to receive benefits until the member would have turned age 60. | | | | | | |
| | <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr style="background-color: #004a7c; color: white;"> <th>Member's Gender</th> <th>Assumed Number of Children</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Male</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.65</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Female</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.50</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | Member's Gender | Assumed Number of Children | Male | 0.65 | Female | 0.50 |
| Member's Gender | Assumed Number of Children | | | | | | |
| Male | 0.65 | | | | | | |
| Female | 0.50 | | | | | | |
| Assumed Offsets | No offsets to disability and survivor benefits are assumed. | | | | | | |

Valuation of Inactive Members

Salary and benefit information is not available on the valuation data provided for inactive members. Therefore, we estimate the projected retirement benefits for inactive members as follows:

- 1) The inactive member's annualized pay rate information is retrieved from when they were active by matching with a database of active valuation data back to 2001 and taking the highest annualized pay rate for the member during the period.
- 2) For those members who cannot be located on the active database (because they terminated prior to 2001 or another reason), their annualized pay rate is estimated based on 120% of the average annualized pay rate for all active members in the year the member terminated.
- 3) The annualized pay rate amount from the prior steps is treated as the member's final compensation with two additional adjustments.
 - a. An additional load of 5% for all inactive members is applied to their salary amount to account for potential post-termination increases in salary due to factors such as reciprocity.
 - b. Final compensation is increased by an additional 4.3% if the member has 25 or more years of credited service.
- 4) Based on the salary data described above and the birth date and credited service from the current year's valuation data, the projected benefit amount is calculated and valued as a deferred service retirement.
- 5) Non-vested members who have been inactive for less than two years are assumed to take an immediate refund of their member contributions.

Appendix C Valuation Data

The membership data for this actuarial valuation was supplied by CalSTRS. Although we did not audit this data, we compared the data for this and the prior valuation and tested for reasonableness, as well as for consistency with prior periodic reports from the CalSTRS staff. Based on these tests, we believe the data to be sufficiently accurate for the purposes of this valuation. Since the valuation results are dependent on the integrity of the data supplied, the results can be expected to differ if the underlying data is incomplete or missing. It should be noted that if any data or other information is inaccurate or incomplete, our calculations may need to be revised.

Note that CalSTRS provides two files with benefit recipients. The benefit valuation file includes all service retirees, disabled retirees, and most surviving beneficiaries. The family benefit valuation file includes other survivors, including child beneficiaries and survivors deferring their benefit. Information from the family benefit valuation file is included with the survivor information shown in this section, except for average ages and benefit amounts.

Tables C.1-C.6 summarize the census data used in this valuation.

Table C.1
Summary of Statistical Information

| | June 30, 2020 | June 30, 2019 |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Number of Members | | |
| Active Members ⁽¹⁾ | 448,419 | 451,429 |
| Inactive Members ⁽¹⁾ | 213,056 | 204,593 |
| Retirees and Beneficiaries | | |
| Service Retirees | 276,070 | 270,835 |
| Disabled Retirees | 10,095 | 10,152 |
| Survivors | <u>28,353</u> | <u>27,652</u> |
| Total Benefit Recipients | 314,518 | 308,639 |
| Total Membership in Valuation | 975,993 | 964,661 |

Active Member Statistics

| | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Earned Salaries ⁽²⁾ | \$ 33,811 million | \$ 32,897 million |
| Average Earned Salary | \$ 75,401 | \$ 72,872 |
| Average Age | 45.3 years | 45.2 years |
| Average Service | 12.4 years | 12.2 years |

1. Some active members were reported with no Annualized Pay Rate, in which case their liabilities, if any, were included with inactive members.

2. Total of prior year Earned Salaries for all active members. This may differ from the payroll amounts shown elsewhere which may include other adjustments.

Retired Member Statistics⁽³⁾

| | June 30, 2020 | June 30, 2019 |
|------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Average Age | | |
| Service Retiree | 74.1 | 73.9 |
| Disabled Retiree | 66.9 | 66.5 |
| Survivors | 77.8 | 77.7 |
| All Benefit Recipients | 74.2 | 73.9 |
| Average Monthly Benefit | | |
| Service Retirees | \$ 4,279 | \$ 4,184 |
| Disabled Retirees | 2,971 | 2,901 |
| Survivors | 2,797 | 2,714 |
| All Benefit Recipients | \$ 4,119 | \$ 4,026 |

3. Average retiree ages shown here are current ages; average retiree ages shown elsewhere in this Appendix are age at retirement. Survivors from family benefit valuation file are excluded from averages. Average Monthly Benefit amounts exclude the supplemental benefit.

Inactive Member Statistics

| | June 30, 2020 | June 30, 2019 |
|-------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Average Age | 50.0 | 49.8 |
| Average Account Balance | \$ 13,257 | \$ 12,671 |

Table C.1
Summary of Statistical Information
 (Continued)

| Active Member Statistics by Benefit Formula ⁽¹⁾ | 2% at 60 Members | 2% at 62 Members |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Number | 319,787 | 128,632 |
| Earned Salaries ⁽²⁾ | \$ 27,432 million | \$ 6,379 million |
| Average Earned Salary | \$ 85,783 | \$ 49,591 |
| Average Age | 49.3 years | 35.3 years |
| Average Service | 16.2 years | 2.9 years |

| Retired Member Statistics by Benefit Structure⁽³⁾ | 1990 Benefit | Total Benefit |
|---|---------------------|----------------------|
| Average Monthly Benefit | | |
| Service Retirees | \$ 3,530 | \$ 4,279 |
| Disabled Retirees | 2,941 | 2,971 |
| Survivors | 2,435 | 2,797 |
| All Benefit Recipients | \$ 3,424 | \$ 4,119 |

| | Pre-2014 | Total |
|--|-----------------|--------------|
| Pre-2014 Statistics | | |
| Active Member Average Service | 7.7 years | 12.4 years |
| Inactive Member Average Account Balance | \$ 10,155 | \$ 13,257 |
| Average Monthly Benefit for All Benefit Recipients | \$ 4,013 | \$ 4,119 |

1. Some active members were reported with no Annualized Pay Rate, in which case their liabilities, if any, were included with inactive members.

2. Total of prior year Earned Salaries for all active members. This differs from the payroll amounts shown elsewhere in this report which reflect annualized amounts for members who were hired part way through the prior year.

3. Milliman estimates the 1990 Benefit based on CalSTRS-provided data.

Table C.2
Age and Service Distribution – Active Male Members

| Male | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Years of Service | | | | | | |
| Age | Greater than 1 | | | | | |
| | 1 & Under | & Under 5 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 |
| Less than 25 | 1,008 | 314 | - | - | - | - |
| 25 to 30 | 2,970 | 5,468 | 755 | - | - | - |
| 30 to 35 | 1,935 | 5,696 | 4,666 | 388 | - | - |
| 35 to 40 | 1,295 | 3,895 | 4,775 | 4,361 | 773 | - |
| 40 to 45 | 1,049 | 2,749 | 3,143 | 4,228 | 5,652 | 926 |
| 45 to 50 | 818 | 2,172 | 2,065 | 2,714 | 5,356 | 6,787 |
| 50 to 55 | 698 | 1,665 | 1,584 | 1,830 | 3,320 | 5,876 |
| 55 to 60 | 549 | 1,301 | 1,220 | 1,244 | 2,119 | 3,243 |
| 60 to 65 | 361 | 967 | 794 | 929 | 1,363 | 1,778 |
| 65 to 70 | 185 | 586 | 496 | 450 | 598 | 636 |
| 70 and over | 140 | 459 | 308 | 286 | 266 | 243 |
| Total | 11,008 | 25,272 | 19,806 | 16,430 | 19,447 | 19,489 |

| Age | Years of Service | | | | | Total |
|---------------------|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|--------------|
| | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45 & Over | |
| Less than 25 | - | - | - | - | - | 1,322 |
| 25 to 30 | - | - | - | - | - | 9,193 |
| 30 to 35 | - | - | - | - | - | 12,685 |
| 35 to 40 | - | - | - | - | - | 15,099 |
| 40 to 45 | 6 | - | - | - | - | 17,753 |
| 45 to 50 | 473 | 1 | - | - | - | 20,386 |
| 50 to 55 | 3,460 | 287 | 3 | - | - | 18,723 |
| 55 to 60 | 2,889 | 2,184 | 143 | - | - | 14,892 |
| 60 to 65 | 1,222 | 1,063 | 401 | 16 | - | 8,894 |
| 65 to 70 | 370 | 222 | 101 | 64 | 6 | 3,714 |
| 70 and over | 138 | 119 | 64 | 42 | 39 | 2,104 |
| Total | 8,558 | 3,876 | 712 | 122 | 45 | 124,765 |

Table C.3
Age and Service Distribution – Active Female Members

| Female | | | | | | |
|--------------|------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Age | Years of Service | | | | | |
| | 1 & Under | Greater than 1 | | | | |
| | | & Under 5 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 |
| Less than 25 | 3,760 | 1,587 | - | - | - | - |
| 25 to 30 | 7,334 | 18,613 | 3,264 | - | - | - |
| 30 to 35 | 4,057 | 14,473 | 16,032 | 1,459 | 1 | - |
| 35 to 40 | 3,085 | 9,356 | 13,141 | 14,840 | 2,696 | 4 |
| 40 to 45 | 2,540 | 7,166 | 8,417 | 12,225 | 17,005 | 2,652 |
| 45 to 50 | 1,867 | 5,352 | 6,392 | 7,604 | 13,097 | 15,439 |
| 50 to 55 | 1,391 | 4,042 | 4,799 | 5,665 | 8,111 | 11,506 |
| 55 to 60 | 927 | 2,753 | 3,252 | 3,971 | 5,840 | 7,071 |
| 60 to 65 | 498 | 1,607 | 2,026 | 2,469 | 3,642 | 4,298 |
| 65 to 70 | 262 | 820 | 865 | 912 | 1,351 | 1,465 |
| 70 and over | 134 | 469 | 463 | 351 | 442 | 448 |
| Total | 25,855 | 66,238 | 58,651 | 49,496 | 52,185 | 42,883 |

| Age | Years of Service | | | | | Total |
|--------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|------------|-----------|----------------|
| | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45 & Over | |
| Less than 25 | - | - | - | - | - | 5,347 |
| 25 to 30 | - | - | - | - | - | 29,211 |
| 30 to 35 | - | - | - | - | - | 36,022 |
| 35 to 40 | - | - | - | - | - | 43,122 |
| 40 to 45 | 7 | - | - | - | - | 50,012 |
| 45 to 50 | 1,092 | 4 | - | - | - | 50,847 |
| 50 to 55 | 7,308 | 798 | 7 | - | - | 43,627 |
| 55 to 60 | 5,810 | 5,140 | 409 | 1 | - | 35,174 |
| 60 to 65 | 2,768 | 1,872 | 905 | 26 | - | 20,111 |
| 65 to 70 | 782 | 438 | 188 | 108 | 16 | 7,207 |
| 70 and over | 249 | 193 | 78 | 67 | 80 | 2,974 |
| Total | 18,016 | 8,445 | 1,587 | 202 | 96 | 323,654 |

Table C.4
Age and Service Distribution – All Active Members

| Total | | | | | | |
|------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Years of Service | | | | | | |
| Age | 1 & Under | Greater than 1 & Under 5 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 |
| Less than 25 | 4,768 | 1,901 | - | - | - | - |
| 25 to 30 | 10,304 | 24,081 | 4,019 | - | - | - |
| 30 to 35 | 5,992 | 20,169 | 20,698 | 1,847 | 1 | - |
| 35 to 40 | 4,380 | 13,251 | 17,916 | 19,201 | 3,469 | 4 |
| 40 to 45 | 3,589 | 9,915 | 11,560 | 16,453 | 22,657 | 3,578 |
| 45 to 50 | 2,685 | 7,524 | 8,457 | 10,318 | 18,453 | 22,226 |
| 50 to 55 | 2,089 | 5,707 | 6,383 | 7,495 | 11,431 | 17,382 |
| 55 to 60 | 1,476 | 4,054 | 4,472 | 5,215 | 7,959 | 10,314 |
| 60 to 65 | 859 | 2,574 | 2,820 | 3,398 | 5,005 | 6,076 |
| 65 to 70 | 447 | 1,406 | 1,361 | 1,362 | 1,949 | 2,101 |
| 70 and over | 274 | 928 | 771 | 637 | 708 | 691 |
| Total | 36,863 | 91,510 | 78,457 | 65,926 | 71,632 | 62,372 |

| Years of Service | | | | | | |
|------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| Age | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45 & Over | Total |
| Less than 25 | - | - | - | - | - | 6,669 |
| 25 to 30 | - | - | - | - | - | 38,404 |
| 30 to 35 | - | - | - | - | - | 48,707 |
| 35 to 40 | - | - | - | - | - | 58,221 |
| 40 to 45 | 13 | - | - | - | - | 67,765 |
| 45 to 50 | 1,565 | 5 | - | - | - | 71,233 |
| 50 to 55 | 10,768 | 1,085 | 10 | - | - | 62,350 |
| 55 to 60 | 8,699 | 7,324 | 552 | 1 | - | 50,066 |
| 60 to 65 | 3,990 | 2,935 | 1,306 | 42 | - | 29,005 |
| 65 to 70 | 1,152 | 660 | 289 | 172 | 22 | 10,921 |
| 70 and over | 387 | 312 | 142 | 109 | 119 | 5,078 |
| Total | 26,574 | 12,321 | 2,299 | 324 | 141 | 448,419 |

**Table C.5
 Inactive Members**

| Fiscal Year Ending June 30 | Number Vested | Total Number | Male % of Total | Female % of Total |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|
| 2006 | 26,733 | 133,601 | 28.8% | 71.2% |
| 2007 | 28,922 | 141,450 | 28.9 | 71.1 |
| 2008 | 30,370 | 147,997 | 29.0 | 71.0 |
| 2009 | 31,661 | 156,207 | 29.0 | 71.0 |
| 2010 | 33,036 | 166,976 | 29.2 | 70.8 |
| 2011 | 33,976 | 173,719 | 29.1 | 70.9 |
| 2012 | 34,848 | 178,655 | 29.1 | 70.9 |
| 2013 | 35,883 | 182,576 | 29.1 | 70.9 |
| 2014 | 36,344 | 182,815 | 29.2 | 70.8 |
| 2015 | 36,953 | 184,396 | 29.3 | 70.7 |
| 2016 | 38,014 | 187,722 | 29.4 | 70.6 |
| 2017 | 38,955 | 192,601 | 29.5 | 70.5 |
| 2018 | 39,942 | 198,058 | 29.6 | 70.4 |
| 2019 | 41,192 | 204,593 | 29.6 | 70.4 |
| 2020 | 42,835 | 213,056 | 29.7 | 70.3 |

| Fiscal Year Ending June 30 | Average Account on Deposit | Average Age | Average Service Credit | Average Years Inactive |
|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 2006 | \$12,282 | 45.9 | 2.9 | 7.5 |
| 2007 | 12,440 | 46.0 | 3.0 | 7.7 |
| 2008 | 12,698 | 46.3 | 2.9 | 8.0 |
| 2009 | 12,717 | 46.5 | 2.9 | 8.2 |
| 2010 | 12,334 | 46.7 | 2.8 | 8.3 |
| 2011 | 12,035 | 46.8 | 2.8 | 8.6 |
| 2012 | 11,818 | 47.2 | 2.8 | 8.9 |
| 2013 | 11,771 | 47.6 | 2.8 | 9.4 |
| 2014 | 11,815 | 48.1 | 2.8 | 9.9 |
| 2015 | 11,825 | 48.7 | 2.9 | 10.4 |
| 2016 | 11,953 | 49.1 | 2.9 | 10.8 |
| 2017 | 12,072 | 49.4 | 2.9 | 11.1 |
| 2018 | 12,257 | 49.7 | 2.9 | 11.4 |
| 2019 | 12,671 | 49.8 | 2.9 | 11.6 |
| 2020 | 13,257 | 50.0 | 3.0 | 11.7 |

Table C.6
Members Retired for Service

| Fiscal Year Ending June 30 | Total | Male % of Total | Female % of Total |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|
| 2006 | 181,833 | 36.5% | 63.5% |
| 2007 | 188,659 | 36.1 | 63.9 |
| 2008 | 195,960 | 35.7 | 64.3 |
| 2009 | 203,649 | 35.3 | 64.7 |
| 2010 | 213,952 | 34.9 | 65.1 |
| 2011 | 222,222 | 34.4 | 65.6 |
| 2012 | 230,278 | 34.0 | 66.0 |
| 2013 | 236,487 | 33.6 | 66.4 |
| 2014 | 241,920 | 33.1 | 66.9 |
| 2015 | 247,353 | 32.7 | 67.3 |
| 2016 | 252,672 | 32.3 | 67.7 |
| 2017 | 258,550 | 31.9 | 68.1 |
| 2018 | 264,780 | 31.5 | 68.5 |
| 2019 | 270,835 | 31.1 | 68.9 |
| 2020 | 276,070 | 30.8 | 69.2 |

| Fiscal Year Ending June 30 | Average Age at Retirement | Average Years of Service Credit | Final Average Compensation | Average Current Allowance Payable |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| 2006 | 60.8 | 26.2 | \$4,264 | \$2,741 |
| 2007 | 60.8 | 26.3 | 4,437 | 2,878 |
| 2008 | 60.8 | 26.3 | 4,620 | 3,021 |
| 2009 | 60.8 | 26.4 | 4,798 | 3,164 |
| 2010 | 60.9 | 26.3 | 4,983 | 3,302 |
| 2011 | 61.0 | 26.3 | 5,138 | 3,417 |
| 2012 | 61.1 | 26.2 | 5,271 | 3,517 |
| 2013 | 61.1 | 26.1 | 5,385 | 3,609 |
| 2014 | 61.2 | 26.0 | 5,487 | 3,694 |
| 2015 | 61.3 | 25.9 | 5,597 | 3,786 |
| 2016 | 61.3 | 25.8 | 5,716 | 3,884 |
| 2017 | 61.4 | 25.7 | 5,846 | 3,985 |
| 2018 | 61.5 | 25.6 | 5,981 | 4,086 |
| 2019 | 61.6 | 25.6 | 6,110 | 4,184 |
| 2020 | 61.7 | 25.5 | 6,229 | 4,279 |

Appendix D Glossary

The following definitions are largely excerpts from a list adopted by the major actuarial organizations in the United States. In some cases, the definitions have been modified for specific applicability to the CalSTRS DB Program. Defined terms are capitalized throughout this Appendix.

Actuarial Assumptions

Assumptions as to the occurrence of future events affecting pension costs, such as mortality, withdrawal, disablement and retirement, changes in compensation, rates of investment earnings and asset appreciation or depreciation, and procedures used to determine other relevant items.

Actuarial Cost Method

A procedure for determining the Actuarial Present Value of pension plan benefits and expenses and for developing an actuarially equivalent allocation of such value to time periods, usually in the form of a Normal Cost and an Actuarial Obligation.

Actuarial Equivalent

Of equal Actuarial Present Value, determined as of a given date with each value based on the same set of Actuarial Assumptions.

Actuarial Gain or Loss

A measure of the difference between actual experience and that expected based upon a set of Actuarial Assumptions during the period between two actuarial valuation dates, as determined in accordance with a particular Actuarial Cost Method.

Actuarial Obligation

That portion, as determined by a particular Actuarial Cost Method, of the Actuarial Present Value of pension plan benefits and expenses which is not provided for by future Normal Costs.

Actuarial Present Value

The value of an amount or series of amounts payable or receivable at various times, determined as of a given date by the application of a particular set of Actuarial Assumptions.

Actuarial Surplus

The excess, if any, of the Actuarial Value of Assets over the Actuarial Obligation.

Actuarial Valuation

The determination, as of a Valuation Date, of the Normal Cost, Actuarial Obligation, Actuarial Value of Assets, and related Actuarial Present Values for a pension plan.

Actuarial Value of Assets

The value of cash, investments and other property belonging to a pension plan, as used by the actuary for the purpose of an actuarial valuation.

Entry Age Cost Method

An Actuarial Cost Method under which the Actuarial Present Value of Projected Benefits of each individual included in the actuarial valuation is allocated on a level basis over the earnings of the individual between entry age and assumed exit ages. The portion of this Actuarial Present Value allocated to a valuation year is called the Normal Cost. The portion of this Actuarial Present Value not provided for at a Valuation Date by the Actuarial Present Value of future Normal Costs is called the Actuarial Obligation.

Normal Cost

The portion of the Actuarial Present Value of Projected Benefits which is allocated to a valuation year by the Actuarial Cost Method.

Projected Unit Credit Cost Method

An Actuarial Cost Method under which the Actuarial Obligation is equal to the portion of the Actuarial Present Value of Projected Benefits of each individual included in the actuarial valuation is attributable to service credit that has been earned to date (past service). Since this cost method is only used in this valuation for cases where the service is fixed as of June 30, 2014, the Actuarial Obligation is equal to the portion of the Actuarial Present Value of Projected Benefits for the DB Program, and there is no Normal Cost.

Unfunded Actuarial Obligation

The excess, if any, of the Actuarial Obligation over the Actuarial Value of Assets.

Valuation Date

June 30, 2020.