

RatingsDirect®

Summary:

King County, Washington; Water/Sewer

Primary Credit Analyst:

Chloe S Weil, San Francisco + 1 (415) 371 5026; chloe.weil@spglobal.com

Secondary Contact:

Alexandra Rozgonyi, Centennial + 1 (303) 721 4824; alexandra.rozgonyi@spglobal.com

Table Of Contents

Rating Action

Stable Outlook

Credit Opinion

Related Research

Summary:

King County, Washington; Water/Sewer

Credit Profile

US\$226.94 mil swr rev rfdg bnds ser 2021A due 07/01/2040

<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	New
King Cnty junior lien swr rev rfdg bnds		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA/Stable	Affirmed
King Cnty junior lien swr rev rfdg bnds		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA/Stable	Affirmed
King Cnty swr		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
King Cnty swr		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
King Cnty swr		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed

Rating Action

S&P Global Ratings assigned its 'AA+' long-term rating to King County, Wash.'s \$226.9 million series 2021A sewer revenue refunding bonds, issued for the county's wastewater treatment division's (WTD).

S&P Global Ratings also affirmed its various ratings, including its:

- 'AA+' long-term rating on the county's parity lien sewer revenue bonds; and
- 'AA' long-term rating and underlying rating (SPUR) on the county's junior-lien sewer revenue bonds.

The outlook, where applicable, is stable.

A rate covenant requires the system to generate debt service coverage (DSC) on parity bonds (senior-lien bonds) and parity lien obligations of at least 1.15x and on junior-lien and multimodal limited-tax general obligation (LTGO)/sewer bonds at 1.10x. The county can issue additional parity debt if projected net revenue provides at least 1.25x DSC. The bond ordinance provides that the county will pay into and maintain in the parity bond reserve account an amount that, together with other funds in the parity bond reserve account, will be at least equal to maximum annual debt service with respect to any calendar year.

The county has previously issued debt payable from wastewater net revenue that also benefits from a full faith and credit pledge of the county. Currently, the GO rating on the county remains the stronger pledge, therefore, the ratings on these obligations reflect our opinion of the county's overall credit quality rather than the sewer pledge.

As of July 1, 2021, the county had the following debt outstanding supported by sewer system revenues:

- \$2.2 billion in parity lien sewer revenue bonds (first lien);
- \$231.3 million of undrawn Water Infrastructure Finance and Innovation Act loans (first lien);
- \$549.64 million in LTGO bonds (known as parity lien obligations, second lien);
- \$300.6 million in junior-lien obligations (including \$165.7 million in commercial paper draws, third lien);
- \$310.2 million in multi-modal LTGO/sewer revenue bonds (fourth lien); and
- \$246.9 million in state loans (fifth lien).

Credit overview

King County's WTD provides wholesale wastewater treatment to over 30 cities and communities in the Puget Sound area. Despite the WTD's already sizable debt burden (over \$3.8 billion) and plans to add \$1.5 billion of debt within the next six years, our rating reflects our assessment of its capacity to perpetuate consistently strong financial metrics over this period, despite the additional leverage. While we consider the WTD's sewage treatment rates to be somewhat elevated, relative to those of peer utilities, we believe the use of fees based on residential equivalent units, in lieu of volumetric charges, shields the utility's financial performance from the Pacific Northwest's variable hydrological conditions and economic shocks.

Credit risks center on the WTD's largely regulatory driven capital improvement plan (CIP; which will be approximately 60% debt funded) and its ability to meet critical milestones as required under a consent decree between the county, the U.S. Department of Justice, the United States Environmental Protection Agency, and Washington Department of Ecology (WDOE) which requires the WTD to undertake and construct nine capital projects to control 14 uncontrolled overflow locations by 2030.

The rating also takes into consideration the WDOE's draft nutrient removal permit (announced June 15, 2021), which could require compliance by 2036. We understand that the proposed nutrient removal limits could compel the county to construct an additional wastewater treatment plant and finance significant upgrades to existing facilities with current cost estimates in the multi-billion-dollar range. We will evaluate the potential credit impacts, if any, as the timing and funding mechanisms are clarified over the next several years.

Although household incomes in King County, are on average, higher than those in most other major metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs) nationwide, we note that the average residential customer's monthly bill in the county is typically higher than those in the surrounding region. For example, the typical monthly bill in Seattle (including the county's charge) is currently \$119.05 for 4.3 hundred cubic feet (HCF), versus \$58.22 in Tacoma assuming 6.0 HCF. The county's current projections assume 4%-6% annual rate increases through 2027. While we believe the county's rising cost of service could adversely affect overall affordability in the communities it serves, including Seattle (which has planned wastewater rate increases that average 5.8% per annum and drainage rate increases 8.7% per annum through 2026), we recognize that the WTD's costs are effectively paid on a senior basis to its participants' own costs, which we consider a stabilizing credit factor for the county. With many jobs able to happen remotely and the region's largest employer, Amazon, surging on robust demand for online retail and cloud computing services, we think a significant portion of the county's economic life has adapted to the pandemic with minimal disruption.

The rating further reflects our view of:

- The underlying economic strength, diversity, and wealth of the WTD's customer base;
- The county council's demonstrated ability and willingness to increase service rates, with projected rate schedules that promote cost recovery and revenue stability;
- Sound historical all-in coverage that we believe will remain at levels that we consider credit supportive (exceeding 1.44x through 2027). In fiscal 2020, net revenue of \$379.9 million provided very strong 1.56x coverage on \$243.5 million of debt service (across all liens);
- Strong liquidity that is supported by an adopted reserve policy. Unrestricted cash and investments totaled \$162 million as of Dec. 31, 2020 (including \$46.3 million in the rate stabilization fund [RSF]), representing 380 days of operating expenses on hand. The county has a reserve policy establishing a liquidity reserve, equal to \$5.0 million plus 10% of annual operating expenses, and an emergency capital reserve equal to \$15.0 million. Management intends to maintain between 90-100 days of cash on hand (excluding the RSF balance) over the forecast period, which we consider credit supportive;
- Extremely high existing leverage (as measured by the system's 96% debt-to-capitalization ratio, as of Dec. 31, 2020) further tempered by the WTD's \$2.3 billion CIP, which we understand will require approximately \$1.5 billion of additional debt through 2027 (and does not include any potential costs related to the proposed nutrient removal permit); and
- Transparent and well-defined financial management practices, with planned capital spending built into current financial projections.

The stable outlook reflects our anticipation that the WTD's strong liquidity position—including its access to the county investment pool, if needed—mitigates the potential effects of the COVID-19 pandemic and that, even with the significant additional planned debt over the next several years layered in, the system's financial capacity will not diminish to the point that metrics would become inconsistent with the existing ratings. The outlook further reflects our assessment of the county's historical and future willingness to adjust rates to meet rising debt service requirements. We believe that any reluctance or inability by the county council to raise rates could result in erosion of financial margins and liquidity and, in turn, diminished credit quality.

Environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors

We think King County's direct environmental risks are substantial, given that it is subject to deadline-certain regulatory mandates to reduce the number of sewage backups and untreated sewage overflows that enter the Duwamish River, Lake Washington, and Puget Sound. Like many others, the WTD is also engaged in an ongoing plan to modernize and rehabilitate the system, offsetting significant aging infrastructure. The WTD has been in the news periodically due to sewage overflows from its treatment plants into Puget Sound due to flooding, equipment failings or operating errors; this has resulted in short-term public advisories to avoid contact with the water in the area. As a matter of practice, the WTD collaborates with the county's department of public health and the state department of ecology in these instances to publicize and mitigate any effects from these spills.

We believe the system continues to face ongoing social risk related to COVID-19 as the county does not anticipate a return to prepandemic customer use (based on flows) until the beginning of 2023. To date, however, the pandemic has not had a meaningful impact on the WTD's financial position. The WTD's billing structure provides some lead time to adapt to reduced flows (due to both hydrology or economic factors) as rates are based on historical average usage

(over the previous four quarters) rather than on a projection of future volumes, which we consider credit supportive. Furthermore, revenue losses in fiscal 2020 (and forecasted for fiscal 2021 and 2022) are largely offset by operating efficiencies and debt service savings. While not currently a credit risk, in our view, persistent negative public sentiment or rising political pressure--given the rising cost of service environment--would adversely affect our view of the WTD's governance practices. On June 1, 2021, the county council unanimously approved the 2022 sewer rate increase, which in our view indicates a strong working relationship between the council and management.

Stable Outlook

Downside scenario

While unexpected, we could take a negative rating action if financial metrics decline from current projections due to an unanticipated loss of revenue attributable to the economic contraction, increased operating expenses or capital needs, or a delay in planned rate increases.

Upside scenario

Given the size of the CIP and the WTD's projected debt service requirements, we do not anticipate taking a positive rating action during the outlook period.

Credit Opinion

King County (population 2.2 million) provides wholesale wastewater treatment services to customers in 34 municipalities and three nonmunicipal participants within or near the county. The City of Seattle provides 38.5% of the county's customer base in fiscal 2020, followed by Bellevue (8.16% of the total) and Alderwood Water and Wastewater District (6.85%). The local economy is broad, diverse, and growing, and is largely derived from various high-tech clusters, including biotech and telecommunications, as well as the aviation and manufacturing sectors. We consider the county's median household effective buying income will be extremely strong, at 147% of the national average in 2020. Unemployment peaked at 14.9% in April 2020, but it has since declined to 4.8% as of May 2021.

While the WTD is principally responsible for sewage treatment and disposal, each participating agency is responsible for its own local collection and transmission. Each participant pays a monthly sewer charge to the county for its proportionate operating and capital costs associated with the system, and treats its proportionate share of the county's debt service and operations as operating expenses of its own systems, effectively subordinating participant debt to the county's sewer system debt, which we consider credit supportive for the WTD. The agreement between the county and 25 participants (including Seattle) for wholesale sewage treatment expires in 2036, although negotiations are underway to extend the agreement. Nine participants (including five cities) have signed extensions through 2056.

The county has regularly increased its wholesale treatment rates to recover its rising costs and has historically increased its wholesale treatment rate every two years. Going forward, the county council intends to adopt annualized rate increase to provide a more stable rate path. We believe rate affordability could become challenged if household incomes do not keep pace with planned rate increases.

The sewer system's long-range capital plan from 2021-2027 is sizable, at about \$2.3 billion, and management plans to

fund about 60% from debt and the remaining 40% from rates and annual pay-as-you-go payments, which we consider credit supportive. About 42% of the total CIP expenditures is to fund asset management and renewal and replacements of aging infrastructure, 19% to fund projects related to combined sewer overflows, and the remainder for other purposes.

Related Research

Through The ESG Lens 2.0: A Deeper Dive Into U.S. Public Finance Credit Factors, April 28, 2020

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2021 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.