

RatingsDirect[®]

Summary:

King County, Washington; General Obligation; General Obligation Equivalent Security

Primary Credit Analyst: Chris Morgan, San Francisco + 1 (415) 371 5032; chris.morgan@spglobal.com

Secondary Contact: Andrew Bredeson, Centennial + 1 (303) 721 4825; andrew.bredeson@spglobal.com

Table Of Contents

Rating Action

Stable Outlook

Credit Opinion

Related Research

Summary:

King County, Washington; General Obligation; General Obligation Equivalent Security

Credit Profile

US\$50.74 mil ltd tax GO bnds ser 2021A due 12/01/2051 Long Term Rating AAA/Stable

New

Rating Action

S&P Global Ratings assigned its 'AAA' long-term rating to King County, Wash.'s \$51 million series 2021A limited-tax general obligation (GO) bonds. At the same time, S&P Global Ratings affirmed its 'AAA' long-term rating and underlying rating (SPUR) on the county's previously issued GO debt, some series of which have a concurrent pledge of the county's sewer utility or the King County Housing Authority (which we consider institutionally independent of the county), and its 'AAA/A-1+' rating on the county's series 2019B obligations that include a standby bond purchase agreement with TD Bank N.A. The outlook is stable.

The county will have about \$4.6 billion in direct debt outstanding at the end of 2021, and after our adjustments for revenue-supported debt and GO debt we consider self-supporting we calculate the county's net direct governmental debt at \$1.1 billion.

Proceeds of the series 2021A will fund improvements to the county's solid waste enterprise facilities, open space land acquisitions, and a variety of other facility improvements.

The series 2021A is a full faith and credit obligation of the county, including ad valorem property taxes within statutory limitations that include a maximum levy rate and a maximum annual property tax revenue increase. Given the fungibility of the county's resources and because pledged revenue is not measurably narrower or subject to disproportionate risks relative to the county's overall revenue, we rate the county's limited-tax GO debt, including the series 2021A, on par with our view of the county's general creditworthiness.

Credit overview

We see King County as well positioned following the 2020 COVID-19-driven recession, with a dynamic and diverse economic base that includes Seattle and most of the region's high-income job centers. The county's leisure and hospitality industry continues to suffer, but the information technology and biotech industries appear to be coming out of the pandemic with stronger demand and house values are continuing their climb from an already high base, suggesting that remote workers have mostly been staying put. The county has a management culture with what we see as a strong long-term record of conservative, arm's-length economic and revenue assumptions and a sustained record of voter support to fund specific services and capital needs. For this reason, we anticipate that its budgetary flexibility will remain very strong in the intermediate term even if available general fund reserves are low relative to those of similarly rated peers with limited ability to increase revenue without a public vote.

The ratings further reflect our assessment of the county's:

- Very strong economy, with participation in a broad and diverse metropolitan statistical area;
- Very strong management, with strong financial policies and practices under our financial management assessment methodology;
- Adequate budgetary performance, with an operating surplus in the general fund during 2019 and 2020 (the latter year unaudited) but an operating deficit at the total governmental fund level in 2019 followed by an apparent surplus for 2020;
- Very strong budgetary flexibility, with an available fund balance of 20% of adjusted operating expenditures in fiscal 2020 (unaudited), down only slightly from 22% in 2019;
- Very strong liquidity, with total government available cash at 131% of total governmental fund expenditures and 32x governmental debt service at the end of 2019, and access to external liquidity we consider exceptional;
- Very strong debt and contingent liability profile, with debt service carrying charges at 4% of expenditures in 2019 and net direct debt that is 50% of unaudited 2020 total governmental fund revenue, as well as low overall net debt at less than 3% of market value; and
- Adequate institutional framework score.

Environmental, social, and governance factors

We consider the county to have elevated exposure to environmental risks, with earthquakes and wildfires the most prominent. We believe the county's maintenance of an emergency management office, which handled the county's pandemic response, and robust state building codes largely mitigate these risks. We consider the county's social and governance risk in line with our view of the sector standard.

The county manages cybersecurity in its information technology department, with a chief of security role, and has risk management strategies in place such as cybersecurity insurance and remote backups of records.

Stable Outlook

Downside scenario

We could lower the ratings if the county's budgetary flexibility as measured by its available general fund balance deteriorates to a level approaching our adequate threshold of 8% of expenditures, which we think could occur if the post-pandemic recovery is weaker than we expect, particularly if new public health costs materialize and the county is unable to identify and implement offsetting budget-balancing actions.

Credit Opinion

Very strong economy

King County enjoys long-term advantages in the forms of a deep reservoir of human capital, exposure to export markets, and large regional employers that are major players in their respective markets. The 2.3 million-resident county, which encompasses Seattle and most of the region's high-income cities and international airport, has a

projected per capita effective buying income of 166% of the national level and per capita market value of \$291,700.

Although downtown Seattle has sustained temporary and permanent economic losses associated with the pandemic, and leisure and hospitality employment countywide appears to have only recently started to recover, the information sector has not missed a beat during the past 12 months, with online and cloud computing giant Amazon accelerating its growth. Likewise, with the pandemic and other forces increasing investor interest in and national policy supporting the life sciences industry, we anticipate that the county's biotechnology cluster will continue to add often highly paid jobs that don't lend themselves to remote work. Aircraft manufacturer Boeing, which produces its 737-Max model in the county, is reporting new orders and appears cautiously optimistic about increasing production in the coming year after receiving approval to fly in the U.S. and Europe following deadly crashes in 2018 and 2019.

Although we think that housing unaffordability is likely now a long-term drag on growth, an overall strengthening in the real estate market is fueling property tax base growth. The S&P CoreLogic Case-Shiller Home Price index for the region shows residential values continuing to climb into 2021, and the county's assessed value (AV) increased by 2.7% to \$659.5 billion for 2021. This rate represented a clear step down from 5.9% for 2019 and 13.5% for 2020, but the county's economist anticipates similar growth through 2023.

Very strong management

We view the county's management as very strong, with strong financial policies and practices under our financial management assessment methodology, indicating our view that financial practices are strong, well embedded, and likely sustainable.

Highlights of the county's approach to financial management include:

- A budget formation process that incorporates internal and external analyses of historical revenue and expenditure trends, including employment of a full-time economist whose sole responsibility is to generate revenue forecasts;
- A biennial budget process with budget-to-actual reports presented to the county council quarterly and updated throughout the year if necessary;
- A formal financial forecast that covers at least five years beyond the budgeted year;
- A six-year rolling capital improvement plan, updated annually as part of the budget process, that identifies all known funding in the budgeted year;
- A formal investment policy coupled with quarterly presentation of holdings and earnings reports to the executive finance committee;
- A formal debt policy that specifies quantitative and qualitative limits as well as monitoring requirements for the county treasurer; and
- A designated general fund balance policy of 6% to 8% of expenditures, to which the county has adhered and which is based on cash flow to meet debt service obligations.

Adequate budgetary performance

The county's budgetary performance appears to be holding up in the face of the rapid onset of the recession in 2020. The county posted a positive general fund net operating result of 2.1% of expenditures in 2019 but a slight deficit result across all governmental funds of 1.8%. The general fund ratio weakened only slightly for 2020 (unaudited) to 1.7% and

improved for total governmental funds to 3.0%. General fund operating results hovered within a narrow range of 2% to 3% of expenditures during the four years prior to the recession, and we think that cuts in discretionary expenditures and receipts of federal grants under the American Rescue Plan that will materialize in 2021 and 2022 at the equivalent of about 22% of expenditures in each year will likely keep performance from weakening in the intermediate term and may even temporarily strengthen it.

General fund revenue primarily consists of property taxes; fees and fines; and sales and use taxes. For the biennium covering 2021-2022 the county is budgeting for them to account for 41%, 40%, and 15% of the county's general fund revenue, respectively. We have adjusted general fund expenditures upward and the corresponding net transfers downward to reflect ongoing transfers out to various special funds to support maintenance and operations.

Management reports that the county's transit enterprise experienced a plunge in ridership and revenue in 2020 but that a multiyear buildup in reserves, a low proportion of pre-pandemic revenue that came from fares, and the ability to defer capital projects means that the enterprise is positioned well to maintain service quality without requiring additional resources such as support from the county's general fund. Likewise, federal grants have absorbed the bulk of pandemic-related costs to date and the county has been able to amend its budget as the public health environment has evolved.

Very strong budgetary flexibility

King County's budgetary flexibility is very strong, in our view, with available reserves in fiscal 2019 of 22% of adjusted operating expenditures, or \$197.6 million, and inclusive of the county's "rainy day" fund, which is classified as committed in the county's audited financial statements, and excess resources in its employee benefits and risk management internal service funds. The county's available reserves remained largely stable in nominal terms but shrank slightly in relative terms to 20% of adjusted operating expenditures. We anticipate that the negative effects of the pandemic on revenue will linger in some form and think that reserves may weaken in the intermediate term as the county's formal budget suggests, but the size of American Rescue Plan grants suggests that the risk of the county's available reserves falling to less than 15% of expenditures has receded and growth in some form is increasingly likely.

The county has a history of securing voter authorization for property tax increases for services, including approvals of levy increases in 2019 for parks and emergency medical services.

Very strong liquidity

In our opinion, King County's liquidity is very strong, with total government available cash at 131% of total governmental fund expenditures and 32x governmental debt service in 2019.

In our view, the county has exceptional access to external liquidity based on debt issuance of a variety of security types during the past 15 years. The county's investment pool is managed under a comprehensive policy and on behalf of other agencies, with 62% of holdings consisting of U.S. Treasuries, agencies, and the state-managed investment pool. We do not anticipate changing our view of the county's liquidity as very strong in the near future, based on our expectation of strong financial performance.

The county has extended its contingent loan pledge and credit enhancement agreement to about \$286 million in debt, most of which has been issued by the housing authority. While acceleration of the bonds is allowed under the trust

indenture, the bonds are allowed to accelerate only to the extent that money to make such payment is on hand with the trustee in the relevant bond funds. Therefore, the amount due by the county at any given time under the agreements would be only the current debt service, which we consider well within the county's ability to pay with its available liquidity. To date, the county has not had to make any payments pursuant to these agreements.

Very strong debt and contingent liability profile

Total governmental fund debt service is 4% of total governmental fund expenditures, and net direct debt is 57% of total governmental fund revenue. Overall net debt is low at 1.4% of market value, which is, in our view, a positive credit factor. These ratios could rise in the coming years as the county exercises a \$1.7 billion authorization to fund the expansion and modernization of the county's main public hospital, but we anticipate that our view of the county's profile will remain very strong in the intermediate term, as debt issuances under the authorization would be unlikely to occur all at once. (The first \$50 million series is tentatively scheduled for the end of 2021.) Separately, the county is planning for a limited-tax GO issuance that we are likely to consider self-supporting in mid-2021 to support its wastewater enterprise and about \$750 million in limited-tax GO debt by end of the biennium ending 2022 for solid waste, affordable housing, and other projects.

We consider debt outstanding backed by the county contingent loan agreement and credit enhancement agreements as direct debt. But because the county has not had to make any payments under these contingent guarantees, we view these issuances as self-supporting.

Pension and other postemployment benefit (OPEB) liabilities highlights:

- In our view, the county has no large pension or OPEB liabilities that are leading to credit pressure.
- While some of the county's pension plans are poorly funded, several are overfunded, creating an overall funding situation that is not likely to lead to dramatic cost escalations. This strong funding is largely due, in our view, to the short amortization periods employed to determine funding requirements.
- The county's sole OPEB plan provides benefits for police and firefighters hired in 1970 to 1977; given the limited scope of eligible beneficiaries, we don't believe this obligation will lead to credit pressure, despite the county's pay-as-you-go approach to funding.

The county participated in the following major pension plans funded as of Dec. 31, 2019:

- Public Employees Retirement System (PERS) plan 2/3: \$97.7 million in net pension liability, or 98% funded
- Public Employees Retirement System plan 1: \$317.3 million in net pension liability, or 67% funded
- Law Enforcement Officers and Fire Fighters plans 1 and 2, both of which are overfunded, at 149% and 119%.

King County's required pension and actual OPEB contributions totaled 7.1% of total governmental fund expenditures in 2019, with 0.2% consisting of OPEB costs. Despite being below the actuarially determined contribution (ADC), 2019 PERS 2/3 and LEOFF 2 contractually required contributions (CRCs) exceeded both static funding and minimum funding progress, indicating our view of timely progress in reducing pension liabilities. The CDCs, which are developed using the same approach as the ADCs, are not updated following passage into law biennially and so can diverge from the annually updated ADCs. However, because CRCs determine funding requirements using an approach that

approximates 10- to 15-year level percent open amortization, timely progress on reducing liabilities is still made even when ADCs exceed CDCs, and so we believe costs will likely remain stable. However, the plan's 7.4% discount rate increases contribution volatility.

Adequate institutional framework

The institutional framework score for Washington counties is adequate, in our view, partly as a result of statutory discretion regarding the quality and timeliness of annual financial reporting.

Local ratings' relationship with U.S. sovereign

The county's GO bonds are eligible to be rated above the sovereign because we believe the county can maintain better credit characteristics than the U.S. in a stress scenario. Under our criteria "Ratings Above The Sovereign: Corporate And Government Ratings--Methodology And Assumptions," published Nov. 19, 2013, on RatingsDirect, U.S. local governments are considered moderately sensitive to country risk. County-derived revenue and state grants are the primary revenue sources for the county, and the institutional framework in the U.S. is predictable, with significant local government autonomy and flexibility, as demonstrated by independent treasury management.

Related Research

- Credit Conditions: U.S. Regions' Economies Perk Up As The Pandemic's Impact Ebbs, April 16, 2021
- S&P Public Finance Local GO Criteria: How We Adjust Data For Analytic Consistency, Sept. 12, 2013
- Criteria Guidance: Assessing U.S. Public Finance Pension And Other Postemployment Obligations For GO Debt, Local Government GO Ratings, And State Ratings, Oct. 7, 2019
- Through The ESG Lens 2.0: A Deeper Dive Into U.S. Public Finance Credit Factors, April 28, 2020
- Economic Outlook U.S. Q2 2021: Let The Good Times Roll, March 24, 2021
- 2020 Update Of Institutional Framework For U.S. Local Governments

Ratings Detail (As Of May 25, 2021)

King Cnty GO Long Term Rating	AAA/Stable	Affirmed		
0		Ammeu		
King Cnty multi-modal ltd tax GO rfdg bnds (Payble Fron Long Term Rating	AAA/A-1+/Stable	Affirmed		
King Cnty multi-modal ltd tax GO rfdg bnds (Payble From Sewer Revs)				
Long Term Rating	AAA/A-1+/Stable	Affirmed		
King Cnty GO				
Unenhanced Rating	AAA(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed		
King Cnty GO				
Long Term Rating	AAA/Stable	Affirmed		
King Cnty GO				
Long Term Rating	AAA/Stable	Affirmed		

Ratings Detail (As Of May 25, 2021) (cont.)		
King Cnty GO		
Long Term Rating	AAA/Stable	Affirmed
King Cnty GO		
Long Term Rating	AAA/Stable	Affirmed
King Cnty GO		
Long Term Rating	AAA/Stable	Affirmed
King Cnty GO		
Long Term Rating	AAA/Stable	Affirmed
King Cnty GO		
Long Term Rating	AAA/Stable	Affirmed
King Cnty GO		
Long Term Rating	AAA/Stable	Affirmed
King Cnty GO		
Long Term Rating	AAA/Stable	Affirmed
King Cnty GO		
Long Term Rating	AAA/Stable	Affirmed
King Cnty GO		
Long Term Rating	AAA/Stable	Affirmed
King Cnty GO		
Long Term Rating	AAA/Stable	Affirmed
King Cnty GO		
Long Term Rating	AAA/Stable	Affirmed
King Cnty GO (AGM)		A f France a d
	AAA(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
King Cnty GO (ASSURED GTY) (SEC MKT) Unenhanced Rating	AAA(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
Ŭ	AAA(SI OK)/ Stable	Ammed
King Cnty GO (National) Unenhanced Rating	AAA(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
King Cnty GO		
Long Term Rating	AAA/Stable	Affirmed
King Cnty GO		
Long Term Rating	AAA/Stable	Affirmed
King Cnty GO		
Long Term Rating	AAA/Stable	Affirmed
King Cnty GO		
Long Term Rating	AAA/Stable	Affirmed
King Cnty GO		
Long Term Rating	AAA/Stable	Affirmed
NJB Properties, Washington		
King Cnty, Washington		

Ratings Detail (As Of May 25, 2021) (cor	nt.)	
NJB Properties (King Cnty) GO equiv		
Long Term Rating	AAA/Stable	Affirmed
NJB Properties (King Cnty) GO equiv		
Long Term Rating	AAA/Stable	Affirmed
King Cnty Hsg Auth, Washington		
King Cnty, Washington		
King Cnty Hsg Auth, Washington		
King Cnty Hsg Auth (King Cnty) GO		
Long Term Rating	AAA/Stable	Affirmed
King Cnty Hsg Auth, Washington		
King Cnty, Washington		
King Cnty Hsg Auth, Washington		
King Cnty Hsg Auth (King Cnty) GO equiv		
Long Term Rating	AAA/Stable	Affirmed
King Cnty Hsg Auth, Washington		
King Cnty, Washington		
King Cnty Hsg Auth, Washington		
King Cnty Hsg Auth (King Cnty) GO equiv		
Long Term Rating	AAA/Stable	Affirmed
King Cnty Hsg Auth, Washington		
King Cnty, Washington		
King Cnty Hsg Auth, Washington		
King Cnty Hsg Auth (King Cnty) GO equiv		
Long Term Rating	AAA/Stable	Affirmed
King Cnty Hsg Auth, Washington		
King Cnty, Washington		
King Cnty Hsg Auth, Washington		
King Cnty Hsg Auth (King Cnty) GO equiv		
Long Term Rating	AAA/Stable	Affirmed
Many issues are enhanced by bond insurance.		

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2021 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Ratingrelated publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.