

Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority; Appropriations; General Obligation

Primary Credit Analyst:

Oscar Padilla, Dallas + 1 (214) 871 1405; oscar.padilla@spglobal.com

Secondary Contact:

Joseph J Pezzimenti, New York + 1 (212) 438 2038; joseph.pezzimenti@spglobal.com

Table Of Contents

Credit Highlights

Outlook

Credit Opinion

Related Research

Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority; Appropriations; General Obligation

Credit Profile

US\$750.0 mil sec lien dedicated rev bnds (Sustainability Bnds- Climate Bond Certified) ser 2023A due 07/15/2043

Long Term Rating

AA/Stable

New

Credit Highlights

- S&P Global Ratings assigned its 'AA' rating to the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority's (WMATA) series 2023A second-lien dedicated revenue bonds.
- S&P Global Ratings also affirmed its 'AA' rating on parity WMATA dedicated revenue bonds.
- The outlook is stable.

Security

The rating reflects our view of the weakest credit quality of the three dedicated revenue funding commitments on a joint and several basis as per our multiple revenue stream analysis because each revenue stream is necessary to pay debt service on the bonds. We analyzed each commitment under our "Issue Credit Ratings Linked To U.S. Public Finance Obligors' Creditworthiness" criteria (published Nov. 20, 2019), which incorporate the general credit quality, or general obligation (GO) rating, of the three jurisdictions and our view of the appropriation risk of each funding commitment.

Although the bonds are subordinate to senior-lien obligations outstanding, our assessment of the obligation's relationship to the respective participating entities is captured in our overall assessment of appropriation risk and accordingly, we do not distinguish the bond's position in the waterfall of funds.

Dedicated capital funding revenues annually appropriated by the District of Columbia, the State of Maryland, and the Commonwealth of Virginia secure the bonds. The revenues identified by the three jurisdictions are defined within individual funding commitments to WMATA. However, each separate revenue source is not specifically pledged for debt service, only the amounts annually appropriated and then deposited into the WMATA revenue fund, after payment on WMATA's pre-2018 bonds if necessary, are pledged for debt service on these bonds. Once the dedicated capital funding revenues are deposited into the pledged revenue fund under the resolution, they must be used first for debt service. The continued funding from all three jurisdictions is also subject to renewal of the grant funding agreements by each of the jurisdictions and WMATA continuing to meet various conditions while receiving the funding. Should one entity reduce or diminish its annual appropriation pursuant to its funding agreement, each of other entities has the right, but not the obligation, reduce its annual appropriation proportionately. We currently rate the GO debt of District of Columbia (AA+/Stable), Maryland (AAA/Stable), and Virginia (AAA/Stable).

Credit overview

When considering the three funding commitments, we assess each as having strong obligor involvement and strong political and administrative risk assessments due to the governance structure of WMATA and the essentiality of WMATA's transit services to the three entities' economies. In our opinion, each of the three entities has a history of supporting the authority's transportation capital projects. WMATA has 16 board members, made up of eight voting and eight alternates; and each of the District of Columbia, Maryland, and Virginia, has two voting members and two alternates. The federal government, through the Secretary of Transportation, also has two voting members and two alternates.

Annual dedicated capital funding totals an estimated \$470 million and consists of a minimum \$178.5 million from a portion of the District of Columbia's sales tax revenues with a provision allowing for a 3% annual increase, \$167 million from the Maryland Highway Trust Fund established to support the Maryland Department of Transportation, and nonrestricted funds derived from revenues of the Northern Virginia Transportation District (estimated at \$124.5 million for fiscal 2023).

We view Virginia's intended payment source as weak due to miscellaneous narrow regional revenue sources of varying volatility dedicated to WMATA under the grant agreement and pledged to these bonds. The nonrestricted revenues dedicated to WMATA under the grant agreement and pledged to these bonds include:

- Local transportation funds in the annual amount of \$27.12 million;
- A regional capital fee of 10 cents for every \$100 of value on transfer or conveyance of property located within member cities and counties of the Northern Virginia Transportation Authority (estimated to generate \$42.7 million);
- A 3% transient occupancy tax within cities or counties located in the Northern Virginia Transportation District (estimated to generate \$26.8 million); and
- A wholesale fuel sales tax imposed and collected in the Northern Virginia Transportation District (estimated to generate \$22.2 million).

Actual revenue collections are economically sensitive, in our view. For example, annual nonrestricted revenue across all sources totaled \$115 million in fiscal 2021 and \$117 million in fiscal 2022, which was short of an estimated annual \$124.5 million due to weaker-than-expected transient occupancy taxes. Under its dedicated funding grant agreement, Virginia remits 1/12 of its annual nonrestricted account contributions monthly to the clearing agent. However, certain recordation and vehicle rental tax contributions that flow to a restricted revenue account are available only to fund capital and are not available for debt service on these bonds.

We view the District of Columbia's intended payment source from its broad, districtwide general operating sales tax revenue as moderate. Under the district's dedicated funding grant agreement, which is subject to renewal every six years, the funding commitment is an appropriation of \$178.5 million from general sales tax collections, increasing 3% annually. A 6% sales tax rate is levied on a broad base across the district's boundaries that generated almost \$1.7 billion in fiscal 2022. While other obligations, including debt service on other bonds and general fund expenditures, are also paid from these revenues, we do not consider there to be any sizable competing claims. The district remits the annual grant semiannually to the clearing agent.

We view Maryland's intended payment source from its statewide transportation trust fund (TTF) as moderate. Under its dedicated funding grant agreement, Maryland appropriates an annual grant of at least \$167 million from its TTF, consisting of various statewide transportation-related revenues used to finance its Department of Transportation and other entities. Although the TTF also pays other obligations, including debt service on other bonds, we believe its annual receipts (\$3.6 billion from all sources in fiscal 2022) are significant compared with the annual grant amount and are sufficient to cover competing claims. Maryland remits quarterly grant payments to the clearing agent.

In our opinion, late-budget adoption risk is mitigated by timing of revenues remitted to the clearing agent, including the first quarterly grant payment by Maryland in July appropriated in the previous year, for debt service paid on July 15. The trustee transfers revenues from the pledged revenue fund to the debt service fund until sufficient amounts have been collected to equal the next debt service payment, before excess funds are distributed to WMATA for other capital projects.

Environmental, social, and governance

We believe overall environmental, governance, and social (ESG) risks for the dedicated revenue bonds are neutral in our credit rating analysis as reflected for each of the jurisdictions, District of Columbia, Maryland, and Virginia. Governance structure is not a current risk in our view, despite the multijurisdictional aspect and reciprocity provisions of the funding agreements. Although the region has experienced increased occurrences of extreme weather, we believe environmental risks are neutral, as all three jurisdictions have implemented flood risk management and mitigation and resiliency initiatives.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our view of the strong relationship, long-term funding commitments, and ongoing essentiality of WMATA services in the region, as well as our stable outlooks on the District of Columbia, Maryland, and Virginia.

Downside scenario

The rating on the bonds is linked to the general creditworthiness of the District of Columbia, Maryland, and Virginia. While we do not expect it, a downgrade or outlook revision on any of these three jurisdictions could result in a lower rating on these bonds. Furthermore, if our assessment of the underlying appropriated revenues and dedicated capital funding sources to WMATA were to weaken, we could lower the rating. A change in our assessment would likely occur based on lack of willingness or political risk of future appropriations or diminishing strength of the underlying credit fundamentals of the three dedicated capital funding sources.

Upside scenario

The rating on the bonds is limited by our view of the weakest funding commitment of appropriated dedicated capital funding to WMATA based on our assessment of Virginia's and District of Columbia's funding commitments. Although we do not expect it, if our view of Virginia's dedicated nonrestricted revenues to its WMATA capital fund and our assessment of the general creditworthiness of the District of Columbia improved, we could raise the rating on these bonds.

Credit Opinion

Flow of funds and structure

Within the bond resolutions, WMATA has included a covenant that during the life of the bonds it will direct each jurisdiction to pay its respective dedicated capital funding revenues to a clearing account agent into a clearing account before deposit with the trustee, and that revenues not be sent directly to WMATA. Revenues first received by the clearing agent are sent to the trustee and unconditionally obligated to pay for "pre-2018 bonds" and are not considered part of the trust estate securing these bonds. The pre-2018 bonds have a maximum annual debt service of \$55 million and mature in fiscal 2042. Following maturity of the pre-2018 bonds, dedicated revenues would flow straight to the trustee from the clearing agent. The pre-2018 obligations also carry a gross revenue pledge and receive payments from the participating jurisdictions under separate capital funding agreements and are not solely intended to be paid by dedicated capital funding under the grant agreements.

Pursuant to the terms of the senior lien bond resolution, WMATA is prohibited from creating a lien on dedicated capital revenues that is superior to the pledge that secures these dedicated revenue bonds with the exception of the claim that exists for the pre-2018 bonds. However, the authority might incur additional parity debt, provided that certain conditions set forth in the bond resolution are satisfied. Senior-lien obligations have an additional bonds test (ABT) of 4x coverage on dedicated capital funding bonds and would exclude pre-2018 obligations in the ABT calculation to the extent the authority's gross revenues are available to pay debt service on the series 2017A-B bonds. If gross revenues are insufficient to cover debt service on the pre-2018 bonds, dedicated capital funding would first be used to pay debt service before flowing to the dedicated capital funding bonds. Bonds issued under the second lien master resolution are payable solely from and secured solely by the second lien trust estate, subject to the terms and conditions of the second lien resolution, which fall directly under the senior-lien obligations. Both resolutions are materially similar in substance, distinguishable only by their respective ABTs, which for second-lien obligations are 1.5x and the lien position.

Given we apply our "Issue Credit Ratings Linked To U.S. Public Finance Obligors' Creditworthiness" criteria to evaluate the authority's dedicated revenue bonds, the lien position and ABT do not change our view of the appropriation risk evaluated under our assessment of the obligation's relationship to the respective participating entities. To the extent additional bonding were to alter this view, we could change our overall assessment of appropriation risk.

WMATA was established by Congress under an interstate compact and no single state's statutes govern the authority, making it ineligible to file for Chapter 9 bankruptcy. In our opinion, the inability to file for bankruptcy protection and the direction of revenues from each jurisdiction to the clearing agent and then to the trustee mitigate direct operating risk from WMATA. However, ongoing receipt of dedicated revenue and operating subsidies from each of the jurisdictions are also contingent on WMATA meeting various conditions while receiving the funding.

Overview of participating jurisdictions

We consider Maryland to have very strong general creditworthiness; such factors included in our assessment are the state's fundamental economic strengths of strong wealth and income indicators and a relatively diversified base. For

more information, see our full analysis on Maryland, published March 8, 2023, on RatingsDirect.

We consider Virginia to have very strong general creditworthiness; such factors included in our assessment are the state's good cash and liquidity position, strong financial management, a relatively stable and diverse economy, a long history of proactive and conservative financial management, and moderate debt burden, which we expect will remain manageable. For more information, see our full analysis on Virginia, published Jan. 6, 2023.

We consider the District of Columbia to have strong general creditworthiness, such factors included in our assessment are its robust economy, which serves as the anchor for a broad and diverse metropolitan statistical area (MSA); very strong management, with a comprehensive set of formalized financial policies and practices; and historically strong financial performance, including operating surpluses each year in the past decade resulting in significant increases to available reserves to a level we consider very strong. Somewhat mitigating these factors, in our view, is the District of Columbia's lack of complete budget autonomy, given that the district's federally funded and locally funded budgets are subject to Congressional review, although review of the locally funded budget is through a passive 30-day period without the need for Congress approval. For more information, see our summary analysis on the District of Columbia, published Feb. 27, 2023.

Overview of WMATA

WMATA is responsible for developing, financing, and operating mass transit facilities in the Washington, D.C., MSA. This includes a heavy rail (Metrorail), bus (Metrobus), and paratransit (MetroAccess) system. The authority is an agency and instrumentality of the District of Columbia, Maryland, and Virginia, and depends on these governments and the local jurisdictions, in addition to farebox revenue and other operating revenue, for financial support. Because of the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic and how and where people work, ridership levels and fare revenue are depressed and could remain weak due to increased remote work and hybrid work assignments. An ongoing projected structural imbalance in transit operations could result in a lower rating on WMATA's gross revenue bonds, currently rated 'AA-' with a negative outlook.

For more information, see our summary analysis on WMATA, published Sept. 28, 2022, and our commentary, "US Transportation Infrastructure Transit Sector Update And Medians: Long-Term Funding Decisions Loom for Many Mass Transit Operators," published Sept. 8, 2022.

Related Research

- Through The ESG Lens 3.0: The Intersection Of ESG Credit Factors And U.S. Public Finance Credit Factors, March 2, 2022

Ratings Detail (As Of July 7, 2023)		
Washington Metro Area Transit Auth APPROP		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA/Stable	Affirmed
Washington Metro Area Transit Auth APPROP		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA/Stable	Affirmed

Ratings Detail (As Of July 7, 2023) (cont.)

Washington Metro Area Transit Auth APPROP (BAM)

Unenhanced Rating

AA(SPUR)/Stable

Affirmed

Many issues are enhanced by bond insurance.

Copyright © 2023 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.